

Bounded holomorphic functions attaining their norms in the bidual

WidaBA14

Martín Mazzitelli

(joint work with Dani and Silvia)

UBA

24 of July 2014

A little of history...

X, Y Banach spaces.

$B_X =$ “closed unit ball”.

$X' = \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ the dual space of X .

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) =$ “norm attaining functionals”.

$NA \cdots =$ “norm attaining functions in \cdots ”.

James (\sim '50)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$ if and only if X is reflexive.

Bishop-Phelps ('61)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$.

Natural question: what can we say for the space $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ of linear operators?

A little of history...

X, Y Banach spaces.

$B_X =$ “closed unit ball”.

$X' = \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ the dual space of X .

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) =$ “norm attaining functionals”.

$NA \cdots =$ “norm attaining functions in \cdots ”.

James (\sim ‘50)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$ if and only if X is reflexive.

Bishop-Phelps (‘61)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$.

Natural question: what can we say for the space $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ of linear operators?

A little of history...

X, Y Banach spaces.

$B_X =$ “closed unit ball”.

$X' = \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ the dual space of X .

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) =$ “norm attaining functionals”.

$NA \cdots =$ “norm attaining functions in \cdots ”.

James (\sim ‘50)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$ if and only if X is reflexive.

Bishop-Phelps (‘61)

$NA\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K}) = X'$.

Natural question: what can we say for the space $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ of linear operators?

There is no Bishop-Phelps theorem in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ (Lindenstrauss ('63)).

$$NAL_0(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T'' \in NAL(X'';Y'')\}$$
$$NAL(X;Y) \subseteq NAL_0(X;Y)$$

Lindenstrauss ('63)

$NAL_0(X;Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$.

Problems of interest

- Find spaces for which the Bishop-Phelps holds (linear, multilinear, polynomial, holomorphic cases).
- Quantitative versions (*i.e.* Bishop- Phelps-Bollobás results).
- Possible extensions of Lindenstrauss theorem to multilinear, polynomial and holomorphic cases.

There is no Bishop-Phelps theorem in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ (Lindenstrauss ('63)).

$$NA\mathcal{L}_0(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T'' \in NA\mathcal{L}(X'';Y'')\}$$
$$NA\mathcal{L}(X;Y) \subseteq NA\mathcal{L}_0(X;Y)$$

Lindenstrauss ('63)

$NA\mathcal{L}_0(X;Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$.

Problems of interest

- Find spaces for which the Bishop-Phelps holds (linear, multilinear, polynomial, holomorphic cases).
- Quantitative versions (*i.e.* Bishop- Phelps-Bollobás results).
- Possible extensions of Lindenstrauss theorem to multilinear, polynomial and holomorphic cases.

There is no Bishop-Phelps theorem in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ (Lindenstrauss ('63)).

$$NAL_0(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T'' \in NAL(X'';Y'')\}$$
$$NAL(X;Y) \subseteq NAL_0(X;Y)$$

Lindenstrauss ('63)

$NAL_0(X;Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$.

Problems of interest

- Find spaces for which the Bishop-Phelps holds (linear, multilinear, polynomial, holomorphic cases).
- Quantitative versions (*i.e.* Bishop- Phelps-Bollobás results).
- Possible extensions of Lindenstrauss theorem to multilinear, polynomial and holomorphic cases.

Plan of the talk

① Lindenstrauss-type theorems.

- Some known results.
- Partial results for polynomials and holomorphic functions.

② Counterexamples to Bishop-Phelps.

Spaces for which the Bishop-Phelps theorem fails, but the Lindenstrauss theorem holds.

③ Lindenstrauss for some classes of multilinear mappings.

Lindenstrauss-type theorems

Multilinear case

$\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) =$ “ $\Phi : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N \rightarrow Y$, N -linear mappings”.

Arens extension (“bitranspose”)

Given $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ define $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1'' \times \cdots \times X_N''; Y'')$ by

$$\bar{\Phi}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lim_{\alpha_N} \Phi(x_{\alpha_1}^1, \dots, x_{\alpha_N}^N)$$

where $x_{\alpha_i}^i \xrightarrow{w^*} z_i$ if $\alpha_i \in \Lambda_i$.

$$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) = \{\Phi : \bar{\Phi} \text{ is norm attaining}\}.$$

Multilinear Lindenstrauss (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$.

