

A LYAPUNOV TYPE INEQUALITY FOR INDEFINITE WEIGHTS AND EIGENVALUE HOMOGENIZATION

JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER, JUAN PABLO PINASCO, AND ARIEL MARTIN SALORT

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a Lyapunov type inequality for quasilinear problems with indefinite weights. We show that the first eigenvalue is bounded below in terms of the integral of the weight, instead of the integral of its positive part. We apply this inequality to some eigenvalue homogenization problems with indefinite weights.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will consider the following quasilinear eigenvalue problem,

$$(1.1) \quad -(a(x)|u'|^{p-2}u')' = \lambda\rho(x)|u|^{p-2}u$$

for $x \in (0, L)$, with the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u(0) = u(L) = 0,$$

where $1 < p < \infty$, λ is a real parameter, the weight $\rho \in L^1([0, L])$ is allowed to change signs, and the coefficient $a(x)$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class \mathcal{A}_p .

Quasilinear eigenvalue problems with indefinite weights were studied first by Zeidler in [27], with $a \equiv 1$, and the extension to general coefficients $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$ follows by using the techniques in [19] and [24]. There are several results concerning the existence and asymptotic behavior of two sequences of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k^+\}_{k \geq 1}$, $\{\lambda_k^-\}_{k \geq 1}$, going to $\pm\infty$, in both the linear and nonlinear case, in one and several dimensions, see for instance [1, 5, 8, 12, 16, 23].

For one dimensional problems, it is known that the eigenvalues are simple, in the sense that λ_k^\pm has a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) associated eigenfunction u_k^\pm with exactly $k + 1$ zeros in $[0, L]$. When $a \equiv 1$, the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_k^\pm = \left(\frac{\pi_p k}{\int_0^L (\rho^\pm(t))^{1/p} dt} \right)^p + o(k^p)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\rho^+(x) = \max\{\rho(x), 0\}$, and $\rho^- = (-\rho)^+$, and

$$\pi_p = 2(p-1)^{1/p} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s^p)^{1/p}}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35P15, 35B27; Secondary 35P30.

Key words and phrases. Lyapunov's inequality, eigenvalues, p -Laplacian, homogenization.

JFB, JPP and AMS are members of CONICET (Argentina). This work was partially supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires under grant 20020130100283BA, and ANPCyT PICT2012 0153.

The case of nonconstant principal coefficient can be analyzed easily in exactly the same way by using a change of variable (see Section §2).

However, the asymptotic formula (1.3) cannot be used to derive precise bounds for λ_k^\pm . A useful tool in order to obtain lower bounds for eigenvalues in one dimensional problems is Lyapunov's inequality: if there exists a nontrivial solution u of

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = \rho(x)u & x \in (0, L) \\ u(0) = u(L) = 0, \end{cases}$$

then we must have

$$\frac{4}{L} \leq \int_0^L |\rho(t)| dt,$$

an inequality proved by Borg [2], and improved later by Wintner [26] who used $\rho^+(t)$ instead of $|\rho(t)|$. A similar inequality holds for p -Laplacian operators, and applying it in each nodal domain, we can bound the k -th eigenvalue as follows,

$$\frac{2^p k^p}{L^{p-1}} \leq \lambda_k \int_0^L \rho^+(t) dt,$$

see [21], and [22] for a recent survey.

Moreover, it is possible to obtain better bounds of the eigenvalues in the indefinite case, and we will prove the following Lyapunov type inequality which takes care of the negative part of the weight as well:

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be a solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $\rho \in L^1([0, L])$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{p} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{1-p} \leq \sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} \left| \int_0^x \rho(t) dt \right|$$

As a consequence, we have the following bound for λ_k^\pm :

Theorem 1.2. *Let λ_k^\pm be the k -th eigenvalue of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $\rho \in L^1([0, L])$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Then,*

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{k^{p-1}}{p} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{1-p} \leq \lambda_k^\pm \cdot \sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,L]} \left| \int_a^b \rho(t) dt \right|.$$

Let us mention that inequalities (1.4) and (1.5) are closely related to the ones introduced by Harris and Kong in [14] and generalized in [15] for quasilinear equations like (1.1) with $a \equiv 1$. However, a mixed boundary condition was involved in these inequalities: suppose that $u'(0) = u(L) = 0$, then

$$1 \leq L^{p-1} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} \int_0^x \rho(t) dt,$$

and, for $u(0) = u'(L) = 0$,

$$1 \leq L^{p-1} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} \int_x^L \rho(t) dt.$$

These inequalities were proved by using Ricatti equation techniques, that is, by introducing the function $v = u'/u$, and studying a first order nonlinear differential equation for v , with the initial condition $v(0) = 0$ and using the fact that v blows up when $x \rightarrow L$ in the first case, and when $x \rightarrow 0$ in the second one.

