

Holomorphic functions in high dimensions and primes numbers

Andreas Defant

joint work with

Bayart, Carando, Frerick, García, Maestre, Schlüters, Sevilla

Buenos Aires, 2014

Dirichlet series

$$D = \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \text{ with coefficients } a_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and variable } s \in \mathbb{C}$$

Dirichlet series

$$D = \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \text{ with coefficients } a_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and variable } s \in \mathbb{C}$$

Definition

\mathcal{H}_∞ := the Banach space of all Dirichlet series D representing bounded holomorphic functions on $[\operatorname{Re} > 0]$

Dirichlet series

$D = \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$ with coefficients $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and variable $s \in \mathbb{C}$

Definition

$\mathcal{H}_\infty :=$ the Banach space of all Dirichlet series D representing bounded holomorphic functions on $[\mathbf{Re} > 0]$

Definition

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Recall

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

THE EXAMPLE

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Recall

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

THE EXAMPLE

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Balasubramanian, Calado, Queffélec, 2006

Recall

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

THE EXAMPLE

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Balasubramanian, Calado, Queffélec, 2006

History:

① $b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}$ YES Bohr 1914

Recall

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

THE EXAMPLE

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Balasubramanian, Calado, Queffélec, 2006

History:

① $b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}$

YES Bohr 1914

② $b_n = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}$

NO Bohnenblust-Hille 1931

Recall

(b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Even

$$b_n = \frac{e^{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \varepsilon\right) \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Recall

(b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Even

$$b_n = \frac{e^{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \varepsilon\right) \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Queffélec 1995,

Konyagin-Queffélec 2001,

Balasubramanian-Calado-Queffélec 2006,

de la Bretèche 2008,

D.-Frerick-Ortega-Ounaïes-Seip =: DFOOS 2011

Recall

(b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Recall

(b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Problem

Give a reasonable description of **all** ℓ_1 -multipliers of \mathcal{H}_∞ .

Recall

(b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

Problem

Give a reasonable description of **all** ℓ_1 -multipliers of \mathcal{H}_∞ .

... this problem seems to be too difficult right now!

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_n = (b_{p_j})^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_N^{\alpha_N} = p^\alpha$$

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_n = (b_{p_j})^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_N^{\alpha_N} = p^\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : b_n = z^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p^\alpha$$

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_n = (b_{p_j})^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_N^{\alpha_N} = p^\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : b_n = z^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p^\alpha$$

Two examples

- 1 b Dirichlet character

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_n = (b_{p_j})^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_N^{\alpha_N} = p^\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : b_n = z^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p^\alpha$$

Two examples

① b Dirichlet character

② $b = \left(\frac{1}{n^\sigma}\right)$

Definition

(b_n) (completely) multiplicative

$$:\Leftrightarrow b_{n \cdot m} = b_n \cdot b_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_n = (b_{p_j})^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_N^{\alpha_N} = p^\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : b_n = z^\alpha \text{ whenever } n = p^\alpha$$

Two examples

① b Dirichlet character

② $b = \left(\frac{1}{n^\sigma}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{p_j^\sigma}\right)^\alpha$ whenever $n = p^\alpha$

Our problem

Give a description of **all** multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers (b_j) of \mathcal{H}_∞ in terms of the asymptotic decay of the subsequence (b_{p_j}) .

Our problem

Give a description of **all** multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers (b_j) of \mathcal{H}_∞ in terms of the asymptotic decay of the subsequence (b_{p_j}) .

We know from previous work that—at least philosophically—this set of all multiplicative multipliers should be close to $\ell_2 \dots$

Content

- An almost satisfying solution . . .

Content

- An almost satisfying solution . . .
- Dirichlet series and holomorphic functions in high dimensions

Content

- An almost satisfying solution . . .
- Dirichlet series and holomorphic functions in high dimensions
- From polydiscs to ℓ_r -spaces

Content

- An almost satisfying solution . . .
- Dirichlet series and holomorphic functions in high dimensions
- From polydiscs to ℓ_r -spaces
- From scalar values to vector values

Recall

A sequence of complex scalars (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of \mathcal{H}_∞

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty : \sum_n |a_n b_n| < \infty$$

The Problem

? \subset Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of \mathcal{H}_∞ \subset **??**

Definition

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

Definition

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

Theorem, Bayart, D., Frerick, Maestre, Sevilla Peris 2014

$$\mathbf{B} \subset \text{Set of all multiplicative } \ell_1\text{-multipliers of } \mathcal{H}_\infty \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

Definition

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

Theorem, Bayart, D., Frerick, Maestre, Sevilla Peris 2014

$$\mathbf{B} \subset \text{Set of all multiplicative } \ell_1\text{-multipliers of } \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

The theorem is up to some point isometric, which explains why its proof is somewhat sophisticated ...

