

# Coincidence of extendible ideals with their minimal kernel.

Román Villafaña  
Joint work with Daniel Galicer

IMAS  
Universidad de Buenos Aires  
CONICET

Buenos Aires - July 2014

# Multilinear Operators

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  be Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  to the **space of continuous  $n$ -linear operators**  $T : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  provides with the supremum norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x_j \in B_{E_j}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|.$$

# Multilinear Operators

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  be Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  to the **space of continuous  $n$ -linear operators**  $T : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  provides with the supremum norm 
$$\|T\| = \sup_{x_j \in B_{E_j}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|.$$
- If  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$  is the classical **space of continuous linear operators**.
- We write  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ .
- We write  $\mathcal{L}(^n E; F)$ , when  $E_1 = \dots = E_n = E$ .

# Banach Ideals

A **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear operators** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that

# Banach Ideals

A **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear operators** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that

- 1  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a norm which makes the pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  a Banach space.

# Banach Ideals

A **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear operators** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that

- 1  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a norm which makes the pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  a Banach space.
- 2 If  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $R, S_1, \dots, S_n$  are linear operators, then  $R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$

# Banach Ideals

A **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear operators** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that

- 1  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a norm which makes the pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  a Banach space.
- 2 If  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $R, S_1, \dots, S_n$  are linear operators, then  $R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$  and

$$\|R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|R\| \cdot \|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \cdot \|S_1\| \cdots \|S_n\|.$$

# Banach Ideals

A **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear operators** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  such that

- 1  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a norm which makes the pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$  a Banach space.
- 2 If  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $R, S_1, \dots, S_n$  are linear operators, then  $R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$  and

$$\|R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|R\| \cdot \|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \cdot \|S_1\| \cdots \|S_n\|.$$

- 3 The  $n$ -linear mapping given by  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{A}(^n\mathbb{C})$  and has norm 1.

- If  $n = 1$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a **Banach operator ideal**.
- If  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a **Banach ideal of  $n$ -linear forms**.



# Banach Ideals

Examples of ideals of  $n$ -linear operators endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x_j \in B_{E_j}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|:$$

- $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **continuous  $n$ -linear operators.**

# Banach Ideals

Examples of ideals of  $n$ -linear operators endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x_j \in B_{E_j}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|:$$

- $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **continuous  $n$ -linear operators**.
- $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **finite type  $n$ -linear operators**, those of the form

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^N x'_{1,j}(x_1) \cdots x'_{n,j}(x_n) \cdot f_j,$$

where  $x'_{k,j} \in E'_k$  and  $f_j \in F$ .

# Banach Ideals

Examples of ideals of  $n$ -linear operators endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x_j \in B_{E_j}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|:$$

- $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **continuous  $n$ -linear operators**.
- $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **finite type  $n$ -linear operators**, those of the form

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^N x'_{1,j}(x_1) \cdots x'_{n,j}(x_n) \cdot f_j,$$

where  $x'_{k,j} \in E'_k$  and  $f_j \in F$ .

- $\mathcal{L}_{app}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) :=$  **approximable  $n$ -linear operators**, the ones that can be approximated by finite type  $n$ -linear operators.

More precisely,  $\mathcal{L}_{app} = \overline{\mathcal{L}_f}^{\|\cdot\|}$ .

# Tensor products and tensor norms

We denote by  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  the  $n$ -**fold tensor product** and by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^j$$

one of its elements.

# Tensor products and tensor norms

We denote by  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  the  **$n$ -fold tensor product** and by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^j$$

one of its elements.

We say that  $\alpha$  is a **tensor norm** of order  $n$  if  $\alpha$  assigns to the normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  a norm  $\alpha(\cdot, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j)$  on the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  such that

# Tensor products and tensor norms

We denote by  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  the  **$n$ -fold tensor product** and by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^j$$

one of its elements.

We say that  $\alpha$  is a **tensor norm** of order  $n$  if  $\alpha$  assigns to the normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  a norm  $\alpha(\cdot, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j)$  on the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  such that

- 1  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq \pi$  on  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$ , where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\pi$  are the classical injective and projective tensor norms.

# Tensor products and tensor norms

We denote by  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  the  **$n$ -fold tensor product** and by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^j$$

one of its elements.

We say that  $\alpha$  is a **tensor norm** of order  $n$  if  $\alpha$  assigns to the normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  a norm  $\alpha(\cdot, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j)$  on the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  such that

- 1  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq \pi$  on  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$ , where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\pi$  are the classical injective and projective tensor norms.
- 2  $\|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n : (\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j; \alpha) \rightarrow (\otimes_{j=1}^n F_j; \alpha)\| \leq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\|$  for any linear operators  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_i; F_i)$  (metric mapping property).