This approach cannot be used for higher order equations, so our proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 are based on variational arguments, and we give the following extension to higher order differential equations:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $u \in W_0^{m,p}(0, L)$ be a nontrivial solution of*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} (-1)^m (a(x)|u^{(m)}|^{p-2}u^{(m)})^{(m)} = \rho(x)u & x \in (0, L) \\ u^{(j)}(0) = u^{(j)}(L) = 0 & 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \end{cases}$$

where $m \geq 1$, $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and $\rho \in L^1$. Then

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{(m-1)^{p-1}[(m-2)!]^p}{pL^{mp-p}} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{1-p} \leq \sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} \int_0^x \rho(t) dt.$$

Remark 1.4. When $p = 2$, $a \equiv 1$, and $\rho \geq 0$, the constant obtained by Das and Vatsala in [6] for the classical Lyapunov's inequality is given by

$$\frac{2 \cdot 4^{2m-1} (2m-1) [(m-2)!]^2}{2L^{2m-1}}.$$

For $p \neq 2$ and $m > 1$ the determination of the best constant remains open even for the classical Lyapunov's inequality with positive weights, see [25].

Although the constant in Theorem 1.3 is worse than the one in the classical inequality, we will see in the applications that the cancelation of the positive and negative parts of the weight ρ in the integral gives much better bounds in several cases. Hence, the true contribution of this kind of inequalities comes from the right-hand side.

Let us focus now in applications of Theorem 1.2. Let us consider first the following periodic homogenization problem

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} -(a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})|u'_\varepsilon|^{p-2}u'_\varepsilon)' = \lambda_\varepsilon \rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})|u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}u_\varepsilon & x \in (0, L) \\ u_\varepsilon(0) = u_\varepsilon(L) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where ε is a positive small parameter, and we assume that a, ρ are L -periodic functions. For each fixed ε , the results for problem (1.1)–(1.2) hold: we have two sequences of simple eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^\pm\}_{k \geq 1}$, and their corresponding eigenfunctions satisfy the same nodal properties as before.

We are interested in the behavior of $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^\pm\}_\varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ for each k fixed. For nonnegative weights ρ , it is known (see [3, 9, 10]) that $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k} \rightarrow \lambda_{0,k}$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where $\lambda_{0,k}$ is the k -th eigenvalue of the limit problem

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} -(a^*|u'|^{p-2}u')' = \lambda_0 \bar{\rho}|u|^{p-2}u & x \in (0, L) \\ u(0) = u(L) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ is the average of ρ in the interval $(0, L)$ and a^* is given by

$$a^* := \left(\int_0^L a(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \right)^{1-p},$$

see [10] for a proof. Remarkably, observe that a^* is the constant appearing in Lyapunov's inequality (1.4), and the existence of this integral follows since $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$.

We may ask what happens when ρ is an indefinite weight, and our next Theorem generalizes to the one dimensional quasilinear setting the answer for second order linear problems (in arbitrary spatial dimension) obtained recently by Nazarov, Pankratova and Piatnitski in [18, 20]:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^{\pm}\}_{k \geq 1}$ be the eigenvalues of problem (1.8), with L -periodic functions $\rho \in L^1([0, L])$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Let $\{\lambda_{0,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ be the eigenvalues of problem (1.9). Then*

- (1) *If $\bar{\rho} = 0$ then $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.*
- (2) *If $\bar{\rho} < 0$ then $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^- \rightarrow \lambda_{0,k}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.*
- (3) *If $\bar{\rho} > 0$ then $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ \rightarrow \lambda_{0,k}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^- \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Let us remark that in the first case, after a suitable renormalization $\mu_{\varepsilon,k}^{\pm} = \varepsilon^{\alpha} \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^{\pm}$, the convergence to the eigenvalues of a different limit problem was obtained in [18, 20]. Their proofs were based on linear tools such as orthogonality of eigenfunctions and corrector terms obtained by asymptotic expansions in powers of ε , which are not available here.