Lindenstrauss-type theorems

Multilinear case

$\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) =$ “ $\Phi : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N \rightarrow Y$, N -linear mappings”.

Arens extension (“bitranspose”)

Given $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ define $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1'' \times \cdots \times X_N''; Y'')$ by

$$\bar{\Phi}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lim_{\alpha_N} \Phi(x_{\alpha_1}^1, \dots, x_{\alpha_N}^N)$$

where $x_{\alpha_i}^i \xrightarrow{w^*} z_i$ if $\alpha_i \in \Lambda_i$.

$$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) = \{\Phi : \bar{\Phi} \text{ is norm attaining}\}.$$

Multilinear Lindenstrauss (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$.

Lindenstrauss-type theorems

Multilinear case

$\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) =$ “ $\Phi : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N \rightarrow Y$, N -linear mappings”.

Arens extension (“bitranspose”)

Given $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ define $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{L}(^N X_1'' \times \cdots \times X_N''; Y'')$ by

$$\bar{\Phi}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lim_{\alpha_N} \Phi(x_{\alpha_1}^1, \dots, x_{\alpha_N}^N)$$

where $x_{\alpha_i}^i \xrightarrow{w^*} z_i$ if $\alpha_i \in \Lambda_i$.

$$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y) = \{\Phi : \bar{\Phi} \text{ is norm attaining}\}.$$

Multilinear Lindenstrauss (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

$NAL_0(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(^N X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N; Y)$.

Polynomial case

$\mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y)$ = “ $P : X \rightarrow Y$, N -homogeneous polynomial”.

Aron-Berner extension

Given $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y)$ define $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X''; Y'')$,

$$\bar{P}(z) = \Phi(z, \dots, z)$$

where Φ is the unique symmetric N -linear mapping associated.

$$NAP_0({}^N X; Y) = \{P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y) : \bar{P} \text{ is norm attaining}\}.$$

2-homogeneous Lindenstrauss (Aron-García-Maestre ('02))

$$NAP_0({}^2 X; Y) \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{P}({}^2 X; Y).$$

(vector-valued case: Choi-Lee-Song ('10))

Extensions to the N -homogeneous case?

Polynomial case

$\mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y)$ = “ $P : X \rightarrow Y$, N -homogeneous polynomial”.

Aron-Berner extension

Given $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y)$ define $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X''; Y'')$,

$$\bar{P}(z) = \bar{\Phi}(z, \dots, z)$$

where Φ is the unique symmetric N -linear mapping associated.

$$NAP_0({}^N X; Y) = \{P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X; Y) : \bar{P} \text{ is norm attaining}\}.$$

2-homogeneous Lindenstrauss (Aron-García-Maestre ('02))

$$NAP_0({}^2 X; Y) \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{P}({}^2 X; Y).$$

(vector-valued case: Choi-Lee-Song ('10))

Extensions to the N -homogeneous case?

Theorem (Carando-Lassalle-M. ('12))

Suppose X' is separable and has the approximation property. Then $NAP_0(NX; Y')$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(NX; Y')$.

Idea of the proof (scalar case)

$$\mathcal{P}(NX) \stackrel{1}{=} \left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \right)'$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{K} \\ & & \searrow L_P \\ x & \downarrow & \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \\ x \otimes \cdots \otimes x & & \end{array}$$

- $u \in \left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \right) \Rightarrow u = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i)$ with $x_i \in B_X$.
- $\pi_s(u) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda_i| \right\}$ (infimum over all representations).
- $L_P(u) = \langle u, P \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P(x_i)$.

Theorem (Carando-Lassalle-M. ('12))

Suppose X' is separable and has the approximation property. Then $NAP_0(NX; Y')$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(NX; Y')$.

Idea of the proof (scalar case)

$$\mathcal{P}(NX) \stackrel{1}{=} \left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \right)'$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{K} \\ & & \downarrow \quad \nearrow L_P \\ x & \downarrow & \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \\ x \otimes \cdots \otimes x & & \end{array}$$

- $u \in \left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X \right) \Rightarrow u = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i)$ with $x_i \in B_X$.
- $\pi_s(u) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda_i| \right\}$ (infimum over all representations).
- $L_P(u) = \langle u, P \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P(x_i)$.