# Tensor products and tensor norms

We denote by  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  the  **$n$ -fold tensor product** and by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^j$$

one of its elements.

We say that  $\alpha$  is a **tensor norm** of order  $n$  if  $\alpha$  assigns to the normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  a norm  $\alpha(\cdot, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j)$  on the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  such that

- 1  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq \pi$  on  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$ , where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\pi$  are the classical injective and projective tensor norms.
- 2  $\|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n : (\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j; \alpha) \rightarrow (\otimes_{j=1}^n F_j; \alpha)\| \leq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\|$  for any linear operators  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_i; F_i)$  (metric mapping property).

We denote by  $(\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j; \alpha)$  the tensor product  $\otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  endowed with the norm  $\alpha(\cdot, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j)$ , and we write  $(\tilde{\otimes}_{j=1}^n E_j; \alpha)$  for its completion.



# Tensor products and tensor norms

A tensor norm  $\alpha$  is **finitely generated** if for every normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $z \in \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  we have

$$\alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j) := \inf \left\{ \alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n M_j) : M_j \in \text{FIN}(E_j), z \in M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \right\}.$$

# Tensor products and tensor norms

A tensor norm  $\alpha$  is **finitely generated** if for every normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $z \in \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  we have

$$\alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j) := \inf \left\{ \alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n M_j) : M_j \in \text{FIN}(E_j), z \in M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \right\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a vector-valued ideal of multilinear operators, its **associated tensor norm** is the unique finitely generated tensor norm  $\alpha$ , of order  $n + 1$ , satisfying

$$\mathfrak{A}(M_1, \dots, M_n; N) \stackrel{1}{=} (M'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M'_n \otimes N; \alpha)$$

for every finite dimensional spaces  $M_1, \dots, M_n, N$ .

In that case we write that  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$ .

# Tensor products and tensor norms

A tensor norm  $\alpha$  is **finitely generated** if for every normed spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $z \in \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j$  we have

$$\alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n E_j) := \inf \left\{ \alpha(z, \otimes_{j=1}^n M_j) : M_j \in \text{FIN}(E_j), z \in M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \right\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a vector-valued ideal of multilinear operators, its **associated tensor norm** is the unique finitely generated tensor norm  $\alpha$ , of order  $n + 1$ , satisfying

$$\mathfrak{A}(M_1, \dots, M_n; N) \stackrel{1}{=} (M'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M'_n \otimes N; \alpha)$$

for every finite dimensional spaces  $M_1, \dots, M_n, N$ .

In that case we write that  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$ .

For example,  $\mathcal{L} \sim \varepsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{app} \sim \varepsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \sim \pi$ ,  $PI \sim \pi$  and  $GI \sim \pi$ .

# Minimal ideals

**The minimal kernel** of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined as the composition ideal

$$\mathfrak{A}^{min} := \overline{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \mathfrak{A} \circ (\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{F}}),$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  stands for the ideal of approximable operators.

# Minimal ideals

**The minimal kernel** of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined as the composition ideal

$$\mathfrak{A}^{min} := \overline{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \mathfrak{A} \circ (\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{F}}),$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  stands for the ideal of approximable operators.

The  $\mathfrak{A}$ -minimal norm of  $T_1$  is given by

$$\|T_1\|_{\mathfrak{A}^{min}} := \inf \{ \|S\| \cdot \|T_2\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \cdot \|R_1\| \cdots \|R_n\| \},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible factorizations  $T_1 = S \circ T_2 \circ (R_1, \dots, R_n)$  as above.

# Minimal ideals

**The minimal kernel** of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined as the composition ideal

$$\mathfrak{A}^{min} := \overline{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \mathfrak{A} \circ (\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{F}}),$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  stands for the ideal of approximable operators.

The  $\mathfrak{A}$ -minimal norm of  $T_1$  is given by

$$\|T_1\|_{\mathfrak{A}^{min}} := \inf \{ \|S\| \cdot \|T_2\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \cdot \|R_1\| \cdots \|R_n\| \},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible factorizations  $T_1 = S \circ T_2 \circ (R_1, \dots, R_n)$  as above.

Example:  $(\mathcal{L})^{min} = \mathcal{L}_{app}$  and  $(PT)^{min} = \mathcal{N}$ .

# Minimal ideals

**The minimal kernel** of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined as the composition ideal

$$\mathfrak{A}^{min} := \overline{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \mathfrak{A} \circ (\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{F}}),$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  stands for the ideal of approximable operators.