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{B}}$

Test 1

$b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_2$ multiplicative

YES

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Test 2

$$b = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \boxed{\text{YES}}$$

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_\infty \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Reformulation

$$b_n = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_j}} \right)^\alpha \text{ if } n = p^\alpha \quad \boxed{\text{YES}}$$

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_\infty \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Problem

$$b_n = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \right)^\alpha \text{ if } n = p^\alpha \quad \boxed{?}$$

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{B}}$

Test 3

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{j}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } n = p^{\alpha} \\ \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{j}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } n = p^{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

YES for $c < 1$

NO for $c > 1$

Again

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Test 3

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{j}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } n = p^{\alpha} \\ \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{j}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } n = p^{\alpha} \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \boxed{\text{YES}} & \text{for } c < 1 \\ \boxed{\text{NO}} & \text{for } c > 1 \end{matrix}$$

Again

$$c = 1?$$

Content

-
- Dirichlet series and holomorphic functions in high dimensions
-
-

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

formal power series

\mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$



formal power series

\mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$



$$c_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}$$



formal power series

\mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

\cup

\mathcal{H}_∞

→

$$c_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}$$

→

formal power series

\mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

\cup

→

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

\mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

\cup

\mathcal{H}_∞



$$c_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}$$



formal power series

\mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

\cup

?



Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

 \mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

 \cup \mathcal{H}_∞ 

$$c_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}$$



formal power series

 \mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

 \cup $H_\infty(B_{c_0})$

Definition

$$H_\infty(B_{c_0}) := \text{all bdd. and holo. fcts } f : B_{c_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Bohr's vision

Dirichlet series

 \mathfrak{D}

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

 \cup \mathcal{H}_∞ 

$$c_\alpha = a_{p^\alpha}$$



formal power series

 \mathfrak{P}

$$\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

 \cup $H_\infty(B_{C_0})$

Definition

$$H_\infty(B_{C_0}) := \text{all bdd. and holo. fcts } f : B_{C_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

In which sense is $H_\infty(B_{C_0})$ in fact a subspace of \mathfrak{P} ?

Monomial coefficients

$$f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$$

Monomial coefficients

$$f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$$

$$f_N(z) := f(z, 0, \dots), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^N$$

Monomial coefficients

$$f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$$

$$f_N(z) := f(z, 0, \dots), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^N$$

$$c_\alpha(f) := \frac{\partial_\alpha f_N(0)}{\alpha!} \quad \alpha\text{th monomial coefficient of } f$$

Monomial coefficients

$$f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$$

$$f_N(z) := f(z, 0, \dots), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^N$$

$$c_\alpha(f) := \frac{\partial_\alpha f_N(0)}{\alpha!} \quad \alpha\text{th monomial coefficient of } f$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha \quad \text{monomial expansion (formal!) of } f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

Monomial coefficients

$$f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$$

$$f_N(z) := f(z, 0, \dots), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^N$$

$$c_\alpha(f) := \frac{\partial_\alpha f_N(0)}{\alpha!} \quad \alpha\text{th monomial coefficient of } f$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha \quad \text{monomial expansion (formal!) of } f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$$

Easy

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha \quad \text{determines } f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0}) \text{ uniquely}$$

Recall ...

Dirichlet series

$$\mathfrak{D} \\ \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

\cup

\mathcal{H}_∞

\longrightarrow

$$\xrightarrow{c_\alpha = a_p^\alpha}$$

formal power series

$$\mathfrak{F} \\ \sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

\cup

$H_\infty(B_{C_0})$

Recall ...