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Linearize and use Bishop-Phelps:

- $\|L_Q - L_P\| = \|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$.
- $|L_Q(u_0)| = \|L_Q\|$ for $u_0 \in B_{\otimes_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X}$.

$$\|\bar{Q}\| = |L_Q(u_0)| = \left| \int_{B_{X''}} \bar{Q}(z) d\mu_{u_0}(z) \right| \leq \|\bar{Q}\| \|\mu_{u_0}\| \leq \|\bar{Q}\|$$

(hypothesis on X !!)

Then, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$ such that:

- $\|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$
- \bar{Q} is norm attaining.

What happens in the non-homogeneous case?

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Linearize and use Bishop-Phelps:

- $\|L_Q - L_P\| = \|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$.
- $|L_Q(u_0)| = \|L_Q\|$ for $u_0 \in B_{\otimes_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X}$.

$$\|\bar{Q}\| = |L_Q(u_0)| = \left| \int_{B_{X''}} \bar{Q}(z) d\mu_{u_0}(z) \right| \leq \|\bar{Q}\| \|\mu_{u_0}\| \leq \|\bar{Q}\|$$

(hypothesis on X !!)

Then, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ such that:

- $\|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$
- \bar{Q} is norm attaining.

What happens in the non-homogeneous case?

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Linearize and use Bishop-Phelps:

- $\|L_Q - L_P\| = \|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$.
- $|L_Q(u_0)| = \|L_Q\|$ for $u_0 \in B_{\otimes_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X}$.

$$\|\bar{Q}\| = |L_Q(u_0)| = \left| \int_{B_{X''}} \bar{Q}(z) d\mu_{u_0}(z) \right| \leq \|\bar{Q}\| \|\mu_{u_0}\| \leq \|\bar{Q}\|$$

(hypothesis on X !!)

Then, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ such that:

- $\|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$
- \bar{Q} is norm attaining.

What happens in the non-homogeneous case?

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Linearize and use Bishop-Phelps:

- $\|L_Q - L_P\| = \|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$.
- $|L_Q(u_0)| = \|L_Q\|$ for $u_0 \in B_{\otimes_{\pi_s}^{N,s} X}$.

$$\|\bar{Q}\| = |L_Q(u_0)| = \left| \int_{B_{X''}} \bar{Q}(z) d\mu_{u_0}(z) \right| \leq \|\bar{Q}\| \|\mu_{u_0}\| \leq \|\bar{Q}\|$$

(hypothesis on X !!)

Then, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$ such that:

- $\|Q - P\| < \varepsilon$
- \bar{Q} is norm attaining.

What happens in the non-homogeneous case?

$\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y) =$ “polynomials $P : X \rightarrow Y$ of degree less than or equal to k ”.

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^k P_j \text{ with } P_j \in \mathcal{P}(^j X; Y), \bar{P} = \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{P}_j.$$

$$G_k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k (\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X)$$

- $u \in G_k \Rightarrow u = \sum_{j=0}^k u_j$ con $u_j \in \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X$.
- $\|u\|_{G_k} = \sup_{Q \in B_{\mathcal{P}_k(X)}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, Q_j \rangle \right|$.
- $L_P(u) = \langle u, P \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, P_j \rangle$.

Isometric duality

$$\mathcal{P}_k(X) \longrightarrow (G_k)'$$

$$P \longmapsto L_P$$

...and the same linearizing argument works!

$\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y) =$ “polynomials $P : X \rightarrow Y$ of degree less than or equal to k ”.

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^k P_j \text{ with } P_j \in \mathcal{P}(^j X; Y), \bar{P} = \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{P}_j.$$

$$G_k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k (\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X)$$

- $u \in G_k \Rightarrow u = \sum_{j=0}^k u_j$ con $u_j \in \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X$.
- $\|u\|_{G_k} = \sup_{Q \in B_{\mathcal{P}_k(X)}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, Q_j \rangle \right|$.
- $L_P(u) = \langle u, P \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, P_j \rangle$.

Isometric duality

$$\mathcal{P}_k(X) \longrightarrow (G_k)'$$

$$P \longmapsto L_P$$

...and the same linearizing argument works!