The  $\mathfrak{A}$ -minimal norm of  $T_1$  is given by

$$\|T_1\|_{\mathfrak{A}^{min}} := \inf \{ \|S\| \cdot \|T_2\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \cdot \|R_1\| \cdots \|R_n\| \},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible factorizations  $T_1 = S \circ T_2 \circ (R_1, \dots, R_n)$  as above.

Example:  $(\mathcal{L})^{min} = \mathcal{L}_{app}$  and  $(PT)^{min} = \mathcal{N}$ .

The ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to be minimal if  $\mathfrak{A}^{min} = \mathfrak{A}$ .

# Minimal ideals - Representation theorem

The following theorem due to Defant and Floret shows a close relation between the tensor product and the minimal kernel of an ideal.



# Minimal ideals - Representation theorem

The following theorem due to Defant and Floret shows a close relation between the tensor product and the minimal kernel of an ideal.

## Representation theorem for minimal ideals

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  be Banach spaces and let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be a minimal ideal. Then there is a natural quotient mapping

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

defined on  $E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F$  by the obvious rule

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)' \otimes \dots \otimes (x_n^j)' \otimes f_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)'(\cdot) \dots (x_n^j)'(\cdot) f_j.$$

# Minimal ideals - Representation theorem

The following theorem due to Defant and Floret shows a close relation between the tensor product and the minimal kernel of an ideal.

## Representation theorem for minimal ideals

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  be Banach spaces and let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be a minimal ideal. Then there is a natural quotient mapping

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

defined on  $E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F$  by the obvious rule

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)' \otimes \dots \otimes (x_n^j)' \otimes f_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)'(\cdot) \dots (x_n^j)'(\cdot) f_j.$$

Therefore, we have  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  for any ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated to  $\alpha$ .

# Minimal ideals - Representation theorem

The following theorem due to Defant and Floret shows a close relation between the tensor product and the minimal kernel of an ideal.

## Representation theorem for minimal ideals

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  be Banach spaces and let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be a minimal ideal. Then there is a natural quotient mapping

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

defined on  $E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F$  by the obvious rule

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)' \otimes \dots \otimes (x_n^j)' \otimes f_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^r (x_1^j)'(\cdot) \dots (x_n^j)'(\cdot) f_j.$$

Therefore, we have  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  for any ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated to  $\alpha$ .

Moreover, if  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F$  have the bounded approximation property, then

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

# Motivation of the problem

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  has a **Schauder basis**  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there are coordinate functionals  $(e'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every vector  $x$  is written as  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e'_k(x)e_k$ .

# Motivation of the problem

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  has a **Schauder basis**  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there are coordinate functionals  $(e'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every vector  $x$  is written as  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e'_k(x)e_k$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l} e'_{j_1}(x_1) \cdots e'_{j_n}(x_n) \cdot f_l.$$

# Motivation of the problem

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  has a **Schauder basis**  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there are coordinate functionals  $(e'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every vector  $x$  is written as  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e'_k(x)e_k$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then

$$T = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l} e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l.$$

# Motivation of the problem

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  has a **Schauder basis**  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there are coordinate functionals  $(e'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every vector  $x$  is written as  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e'_k(x)e_k$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then

$$T = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l} e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l.$$

Can we give conditions to find bases in subspaces of multilinear operators?

# Motivation of the problem

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  has a **Schauder basis**  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there are coordinate functionals  $(e'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every vector  $x$  is written as  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e'_k(x)e_k$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then

$$T = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l} e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l.$$

Can we give conditions to find bases in subspaces of multilinear operators?

## Remark

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Banach spaces with Schauder bases  $(e_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e_{j_n})_{j_n}$  respectively and  $\alpha$  be a tensor norm of order  $n$ . There is a natural ordering (called the generalized square ordering of Gelbaum-Gil de Lamadrid) in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  such that the monomials

$$(e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n})_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$$

form a Schauder basis of  $(E_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E_n, \alpha)$ .



# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$$

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

Representation theorem

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \xrightarrow{\leq 1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

Representation theorem

Natural inclusion

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \xrightarrow{\leq 1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

Representation theorem

Natural inclusion

Our goal is to find conditions under which the mapping  $\varrho$  results a metric surjection.

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \xrightarrow{\leq 1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

Representation theorem

Natural inclusion

Our goal is to find conditions under which the mapping  $\varrho$  results a metric surjection. In that case we get that there is an isometric isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{A}^{\min}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  (coincidence result).