The higher dimensional problem, can be treated by variational methods, see [11]. Nevertheless, the results obtained are not as sharp as the one dimensional counterpart that we get here.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section §2 we introduce some necessary facts about the eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplace operator. In Section §3 we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3. Section §4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Eigenvalues of p -Laplacian operators. The eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian operator started with the pioneering results of Browder in the 1960s. A recent survey including more general quasilinear homogeneous operators in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ can be found in [10], tracing back the study of the p -Laplacian to a beautiful paper of Euler [7].

We will need here the following variational characterization of eigenvalues:

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a double sequence of variational eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k^{\pm}\}_{k \geq 1}$ of problem (1.1)-(1.2), given by*

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_k^{\pm} = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k} \sup_{u \in C} \int_0^L a(t) |u'|^p dt$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \{C \subset M^\pm : C \text{ is compact, } C = -C, \gamma(C) \geq k\},$$

$$M^\pm = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(0, L) : \int_0^L \rho(t)|u|^p dt = \pm 1 \right\}.$$

and γ is the Krasnoselskii genus.

The proof is identical to the one in [13] for positive weights.

Remark 2.2. Sometimes we will work with an equivalent definition (due to the homogeneity of the operator),

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_k^\pm = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k} \sup_{u \in C} \frac{\int_0^L a(t)|u'|^p dt}{\int_0^L \rho(t)|u|^p dt},$$

where now

$$M^+ = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(0, L) : \int_0^L \rho(t)|u|^p dt > 0 \right\},$$

$$M^- = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(0, L) : \int_0^L \rho(t)|u|^p dt < 0 \right\}.$$

We need also the following results from the Sturm-Liouville theory for the p -Laplacian:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{u_k^\pm\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to $\{\lambda_k^\pm\}_{k \geq 1}$. Then u_k^\pm has exactly $k + 1$ zeros in $[0, L]$ which determine k nodal domains.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^1([0, L])$, with $\rho_1(x) \leq \rho_2(x)$. Then,*

$$\lambda_k^+(\rho_1) \geq \lambda_k^+(\rho_2),$$

$$\lambda_k^-(\rho_1) \leq \lambda_k^-(\rho_2),$$

whenever $\lambda_k^\pm(\rho_1)$ and $\lambda_k^\pm(\rho_2)$ exists.

The proof of the first one is a consequence of the alternative variational characterization (2.2), by observing that $M^+(\rho_2) \subset M^+(\rho_1)$; after changing $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$ the other one follows as well. Clearly, if one weight is negative, and the other one is positive, there are no eigenvalues to compare.

2.2. The Muckenhoupt class of A_p weights. We say that a belongs to the Muckenhoupt \mathcal{A}_p -class if a is a nonnegative function in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\int_B a(x) dx \right) \left(\int_B a(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq c_{p,a} |B|^p$$

for any ball $B \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and a fixed positive constant $c_{p,a}$.

Remark 2.5. Beside the fact that the bounds we will study below depend strongly on the existence of the second integral in equation (2.3), the most important fact is that \mathcal{A}_p weights guarantee the density of smooth functions in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, see [17, 24], and then it is the right class of coefficients involved in different quasilinear problems. The \mathcal{A}_p class contains several interesting weights, like powers of the distance to a point or the distance to the boundary, allowing both singular and degenerate operators.

In one dimensional problems we can avoid the coefficient a in equation (1.1) by performing a change of variables. For simplicity let us assume that $L = 1$, and let us define

$$P(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{a(t)^{1/(p-1)}} dt.$$

We perform the change of variables

$$(x, u) \rightarrow (y, v)$$

where

$$y = P(x), \quad v(y) = u(x),$$

and a simple computation gives

$$\begin{cases} -(|\dot{v}|^{p-2}\dot{v})' = \lambda Q(y)|v|^{p-2}v, & y \in [0, \ell] \\ v(0) = v(\ell) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\cdot = d/dy,$$

with

$$\ell = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{a(t)^{1/(p-1)}} dt \rightarrow \ell = \overline{a^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(y) &= a(x)^{1/(p-1)} \rho(x) \\ &= a(P^{-1}(y))^{1/(p-1)} \rho(P^{-1}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that both P and ℓ are well defined whenever $a \in \mathcal{A}_p$.