$\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y) =$ “polynomials $P : X \rightarrow Y$ of degree less than or equal to k ”.

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^k P_j \text{ with } P_j \in \mathcal{P}(^j X; Y), \bar{P} = \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{P}_j.$$

$$G_k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k (\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X)$$

- $u \in G_k \Rightarrow u = \sum_{j=0}^k u_j$ con $u_j \in \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi_s}^{j,s} X$.
- $\|u\|_{G_k} = \sup_{Q \in B_{\mathcal{P}_k(X)}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, Q_j \rangle \right|$.
- $L_P(u) = \langle u, P \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^k \langle u_j, P_j \rangle$.

Isometric duality

$$\mathcal{P}_k(X) \longrightarrow (G_k)'$$

$$P \longmapsto L_P$$

...and the same linearizing argument works!

Let X be such that X' is separable and has the approximation property.

Theorem (Carando-M. ('14))

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y')$.

$$\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y) = \text{“}f : B_X \rightarrow Y \text{ unif. cont. / } f|_{B_X^\circ} \text{ holomorphic”}$$

Corollary

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y')$.

Following ideas of Choi and Kim, if Y has property (β) of Lindenstrauss (e.g. c_0, ℓ_∞) then the Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}^N(X; Y)$, $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y)$.

Let X be such that X' is separable and has the approximation property.

Theorem (Carando-M. ('14))

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y')$.

$$\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y) = \text{“}f : B_X \rightarrow Y \text{ unif. cont. / } f|_{B_X^\circ} \text{ holomorphic”}$$

Corollary

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y')$.

Following ideas of Choi and Kim, if Y has property (β) of Lindenstrauss (e.g. c_0, ℓ_∞) then the Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}^N(X; Y)$, $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y)$.

Let X be such that X' is separable and has the approximation property.

Theorem (Carando-M. ('14))

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y')$.

$$\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y) = \text{“}f : B_X \rightarrow Y \text{ unif. cont. / } f|_{B_X^\circ} \text{ holomorphic”}$$

Corollary

The Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y')$.

Following ideas of Choi and Kim, if Y has property (β) of Lindenstrauss (e.g. c_0, ℓ_∞) then the Lindenstrauss theorem holds in $\mathcal{P}^N(X; Y)$, $\mathcal{P}_k(X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}_u(B_X; Y)$.

Counterexamples to Bishop-Phelps

Preduals of Lorentz

Let $w = (w_i)_i$ admissible sequence ($w_1 = 1$, $w_i \searrow 0$, $w \in c_0 \setminus \ell_1$).

$$d_*(w, 1) = \left\{ (x(i))_i : \lim_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x(i)^*}{W(n)} = 0 \right\}$$

$$\|(x(i))_i\|_W = \sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x(i)^*}{W(n)}$$

where $W(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ y $(x(i)^*)_i$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|x(i)|)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Important properties

- The lack of extreme points of $B_{d_*(w,1)}$.
- If $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$) then $d_*(w, 1) \hookrightarrow \ell_p$.

Counterexamples to Bishop-Phelps

Preduals of Lorentz

Let $w = (w_i)_i$ admissible sequence ($w_1 = 1$, $w_i \searrow 0$, $w \in c_0 \setminus \ell_1$).

$$d_*(w, 1) = \left\{ (x(i))_i : \lim_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x(i)^*}{W(n)} = 0 \right\}$$

$$\|(x(i))_i\|_W = \sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x(i)^*}{W(n)}$$

where $W(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ y $(x(i)^*)_i$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|x(i)|)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Important properties

- The lack of extreme points of $B_{d_*(w,1)}$.
- If $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$) then $d_*(w, 1) \hookrightarrow \ell_p$.

Homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}(^N d_*(w, 1))$ if $N \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}(^N d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$.

(Jiménez S.-Payá ('96)/Carando-Lassalle-M. ('12))

Non-homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1))$ if $k \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- There is a Banach space Z such that **there is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_{c_0}; Z'')$ (no counterexample in the scalar case!!).

(Carando-M. ('14))

We also obtain counterexamples for polynomials with values in c_0 (which has property (β)).

Homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}({}^N d_*(w, 1))$ if $N \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}({}^N d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$.