# Motivation of the problem

If  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, when the monomials  $(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$  (ordered in some way) **form a basis** of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

The representation theorem for minimal ideals gives a natural norm one inclusion from  $(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  defined by

$$\varrho : (E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F; \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \xrightarrow{\leq 1} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

Representation theorem

Natural inclusion

Our goal is to find conditions under which the mapping  $\varrho$  results a metric surjection. In that case we get that there is an isometric isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{A}^{\min}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  (coincidence result).

Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  inherits properties of the tensor product (such as having basis).



# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

Lewis in 1977 states a coincidence result for ideals of linear operators. To do this, he had to define a Radon-Nikodým property for tensor norms (of order 2).

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

Lewis in 1977 states a coincidence result for ideals of linear operators. To do this, he had to define a Radon-Nikodým property for tensor norms (of order 2).

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of linear operators

A finitely generated tensor norm (of order 2)  $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha} \ell_1 \stackrel{1}{=} (E \otimes_{\alpha'} c_0)'$$

for every Banach space  $E$ .

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

Lewis in 1977 states a coincidence result for ideals of linear operators. To do this, he had to define a Radon-Nikodým property for tensor norms (of order 2).

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of linear operators

A finitely generated tensor norm (of order 2)  $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha} \ell_1 \stackrel{1}{=} (E \otimes_{\alpha'} c_0)'$$

for every Banach space  $E$ .

In other words, this definition says that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal operator ideal associated to  $\alpha$ , then using the representation theorems for maximal and minimal ideals we have

$$\mathcal{A}^{min}(E; \ell_1) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{A}(E; \ell_1).$$

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

Lewis in 1977 states a coincidence result for ideals of linear operators. To do this, he had to define a Radon-Nikodým property for tensor norms (of order 2).

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of linear operators

A finitely generated tensor norm (of order 2)  $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha} \ell_1 \stackrel{1}{=} (E \otimes_{\alpha'} c_0)'$$

for every Banach space  $E$ .

In other words, this definition says that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal operator ideal associated to  $\alpha$ , then using the representation theorems for maximal and minimal ideals we have

$$\mathcal{A}^{min}(E; \ell_1) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{A}(E; \ell_1).$$

- we can say that  $\mathcal{A}$  has the Radon-Nikodým property.

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual),

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection.

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; F') = \mathcal{A}/(E; F')$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \widetilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; F') = \mathcal{A}/(E; F')$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\alpha/$  has it.



# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual),

$\alpha/$  with the  $F$ -RNp

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} \ell_1 \rightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } c_0)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; \ell_1) = \mathcal{A}/(E; \ell_1)$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\alpha/$  has it.

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \widetilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; F') = \mathcal{A}/(E; F')$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\alpha/$  has it.

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \tilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; F') = \mathcal{A}/(E; F')$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\alpha/$  has it.
- Note that  $(\alpha/)' = (\alpha') \setminus$  is a right-injective tensor norm.

# History - Coincidence result for linear operators

## Lewis theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A} \sim \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and  $\alpha$  with the Radon-Nikodým property. If  $F$  is an Asplund space (i.e., every separable subspace of  $F$  has separable dual), then denoting  $\alpha/$  the right-projective tensor norm associated to  $\alpha$ ,

$$E' \widetilde{\otimes}_{\alpha/} F' \twoheadrightarrow (E \otimes_{(\alpha/)' } F)'$$

is a metric surjection. Thus, for  $\mathcal{A}/$  the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{A}/ \sim \alpha/$ ,

$$(\mathcal{A}/)^{min}(E; F') = \mathcal{A}/(E; F')$$

holds isometrically for all Banach space  $E$ .

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\alpha/$  has it.
- Note that  $(\alpha/)' = (\alpha') \setminus$  is a right-injective tensor norm.
- In the proof of Lewis theorem it is used that if  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E; \ell_1) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{A}(E; \ell_1)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E; \ell_1(J)) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{A}(E; \ell_1(J))$  for all index set  $J$ .

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

In 2010 Carando and Galicer gave a similar result in the context of multilinear forms and homogeneous scalar polynomials. They also states a Radon-Nikodým property in this context.

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

In 2010 Carando and Galicer gave a similar result in the context of multilinear forms and homogeneous scalar polynomials. They also states a Radon-Nikodým property in this context.

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of multilinear forms

A finitely generated tensor norm  $\alpha$  of order  $n$  has the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property if

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n \ell_1, \alpha) \stackrel{1}{=} (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n c_0, \alpha)'$$

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

In 2010 Carando and Galicer gave a similar result in the context of multilinear forms and homogeneous scalar polynomials. They also states a Radon-Nikodým property in this context.