3. A LYAPUNOV TYPE INEQUALITY

We prove in this section our main results. First, let us state and prove the following useful lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let $u \in C_0^{(m)}(0, L)$, with*

$$u^j(a) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1,$$

and $a(x) \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Then,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,L)} \leq \frac{L^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^L a(t) |u^{(m)}(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. We apply Taylor's expansion and the Cauchy expression for the remainder, obtaining

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j + \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} u^{(m)}(t) dt.$$

However, since the derivatives of u at 0 are zero, we have

$$u(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} u^{(m)}(t) dt.$$

Thus, bounding $x-t < L$, and using Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq L^{m-1} \int_0^x a^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) \frac{u^{(m)}(t)}{(m-1)!} dt \\ &\leq L^{m-1} \left(\int_0^x a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^x a(t) \left| \frac{u^{(m)}(t)}{(m-1)!} \right|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality is proved. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By multiplying equation (1.1) by u , and integrating by parts we get

$$\int_0^L a(x) |u'|^p dx = \int_0^L \rho(x) |u|^p dx.$$

Let us introduce now the function $Q(x) = \int_0^x \rho(t) dt$. Hence,

$$\int_0^L \rho(x) |u|^p dx = \int_0^L Q'(x) |u|^p dx = - \int_0^L Q(x) p |u|^{p-2} u u' dx.$$

By combining these with Lemma 3.1 for $m = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L a(x) |u'|^p dx &= \int_0^L \rho(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\leq p \left(\sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} |Q| \right) \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,L)}^{p-1} \int_0^L |u'| dx \\ &\leq p \left(\sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} |Q| \right) \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{(p-1)^2}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^L a(t) |u'(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \int_0^L |u'| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by inserting $a^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)$ in the last integral, and using again Holder's inequality, we get after rearranging,

$$\int_0^L a(x)|u'|^p dx \leq p \left(\sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} |Q| \right) \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{p-1} \int_0^L a(x)|u'(x)|^p dx$$

and, after canceling the integral in both sides, the theorem is proved. \square

In the next proof we use that the eigenfunction u_k associated to λ_k has exactly k nodal domains.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We take λ_k^\pm , for $k \geq 1$, and let $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = L$ be the $k + 1$ zeros of the associated eigenfunction u_k^\pm . We can apply Theorem 1.1 in each nodal domain (x_{i-1}, x_i) , obtaining

$$\frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \leq (\lambda_k^\pm)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^x \rho(t) dt \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt,$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} &\leq (\lambda_k^\pm)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^x \rho(t) dt \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \\ &\leq (\lambda_k^\pm)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,L]} \left| \int_a^b \rho(t) dt \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{k}{\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt} \right)^{p-1} \leq \lambda_k^\pm \cdot \sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,L]} \left| \int_a^b \rho(t) dt \right|$$

and the proof is finished \square

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar. Recall that in $W_0^{m,p}(a,b)$ one can take the norm

$$\|u\|_{m,p} := \|u^{(m)}\|_p.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us call $Q(x) = \int_0^x \rho(t) dt$ as before. We start from

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L a(x)|u^{(m)}|^p dx &= \int_0^L Q(x)' |u|^p dx \\ &= - \int_0^L Q(x) p |u|^{p-2} u u' dx \\ &\leq p \left(\sup_{0 \leq x \leq L} |Q| \right) \int_0^L |u|^{p-1} |u'| dx, \end{aligned}$$

and let us call $A = \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1}$, $B = \|u'\|_{L^\infty}$. Since $u \in W_0^{m,p}$ implies that $u' \in W_0^{m-1,p}$, and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$A \leq \left[\frac{L^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^L a(t) |u^{(m)}(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{p-1}.$$

$$B \leq \frac{L^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^L a(t) |u^{(m)}(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now, we can bound

$$\int_0^L |u|^{p-1} |u'| dt \leq A \cdot B \cdot L$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{p-1} \int_0^L a(x) |u^{(m)}(t)|^p dt$$

where

$$C = \frac{L^{mp-p}}{(m-1)^{p-1} [(m-2)!]^p},$$

and the result follows. \square

4. THE HOMOGENIZATION PROBLEM

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We prove only the assertions for the positive eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+\}_{k \geq 1}$. For the negative ones the result follows by considering the weight $-\rho$.

(1) Let $\rho \in L^1$ be an L -periodic function with zero mean. Then, a simple computation gives

$$\sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,L]} \left| \int_a^b \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dt \right| \leq \sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,\varepsilon]} \int_a^b |\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)| dt \leq \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L^1(0,L)}.$$

Now, Lyapunov's inequality (1.5) gives

$$\frac{k^{p-1}}{\varepsilon p \|\rho\|_{L^1(0,L)}} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{1-p} \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+$$

which proves that the eigenvalues diverge as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

(2) Let us assume that $\bar{\rho} < 0$, and let us introduce the weight

$$\sigma = \rho - \bar{\rho}.$$

Now, $\sigma > \rho$, and $\bar{\sigma} = 0$. So, from the Sturmian comparison theorem 2.4, and part (1) we get

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+(\rho) \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+(\sigma) \geq \frac{k^{p-1}}{\varepsilon p \|\sigma\|_{L^1(0,L)}} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t) dt \right)^{1-p},$$

and the result follows.