(Jiménez S.-Payá ('96)/Carando-Lassalle-M. ('12))

Non-homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1))$ if $k \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- There is a Banach space Z such that **there is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_{c_0}; Z'')$ (no counterexample in the scalar case!!).

(Carando-M. ('14))

We also obtain counterexamples for polynomials with values in c_0 (which has property (β)).

Homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}(^N d_*(w, 1))$ if $N \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}(^N d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$.

(Jiménez S.-Payá ('96)/Carando-Lassalle-M. ('12))

Non-homogeneous case.

Fix $w \in \ell_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$),

- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1))$ if $k \geq p$.
- **There is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{P}_k(d_*(w, 1); \ell_p) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- There is a Banach space Z such that **there is no** Bishop-Phelps in $\mathcal{A}_u(B_{c_0}; Z'')$ (no counterexample in the scalar case!!).

(Carando-M. ('14))

We also obtain counterexamples for polynomials with values in c_0 (which has property (β)).

Lindenstrauss on classes of multilinear mappings

Symmetric multilinear mappings.

$\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X; Y)$ = “symmetric N -linear mappings”.

Theorem (Carando-Lassalle-M. ('14))

Suppose X' is separable and has the approximation property. Then, every symmetric multilinear mapping in $\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X; Y')$ can be approximated by *symmetric* multilinear mappings whose Arens extensions attain their norm.

$$\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X) \stackrel{1}{=} \left(\left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}^{N,s} X \right)' \right)$$

The linearizing argument works also in this case!

Lindenstrauss on classes of multilinear mappings

Symmetric multilinear mappings.

$\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X; Y)$ = “symmetric N -linear mappings”.

Theorem (Carando-Lassalle-M. ('14))

Suppose X' is separable and has the approximation property. Then, every symmetric multilinear mapping in $\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X; Y')$ can be approximated by *symmetric* multilinear mappings whose Arens extensions attain their norm.

$$\mathcal{L}_s({}^N X) \stackrel{1}{=} \left(\left(\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}^{N,s} X \right)' \right)$$

The linearizing argument works also in this case!

Ideals of multilinear mappings.

Theorem (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{I}$ or \mathcal{N} . Then the set of N -linear operators in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ such that their Arens extensions attain the supremum-norm is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ -dense in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

Following carefully their proof, we demonstrate the same for *stable* ideals $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

What is stable?

In the symmetric case, for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N$ and all $1 \leq j \leq N$,

$$\Phi_{j,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_N) \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ with some control on the norm.

Ideals of multilinear mappings.

Theorem (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{I}$ or \mathcal{N} . Then the set of N -linear operators in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ such that their Arens extensions attain the supremum-norm is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ -dense in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

Following carefully their proof, we demonstrate the same for *stable* ideals $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

What is stable?

In the symmetric case, for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N$ and all $1 \leq j \leq N$,

$$\Phi_{j,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_N) \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ with some control on the norm.

Ideals of multilinear mappings.

Theorem (Acosta-García-Maestre ('06))

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{I}$ or \mathcal{N} . Then the set of N -linear operators in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ such that their Arens extensions attain the supremum-norm is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ -dense in $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

Following carefully their proof, we demonstrate the same for *stable* ideals $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$.

What is stable?

In the symmetric case, for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N$ and all $1 \leq j \leq N$,

$$\Phi_{j,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_N) \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N)$ with some control on the norm.

For instance, take $N = 4$ and $j = 2$. In this case,

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto \Phi(x_1, x_2, a_3, a_4) \Phi(a_1, a_2, x_3, x_4)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_4)$, for any (a_1, \cdots, a_4) with some control on the norm.

Examples: nuclear, integral, extendible, multiple p -summing, ...

Every ideal \mathcal{U} of bilinear or trilinear forms is *stable* \Rightarrow the Lindenstrauss theorem holds.

For instance, take $N = 4$ and $j = 2$. In this case,

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto \Phi(x_1, x_2, a_3, a_4) \Phi(a_1, a_2, x_3, x_4)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_4)$, for any (a_1, \dots, a_4) with some control on the norm.

Examples: nuclear, integral, extendible, multiple p -summing, ...

Every ideal \mathcal{U} of bilinear or trilinear forms is *stable* \Rightarrow the Lindenstrauss theorem holds.

Thanks!