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of multilinear forms

A finitely generated tensor norm  $\alpha$  of order  $n$  has the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property if

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n \ell_1, \alpha) \stackrel{1}{=} (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n c_0, \alpha)'$$

Again, using the representation theorems, we have that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a maximal ideal of  $n$ -linear forms associated to  $\alpha$ , then

$$\mathcal{U}^{min}({}^n c_0) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{U}({}^n c_0).$$

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

## Carando-Galicer theorem

Let  $\alpha$  be a tensor norm with the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property and  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. Then

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E'_i, \backslash \alpha /) \twoheadrightarrow (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E_i, / \alpha' \backslash)'$$

is a metric surjection and

$$(\mathcal{U}_{/\alpha' \backslash})^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n) = \mathcal{U}_{/\alpha' \backslash}(E_1, \dots, E_n).$$

holds isometrically.



# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

## Carando-Galicer theorem

Let  $\alpha$  be a tensor norm with the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property and  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. Then

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E'_i, \backslash \alpha /) \twoheadrightarrow (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E_i, / \alpha' \backslash)'$$

is a metric surjection and

$$(\mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash})^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n) = \mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash}(E_1, \dots, E_n).$$

holds isometrically.

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\backslash \alpha /$  has it.

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

## Carando-Galicer theorem

Let  $\alpha$  be a tensor norm with the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property and  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. Then

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E'_i, \backslash \alpha /) \twoheadrightarrow (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E_i, / \alpha' \backslash)'$$

is a metric surjection and

$$(\mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash})^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n) = \mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash}(E_1, \dots, E_n).$$

holds isometrically.

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\backslash \alpha /$  has it.
- If  $\mathcal{U} \sim \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_{(/ \alpha' \backslash)} \sim / \alpha' \backslash$  and every  $n$ -linear form  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{(/ \alpha' \backslash)}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  can be extended in each variable with the same ideal norm.

# History - Coincidence result for multilinear forms

## Carando-Galicer theorem

Let  $\alpha$  be a tensor norm with the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property and  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. Then

$$(\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E'_i, \backslash \alpha /) \rightarrow (\tilde{\otimes}_{i=1}^n E_i, / \alpha' \backslash)$$

is a metric surjection and

$$(\mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash})^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n) = \mathcal{U}_{/ \alpha' \backslash}(E_1, \dots, E_n).$$

holds isometrically.

Remarks:

- $\alpha$  has the symmetric Radon-Nikodým property if and only if  $\backslash \alpha /$  has it.
- If  $\mathcal{U} \sim \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_{(/ \alpha' \backslash)} \sim / \alpha' \backslash$  and every  $n$ -linear form  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{(/ \alpha' \backslash)}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  can be extended in each variable with the same ideal norm.
- In the proof of the C-G theorem it is used that if  $\mathcal{U}^{\min}(^n c_0) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{U}(^n c_0)$ , then  $\mathcal{U}^{\min}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n)) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{U}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n))$  for all index sets  $J_1, \dots, J_n$ .

# New Radon-Nikodým property

D. Galicer, R. Villafañe. Coincidence of extendible vector-valued ideals with their minimal kernel. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).

# New Radon-Nikodým property

D. Galicer, R. Villafañe. Coincidence of extendible vector-valued ideals with their minimal kernel. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of multilinear operators

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators and  $F$  be a Banach space. We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the  $F$ -Radon-Nikodým property ( $F$ -RNP) if

$$(\ell_1(J_1) \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1(J_n) \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n); F),$$

for all  $J_1, \dots, J_n$  index sets.

# New Radon-Nikodým property

D. Galicer, R. Villafaña. Coincidence of extendible vector-valued ideals with their minimal kernel. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).

## Radon-Nikodým property for ideals of multilinear operators

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators and  $F$  be a Banach space. We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the  $F$ -Radon-Nikodým property ( $F$ -RNp) if

$$(\ell_1(J_1) \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1(J_n) \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n); F),$$

for all  $J_1, \dots, J_n$  index sets.

This definition says that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the  $F$ -RNp then

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\min}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n); F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(c_0(J_1), \dots, c_0(J_n); F)$$

for all  $J_1, \dots, J_n$  index sets.

# New Radon-Nikodým property

But now we have the following proposition that allows us to check weaker conditions on an ideal of multilinear operators in order to have the  $F$ -RN $p$ .