Dirichlet series

$$\mathfrak{D} \\ \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{n^s}$$

\cup

\mathcal{H}_∞

\longrightarrow

$$\xrightarrow{c_\alpha = a_p^\alpha}$$

formal power series

$$\mathfrak{P} \\ \sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$$

\cup

$H_\infty(B_{C_0})$

Theorem

Bohr 1913, Hedenmalm-Lindqvist-Seip 1997

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty = H_\infty(B_{C_0})$$

Clearly:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all finite sequences } z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{c}_0}$$

Clearly:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all finite sequences } z \in \mathbf{B}_{c_0}$$

Other z 's ?

Clearly:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all finite sequences } z \in \mathbf{B}_{c_0}$$

Other \mathbf{z} 's ? Even all \mathbf{z} 's ?

Clearly:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all finite sequences } z \in B_{c_0}$$

Other z 's ? Even all z 's ?

Definition

$$\text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) := \left\{ z \in B_{c_0} \mid \forall f \in H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Then our original problem ...

? \subset Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_\infty \subset$ **??**

... transfers into

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{C_0}) = ?$$

Then our original problem ...

? \subset Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_\infty \subset$ **??**

... transfers into

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{C_0}) = ?$$

Bridge

For each multiplicative sequence \mathbf{b} the following are equivalent:

- 1 (b_n) is an ℓ_1 -multiplier for \mathcal{H}_∞
- 2 $(b_{p_j}) \in \text{mon } H_\infty(B_{C_0})$

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$\mathbf{B} \subset$ Set of all multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_\infty \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{B}}$

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

 $\mathbf{B} \subset \text{Set of all multiplicative } \ell_1\text{-multipliers of } \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Definition

$$\mathbf{Z} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ multiplicative} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{p_j}^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

 $\mathbf{B} \subset \text{Set of all multiplicative } \ell_1\text{-multipliers of } \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{B}}$

Definition

$$\mathbf{Z} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

Theorem, BDFMS 2014

 $\mathbf{Z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{Z}}$

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

This result improves work of
Hilbert 1909,

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

This result improves work of
Hilbert 1909, Toeplitz 1914,

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

This result improves work of

Hilbert 1909, Toeplitz 1914, Bohr 1914,

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

This result improves work of

Hilbert 1909, Toeplitz 1914, Bohr 1914, Bohnenblust-Hille 1931,

Recall, BDFMS 2014

$$\mathbf{z} := \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{z} \subset \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}) \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

This result improves work of

Hilbert 1909, Toeplitz 1914, Bohr 1914, Bohnenblust-Hille 1931,
D.-Prenzel-M. 2009

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n} |c_{j_1, \dots, j_m}(f) z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}|$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m,n)} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}|$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m,n)} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}| + \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m,n)} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}|$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

$$\leq \boxed{\text{polynomial case}} + \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}(m,n)} |c_j(f) z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}|$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

\leq **polynomial case**

$$+ \left[\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(\rho, n)} \left(|z_{j_1}| \cdots |z_{j_p}| \left(\prod_{l=1}^{j_1} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{|z_l|}{\rho}\right)^2} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{\frac{2p}{\rho-1}} \right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{2p}}$$

$$\times \sum_{m \geq p} \rho^m \left[\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(\rho, n)} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{J}(m-p, n) \\ i_{m-p} \leq j_1}} |c_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2p}{\rho+1}} \right]^{\frac{\rho+1}{2p}}$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

\leq **polynomial case**

+ **condition: $z \in \mathbf{Z}$**

$$\times \sum_{m \geq p} \rho^m \left[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}(p, n)} \left(\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{J}(m-p, n) \\ i_{m-p} \leq j_1}} |c_{(i, j)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2p}{p+1}} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{2p}}$$

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

\leq **polynomial case**

+ **condition: $z \in \mathbf{Z}$**

\times **recent Bohnenblust-Hille type inequalities**

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

\leq **polynomial case**

$+$ **condition: $z \in \mathbf{Z}$**

\times **recent Bohnenblust-Hille type inequalities**

$<$ **∞**

Idea of proof: Given $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in H_\infty(B_{c_0})$ the aim is to control the following sum uniformly in n

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha|$$

\leq **polynomial case**

+ **condition: $z \in \mathbf{Z}$**

\times **recent Bohnenblust-Hille type inequalities**

$<$ **∞**

DFOOS 2011, Bayart-Pellegrino-Seoane 2013 ...