(3) Let us assume now that $\bar{\rho} > 0$, and let us show that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+$ converge to $\lambda_{0,k}^+$. To this end, we need to show first that $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+\}_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded away from zero and infinity. Then, the remaining steps of the proof are the same as in the case of positive weights, and can be found in [3, 10]: we extract a convergent sequence of eigenvalues, we choose a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to these eigenvalues, and Rellich-Kondrachev enable us to extract a convergent subsequence. The convergence of the full family follows from the simplicity of the eigenvalues of problem (1.9), see [10] for details.

So, we only need to show that $c < \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ < C$ for some positive constants c, C not depending on ε .

The lower bound follows from Lyapunov's inequality (1.5),

$$\frac{k^{p-1}}{p} \left(\int_0^L a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dt \right)^{1-p} \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ \cdot \sup_{(a,b) \subset [0,L]} \left| \int_a^b \rho\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dt \right| \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ \int_0^L |\rho\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)| dt,$$

and using that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L f\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dt &= \varepsilon \int_0^{L/\varepsilon} f(x) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left\lfloor \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor \int_0^L f(x) dx + \int_{\lfloor \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \rfloor}^{L/\varepsilon} f(x) dx \\ &\leq (L+1) \int_0^L f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any positive L -periodic function $f \in L^1([0, L])$, we get a lower bound for $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+$ independently of ε . We have denoted by $\lfloor s \rfloor$ the greatest integer less than or equal to s .

Let us find an upper bound of the eigenvalues. We concentrate first on the first eigenvalue, and we construct a test function in order to bound the Rayleigh quotient (2.2). Let us choose h small, and we define a continuous function w which grows linearly from zero to one in $[0, h]$, remains constant in $[h, L-h]$, and decreases linearly from one to zero in $[L-h, L]$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L a\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) |w'|^p dt &\leq 2h^{-p} \int_0^L a\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dt \\ &\leq 4Lh^{-p} \|a\|_{L^1([0,L])} \\ \int_0^L \rho\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) |w|^p dt &\geq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-2h}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor - 2 \right) \varepsilon L \int_0^L \rho(t) dt - 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor + 2 \right) \varepsilon L \int_0^L |\rho(t)| dt \\ &\geq \frac{L^3}{2} \bar{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

for h fixed sufficiently small and any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(h)$.

Therefore,

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon,1}^+ \leq \frac{8\|a\|_{L^1([0,L])}}{h^p L^2 \bar{\rho}},$$

and the first eigenvalue is bounded above.

The same argument can be applied for higher eigenvalues. In fact let w be the previously defined function and introduce k bumps functions $\{w_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ defined on $[0, L]$, where

$$w_i(x) = \begin{cases} w(kx - (i-1)L) & x \in [(i-1)L/k, iL/k], \\ 0 & x \in [0, L] \setminus [(i-1)L/k, iL/k]. \end{cases}$$

We consider the set $C = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \cap S$, where S is the unit ball in $W_0^{1,p}([0, L])$. Since $\gamma(C) = k$, and $C \subset M^+(\rho)$ for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(k)$, it is an admissible set in the characterization of $\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+$. Thus, if $v = \sum c_i w_i \in C$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^p = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) |v'|^p dx &\leq 2h^{-p} k^p \int_0^L a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dx \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^p \\ &\leq 4Lh^{-p} k^p \|a\|_{L^1([0,L])} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) |v|^p dx &= \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^p \int_0^L \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) |w_i|^p dx \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^p \frac{L^3}{k^3 2^{\bar{\rho}}} \\ &= \frac{L^3}{2k^3 \bar{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon,k}^+ = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k} \sup_{v \in C} \frac{\int_0^L a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) |v'|^p dx}{\int_0^L \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) |v|^p dx} \leq \frac{8k^{p+3}}{L^2 \bar{\rho}}.$$