# New Radon-Nikodým property

But now we have the following proposition that allows us to check weaker conditions on an ideal of multilinear operators in order to have the  $F$ -RN $_p$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators such that

$$(\ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F).$$



# New Radon-Nikodým property

But now we have the following proposition that allows us to check weaker conditions on an ideal of multilinear operators in order to have the  $F$ -RNp.

## Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators such that

$$(\ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F).$$

If  $F$  contains no copy of  $c_0$

# New Radon-Nikodým property

But now we have the following proposition that allows us to check weaker conditions on an ideal of multilinear operators in order to have the  $F$ -RNp.

## Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators such that

$$(\ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F).$$

If  $F$  contains no copy of  $c_0$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{wsc}$  (weakly sequentially continuous  $n$ -linear operators),

# New Radon-Nikodým property

But now we have the following proposition that allows us to check weaker conditions on an ideal of multilinear operators in order to have the  $F$ -RNp.

## Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an ideal of  $n$ -linear operators such that

$$(\ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \xrightarrow{1} \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F).$$

If  $F$  contains no copy of  $c_0$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{wsc}$  (weakly sequentially continuous  $n$ -linear operators), then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the  $F$ -RNp.

# Main theorems

To enunciate the main theorems we need to introduce more definitions:

# Main theorems

To enunciate the main theorems we need to introduce more definitions:

- An ideal of multilinear operators  $\mathfrak{A}$  is **extendible** if for every  $G_1 \supseteq E_1, \dots, G_n \supseteq E_n, F$  and every  $T \in \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , there exists an extension  $\tilde{T} \in \mathfrak{A}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  with  $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

# Main theorems

To enunciate the main theorems we need to introduce more definitions:

- An ideal of multilinear operators  $\mathfrak{A}$  is **extendible** if for every  $G_1 \supseteq E_1, \dots, G_n \supseteq E_n, F$  and every  $T \in \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , there exists an extension  $\tilde{T} \in \mathfrak{A}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  with  $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  **$k$ -Arens extension** of  $T$ ,  $Ext_k$ , is the canonical extension to the bidual in the  $k$ -coordinate:

$$Ext_k : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, E_k'', E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F'').$$

# Main theorems

To enunciate the main theorems we need to introduce more definitions:

- An ideal of multilinear operators  $\mathfrak{A}$  is **extendible** if for every  $G_1 \supseteq E_1, \dots, G_n \supseteq E_n, F$  and every  $T \in \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , there exists an extension  $\tilde{T} \in \mathfrak{A}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  with  $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  **$k$ -Arens extension** of  $T$ ,  $Ext_k$ , is the canonical extension to the bidual in the  $k$ -coordinate:

$$Ext_k : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, E_k'', E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F'').$$

- Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an ideal of multilinear operators, we say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  **$F$ -Arens stable ideal** if the mapping

$$Ext_k : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, E_k'', E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is well defined and results an isometry for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

# Main theorems

To enunciate the main theorems we need to introduce more definitions:

- An ideal of multilinear operators  $\mathfrak{A}$  is **extendible** if for every  $G_1 \supseteq E_1, \dots, G_n \supseteq E_n, F$  and every  $T \in \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , there exists an extension  $\tilde{T} \in \mathfrak{A}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  with  $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  **$k$ -Arens extension** of  $T$ ,  $Ext_k$ , is the canonical extension to the bidual in the  $k$ -coordinate:

$$Ext_k : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, E_k'', E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F'').$$

- Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an ideal of multilinear operators, we say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  **$F$ -Arens stable ideal** if the mapping

$$Ext_k : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, E_k'', E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is well defined and results an isometry for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Note that the condition above says that the range of every Arens extension remains on  $F$ .



# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RN $p$

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RNp then,

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is a metric surjection.

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RNp then,

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is a metric surjection.

In particular,  $\mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RN $\mathfrak{p}$  then,

$$(\ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \ell_1 \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F)$$

is a metric surjection.

In particular,

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\min}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F).$$

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RNp then,

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is a metric surjection.

In particular,  $\mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

# Main theorems

It is time to state our Lewis type theorem: a coincidence result for ideals of multilinear operators.

## Main theorem I

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Asplund spaces. If  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  is an  $F$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F$ -RNp then,

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

is a metric surjection.

In particular,  $\mathfrak{A}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

Note that the conditions of the Main theorem I are a bit more general than those of Lewis theorem (for linear operators) and C-G theorem (for multilinear forms).

# Main theorems

- In many cases, for an arbitrary space  $F$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F'$ -Arens stable but not  $F$ -Arens stable.



# Main theorems

- In many cases, for an arbitrary space  $F$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F'$ -Arens stable but not  $F$ -Arens stable.
- In this situation, the Main theorem I gives us a coincidence result only in the cases where the target space is a dual.