Content

-
- From polydiscs to ℓ_r -spaces
-

Definition

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) := \left\{ z \in B_{\ell_r} \mid \forall f \in H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Definition

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) := \left\{ z \in B_{\ell_r} \mid \forall f \in H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Again

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) = ?$$

Definition

$$\text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) := \left\{ z \in B_{\ell_r} \mid \forall f \in H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Again

$$\text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) = ?$$

D.-Prengel-M. 2009

For $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $1/q = 1/2 + 1/\max(r, 2)$

$$B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_q \subset \text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) \subset B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_{q+\varepsilon}$$

Definition

$$\text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) := \left\{ z \in B_{\ell_r} \mid \forall f \in H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Again

$$\text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) = ?$$

D.-Prengel-M. 2009

For $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $1/q = 1/2 + 1/\max(r, 2)$

$$B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_q \subset \text{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) \subset B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_{q+\varepsilon}$$

$$\varepsilon = 0 ?$$

Answer

① $r = 1$:

YES

Lempert 2000

Answer

1 $r = 1$:

YES

Lempert 2000

2 $r = \infty$:

NO

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \in \text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_\infty})$ but $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \notin \ell_2$

Answer

- ① $r = 1$: **YES** Lempert 2000
- ② $r = \infty$: **NO** $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \in \text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_\infty})$ but $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \notin \ell_2$
- ③ $1 < r < \infty$: **NO** Next theorem:

Answer

- ① $r = 1$: **YES** Lempert 2000
- ② $r = \infty$: **NO** $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \in \text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_\infty})$ but $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \notin \ell_2$
- ③ $1 < r < \infty$: **NO** Next theorem:

Theorem, B., D., Schlüters 2014

For each $1 \leq r \leq \infty$

$$\frac{1}{p^{1 - \frac{1}{\min\{r, 2\}}}} \cdot B_{\ell_r} \subset \text{mon } H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}),$$

and the exponent in the primes p is optimal.

Content

-
-
-
- From scalar values to vector values

New object of desire

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

$\mathbf{a}_n \in X$, X Banach space

New object of desire

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

$\mathbf{a}_n \in X$, X Banach space

Again we can define

1 $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X) := \dots$

New object of desire

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

$\mathbf{a}_n \in X$, X Banach space

Again we can define

- 1 $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X) := \dots$
- 2 $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an l_1 -multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X)$ whenever ...

New object of desire

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

$a_n \in X$, X Banach space

Again we can define

- 1 $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X) := \dots$
- 2 $b \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X)$ whenever ...

Problem ...

Given X , describe **all** multiplicative ℓ_1 -multipliers of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(X)$.

... or equivalently

$$\text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{c_0}, X) = ?$$

Local Banach space theory enters the game . . .

Local Banach space theory enters the game ...

Theorem, Carando, D., M., Garcia, S. 2014

① For every multiplicative sequence $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\mathbf{b} \text{ is an } \ell_1\text{-multiplier of } \mathcal{H}_\infty(\ell_u) \iff \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$$

Local Banach space theory enters the game ...

Theorem, Carando, D., M., Garcia, S. 2014

① For every multiplicative sequence $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\mathbf{b} \text{ is an } \ell_1\text{-multiplier of } \mathcal{H}_\infty(\ell_u) \iff \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$$

② $\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{c_0}, \ell_u) = \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$

Local Banach space theory enters the game ...

Theorem, Carando, D., M., Garcia, S. 2014

① For every multiplicative sequence $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\mathbf{b} \text{ is an } \ell_1\text{-multiplier of } \mathcal{H}_\infty(\ell_u) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$$

② $\text{mon } H_\infty(B_{c_0}, \ell_u) = \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$

More generally

X inf. dim. with hypercontractive homogeneous cotype $\text{cot}(X)$

① \mathbf{b} is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_\infty(X) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\text{cot}(X)'}$

Local Banach space theory enters the game ...

Theorem, Carando, D., M., Garcia, S. 2014

- ① For every multiplicative sequence $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\mathbf{b} \text{ is an } \ell_1\text{-multiplier of } \mathcal{H}_\infty(\ell_u) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$$

- ② $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathcal{B}_{c_0}, \ell_u) = \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\min\{u', 2\}}$

More generally

X inf. dim. with hypercontractive homogeneous cotype $\text{cot}(X)$

- ① \mathbf{b} is an ℓ_1 -multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_\infty(X) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\text{cot}(X)'}$

- ② $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathcal{B}_{c_0}, X) = \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \ell_{\text{cot}(X)'}$