The proof is finished. \square

REFERENCES

1. W. Allegretto, Y. X. Huang, *Eigenvalues of the indefinite weight p -Laplacian in weighted spaces*. Funkcial Ekvac. 38 (1995) 233-242.
2. G. Borg, *On a Liapunoff criterion of stability*, American Journal of Mathematics 71 (1949), 67-70.
3. T. Champion, L. De Pascale, *Asymptotic behaviour of nonlinear eigenvalue problems involving p -Laplacian-type operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 137 (2007), no. 6, 1179–1195.
4. D. Cioranescu. P. Donato, *An introduction to homogenization*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 17, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
5. M. Cuesta, *Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian with indefinite weights*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 2001 (33) (2001) 1-9.
6. K. M. Das, A. S. Vatsala, *Green's function for n - n boundary value problem and an analogue of Hartman's result*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 51 (3) (1975) 670-677.

7. L. Euler, *Nova methodus innumerabiles aequationes differentiales secundi gradus reducendi ad aequationes differentiales primi gradus*, Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae 3 (1732), 124-137. English version, translated and annotated by I. Bruce, <http://www.17centurymaths.com/contents/euler/e010tr.pdf>.
8. J. Fernández Bonder, J. P. Pinasco, *Eigenvalues of the p -Laplacian in fractal strings with indefinite weights*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 308 (2005) 764-774.
9. J. Fernández Bonder, J.P. Pinasco, A.M. Salort, *Convergence rate for some quasilinear eigenvalue homogenization problems*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 423 (2015) 1427-1447.
10. J. Fernández Bonder, J. P. Pinasco, A. M. Salort, *Some results on quasilinear eigenvalue problems*, to appear in Rev. Matemática de la UMA.
11. J. Fernández Bonder, J. P. Pinasco, A. M. Salort, *Eigenvalue homogenization problem with indefinite weights*. arXiv:1504.03893.
12. J. Fleckinger, M. L. Lapidus, *Eigenvalues of elliptic boundary value problems with an indefinite weight function*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 295 (1986) 305-324.
13. J. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, *Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres du p -laplacien*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 307 (1988) 75-78.
14. B. J. Harris, Q. Kong, *On the oscillation of differential equations with an oscillatory coefficient*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 347 (1995) 1831-1839.
15. H-L. Hong, W-C. Lian, C. C. Yeh, *The oscillation of half-linear differential equations with an oscillatory coefficient*, Math. Computer Model. 24 (1996) 77-86.
16. P. Hess, T. Kato, *On some linear and nonlinear eigenvalue problems with an indefinite weight function*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 5 (1980) 999-1030.
17. T. Kilpelainen, *Weighted Sobolev spaces and capacity*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 19 (1994) 95-113.
18. S. A. Nazarov, I. L. Pankratova, A. L. Piatnitski, *Homogenization of the spectral problem for periodic elliptic operators with sign-changing density function*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 200 (2011) 747-788.
19. B. Opic, A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*. Longman Group UK, 1990.
20. I. Pankratova, A. Piatnitski, *Homogenization of spectral problem for locally periodic elliptic operators with sign-changing density function*, Journal of Differential Equations 250 (2011) 3088-3134.
21. J. P. Pinasco, *Lower bounds for eigenvalues of the one-dimensional p -Laplacian*, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2004 (2004) 147-153.
22. J. P. Pinasco, *Lyapunov type inequalities, with applications to eigenvalue problems*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2013.
23. D. Smets, *A Concentration-Compactness Lemma with Applications to Singular Eigenvalue Problems*, Journal of Functional Analysis 167 (1999) 463-480.
24. B. O. Turesson, *Nonlinear potential theory and weighted Sobolev spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1736. Springer, 2000.
25. K. Watanabe, *Lyapunov type inequality for the equation including 1-dim p -Laplacian*, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications 15 (3) (2012) 657-662.
26. A. Wintner, *On the Non-Existence of Conjugate Points*. American Journal of Mathematics 73 (1951) 368-380.
27. E. Zeidler, *Lectures on Lyusternik-Schnirelman theory for indefinite nonlinear eigenvalue problems and its applications*. In: Nonlinear analysis, function spaces and applications (Proc. Spring School, Horni Bradlo, 1978), pp. 176219, Teubner, Leipzig, 1979. Available at <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702410>. MR 0578914.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA AND IMAS - CONICET, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) Av. CANTILLO s/n. BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: `fjbonder@dm.uba.ar`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA AND IMAS - CONICET, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) Av. CANTILLO s/n. BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: `jpinasco@dm.uba.ar`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA AND IMAS - CONICET, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) Av. CANTILLO s/n. BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: `asalort@dm.uba.ar`