# Main theorems

- In many cases, for an arbitrary space  $F$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F'$ -Arens stable but not  $F$ -Arens stable.
- In this situation, the Main theorem I gives us a coincidence result only in the cases where the target space is a dual.
- In other hand, as we are interested in searching for monomial bases on spaces of multilinear operators, it is natural to deal with spaces which have shrinking Schauder bases.

# Main theorems

- In many cases, for an arbitrary space  $F$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F'$ -Arens stable but not  $F$ -Arens stable.
- In this situation, the Main theorem I gives us a coincidence result only in the cases where the target space is a dual.
- In other hand, as we are interested in searching for monomial bases on spaces of multilinear operators, it is natural to deal with spaces which have shrinking Schauder bases.

## Main theorem II

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an  $F''$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F''$ -RNp. If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking bases and  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property,

# Main theorems

- In many cases, for an arbitrary space  $F$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F'$ -Arens stable but not  $F$ -Arens stable.
- In this situation, the Main theorem I gives us a coincidence result only in the cases where the target space is a dual.
- In other hand, as we are interested in searching for monomial bases on spaces of multilinear operators, it is natural to deal with spaces which have shrinking Schauder bases.

## Main theorem II

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an  $F''$ -Arens stable extendible ideal with the  $F''$ -RNp. If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking bases and  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property, then

$$(E'_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E'_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \alpha) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

# Consequences

As a consequence of the main theorems, we have

## Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an extendible ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

- 1 If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F$ -Arens stable, has the  $F$ -RNp and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F$  have Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively,

# Consequences

As a consequence of the main theorems, we have

## Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an extendible ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

- 1 If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F$ -Arens stable, has the  $F$ -RNp and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F$  have Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a Schauder basis of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

# Consequences

As a consequence of the main theorems, we have

## Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an extendible ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

- 1 If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F$ -Arens stable, has the  $F$ -RNp and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F$  have Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a Schauder basis of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

- 2 If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F''$ -Arens stable and has the  $F''$ -RNp,  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}$  respectively and  $F$  has basis  $(f_l)_l$ ,

# Consequences

As a consequence of the main theorems, we have

## Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \sim \alpha$  be an extendible ideal of  $n$ -linear operators.

- ① If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F$ -Arens stable, has the  $F$ -RNp and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F$  have Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a Schauder basis of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

- ② If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $F''$ -Arens stable and has the  $F''$ -RNp,  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking Schauder bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}$  respectively and  $F$  has basis  $(f_l)_l$ , then the monomials (associated to the coordinate functionals)

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a Schauder basis of  $\mathfrak{A}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .



# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

A multilinear operator  $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  is **extendible** if for every Banach spaces  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  containing  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  respectively, there exists  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  extending  $T$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  the space of all extendible multilinear operators and results an ideal of multilinear operators with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \inf\{c > 0 : \text{for every } G_i \supset E_i \text{ there exists an extension } \tilde{T} \text{ of } T \text{ with norm } \leq c\}.$$

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

A multilinear operator  $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  is **extendible** if for every Banach spaces  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  containing  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  respectively, there exists  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  extending  $T$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  the space of all extendible multilinear operators and results an ideal of multilinear operators with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \inf\{c > 0 : \text{for every } G_i \supset E_i \text{ there exists an extension } \tilde{T} \text{ of } T \text{ with norm } \leq c\}.$$

The next proposition shows that we can apply the main theorems for the ideal of extendible  $n$ -linear operators.

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

A multilinear operator  $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  is **extendible** if for every Banach spaces  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  containing  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  respectively, there exists  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  extending  $T$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  the space of all extendible multilinear operators and results an ideal of multilinear operators with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \inf\{c > 0 : \text{for every } G_i \supset E_i \text{ there exists an extension } \tilde{T} \text{ of } T \text{ with norm } \leq c\}.$$

The next proposition shows that we can apply the main theorems for the ideal of extendible  $n$ -linear operators.

## Proposition

The ideal  $\mathcal{E}$  is extendible and  $F'$ -Arens stable for every dual space  $F'$ .

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

A multilinear operator  $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \rightarrow F$  is **extendible** if for every Banach spaces  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  containing  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  respectively, there exists  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \dots, G_n; F)$  extending  $T$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  the space of all extendible multilinear operators and results an ideal of multilinear operators with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \inf\{c > 0 : \text{for every } G_i \supset E_i \text{ there exists an extension } \tilde{T} \text{ of } T \text{ with norm } \leq c\}.$$

The next proposition shows that we can apply the main theorems for the ideal of extendible  $n$ -linear operators.

## Proposition

The ideal  $\mathcal{E}$  is extendible and  $F'$ -Arens stable for every dual space  $F'$ .

In addition, if  $G$  is a Banach space which contains no copy of  $c_0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  has the  $G$ -RNp.

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem I we obtain the next corollary.

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem I we obtain the next corollary.

## Corollary I

- ① If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are Asplund spaces, then

$$\mathcal{E}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F') \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'),$$

for every dual space  $F'$  which contains no copy of  $c_0$ .

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem I we obtain the next corollary.

## Corollary I

- ❶ If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are Asplund spaces, then

$$\mathcal{E}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F') \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'),$$

for every dual space  $F'$  which contains no copy of  $c_0$ .

- ❷ If  $F'$  is a dual space which contains no copy of  $c_0$  and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F'$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f'_l)_l$  respectively,



# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem I we obtain the next corollary.

## Corollary I

- ① If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are Asplund spaces, then

$$\mathcal{E}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F') \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'),$$

for every dual space  $F'$  which contains no copy of  $c_0$ .

- ② If  $F'$  is a dual space which contains no copy of  $c_0$  and  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F'$  have bases  $(e'_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e'_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f'_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f'_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a Schauder basis of  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ .

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

## Corollary I

- If  $F'$  is a dual space which contains no copy of  $c_0$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_n; F$  have shrinking Schauder bases  $(e_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively,

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

## Corollary I

- ⑤ If  $F'$  is a dual space which contains no copy of  $c_0$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_n; F$  have shrinking Schauder bases  $(e_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials (associated to the coordinate functionals)

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f'_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a boundedly complete Schauder basis of  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ .

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

## Corollary I

- If  $F'$  is a dual space which contains no copy of  $c_0$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_n; F$  have shrinking Schauder bases  $(e_{j_1})_{j_1}, \dots, (e_{j_n})_{j_n}, (f_l)_l$  respectively, then the monomials (associated to the coordinate functionals)

$$(e'_{j_1}(\cdot) \cdots e'_{j_n}(\cdot) \cdot f'_l)_{j_1, \dots, j_n, l}$$

with the generalized square ordering form a boundedly complete Schauder basis of  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ .

Note that Corollary I shows results of coincidence and existence of Schauder basis for the ideal of extendible multilinear operators where the range space is a dual space.

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem II we obtain a corollary for the ideal of extendible multilinear operators for any range space.

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem II we obtain a corollary for the ideal of extendible multilinear operators for any range space.

## Corollary II

- 1 If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking bases,  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property and contains no copy of  $c_0$ ,

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem II we obtain a corollary for the ideal of extendible multilinear operators for any range space.

## Corollary II

- 1 If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking bases,  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property and contains no copy of  $c_0$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

# Applications for the ideal of extendible $n$ -linear operators

Applying the Main theorem II we obtain a corollary for the ideal of extendible multilinear operators for any range space.

## Corollary II

- 1 If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  have shrinking bases,  $F''$  has the bounded approximation property and contains no copy of  $c_0$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

In particular,

if  $F$  has also a basis then the monomials with the generalized square ordering form a basis of  $\mathcal{E}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .



# Other applications

# Other applications

- The main theorems permit to relate structural properties of the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  with properties of the spaces involved and their tensor product such as separability, the Radon-Nikodým and Asplund properties.

# Other applications

- The main theorems permit to relate structural properties of the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  with properties of the spaces involved and their tensor product such as separability, the Radon-Nikodým and Asplund properties.
- If we apply these results to the ideal of Pietsch integral multilinear operators, we obtain a new proof of a classical result of Alencar (1983).

# Other applications

- The main theorems permit to relate structural properties of the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  with properties of the spaces involved and their tensor product such as separability, the Radon-Nikodým and Asplund properties.
- If we apply these results to the ideal of Pietsch integral multilinear operators, we obtain a new proof of a classical result of Alencar (1983).

If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are Asplund spaces, then

$$\mathcal{N}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = (PI)^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} (PI)(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

for every Banach space  $F$ .

# Other applications

- The main theorems permit to relate structural properties of the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  with properties of the spaces involved and their tensor product such as separability, the Radon-Nikodým and Asplund properties.
- If we apply these results to the ideal of Pietsch integral multilinear operators, we obtain a new proof of a classical result of Alencar (1983).

If  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are Asplund spaces, then

$$\mathcal{N}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = (PI)^{min}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \stackrel{1}{=} (PI)(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

for every Banach space  $F$ .

- These results hold for ideals of homogeneous polynomials too.

THANKS!!!!