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#### Abstract

We prove that extendible 2-homogeneous polynomials on spaces with cotype 2 are integral. This allows us to find examples of approximable non-extendible polynomials on $\ell_{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$ of any degree. We also exhibit non-nuclear extendible polynomials for $4<p<\infty$. We study the extendibility of analytic functions on Banach spaces and show the existence of functions of infinite radius of convergence whose coefficients are finite type polynomials but which fail to be extendible.


## Introduction

The aim of this note is to study the extendibility of polynomials and analytic functions on $\ell_{p}$. This will allow us to show simple examples of non-extendible polynomials and analytic functions. Recall [12] that a $k$-homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow F$ is said to be extendible if given any Banach space $G$ containing $E$ there exists a polynomial $\tilde{P}: G \rightarrow F$ extending $P$. The HahnBanach extension theorem gives the extendibility of all linear functionals but, even in the scalar-valued case $(F=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$, this cannot be generalized to polynomials of degree 2 or more. For example, $\ell_{2}$ is contained in $C[0,1]$ but the polynomial $P(x)=\sum_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ on $\ell_{2}$ cannot be extended to $C[0,1]$ (this last space has the Dunford-Pettis property and consequently any polynomial on $C[0,1]$ is weakly sequentially continuous [16]). The question arises about the existence of weakly sequentially continuous polynomials which fail to be extendible (this question was posed by I. Zalduendo in personal communications). Kirwan and Ryan [12] showed that extendible polynomials on Hilbert spaces are nuclear. In consequence, the polynomial $P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{j}^{2}}{j}$ is approximable (and therefore weakly sequentially continuous) but not extendible on $\ell_{2}$ (see [17]). We show that this polynomial is not extendible on

[^0]any $\ell_{p}$ with $p \geq 2$ but it is nuclear (and therefore extendible) for $1 \leq p<2$. In the first section, we prove that 2 -homogeneous extendible polynomials on $\ell_{p}$ are integral for $p=1$ and nuclear for $1<p \leq 2$. We give examples of non-nuclear extendible polynomials for $p>4$. In the second section, we give a characterization, for degree 2 , of diagonal nuclear polynomials and prove that diagonal extendible polynomials are nuclear $(1<p<\infty)$. These results allow us to find examples of non-extendible approximable polynomials of any degree (greater than 1) on $\ell_{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$. In the last section we define extendible analytic functions and show the existence of analytic functions that are not extendible even though all their coefficients are finite type polynomials.

Throughout, $E, F$ and $G$ are Banach spaces. Although definitions and proofs are given for complex Banach spaces, slight modifications lead to analogous results for the real case.

We recall some definitions. The space of finite type polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{k} E ; F\right)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} E ; F\right)$ (the space of all continuous polynomials form $E$ to $F$ ) spanned by the monomials $\gamma(\cdot)^{k} y$, for all $\gamma \in E^{\prime}, y \in F$. The approximable polynomials are those which can be approximated by finite type polynomials uniformly on the unit ball of $E$.

A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} E ; F\right)$ is said to be nuclear if it can be written as

$$
P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}(x)^{k} y_{i} \quad \forall x \in E
$$

where $\gamma_{i} \in E^{\prime}, y_{i} \in F, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\gamma_{i}\right\|^{k}\left\|y_{i}\right\|<\infty$. The infimum of these sums over all the representations of $P$ is the nuclear norm $\|P\|_{N}$.

A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} E ; F\right)$ is said to be integral if there exists a regular countably additive $F$-valued Borel measure $\mu$, of bounded variation on $\left(B_{E^{\prime}}, w^{*}\right)$, such that

$$
P(x)=\int_{B_{E^{\prime}}} \gamma(x)^{k} d \mu(\gamma) \quad \forall x \in E
$$

The integral norm $\|P\|_{I}$ is the infimum of the norms of all the measures $\mu$ that represent $P$ as above.

Finally, for an extendible polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} E ; F\right)$, its extendible norm is defined as

$$
\|P\|_{e}=\inf \left\{\|Q\|: Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right) ; F\right) \text { is an extension of } P\right\}
$$

We refer to [10] and [15] for notation and results regarding polynomials.
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## 1 Extendibility of polynomials on $\ell_{p}$

Pisier (see [14]) showed the existence of spaces $X$ for which the projective and injective tensor products $\otimes_{s, \pi}^{2} X$ and $\otimes_{s, \varepsilon}^{2} X$ are isometrically isomorphic. This means that every 2-homogeneous polynomial on $X$ is integral and in particular extendible [5]. Note that, since $X$ is not a $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$-space, polynomials on $X$ cannot be extended in a linear and continuous way (see [13, 17]).

Pisier spaces will be useful to show spaces where only integral polynomials are extendible (this result was also obtained independently in [6]):

Proposition 1 Let $E$ be a Banach space with cotype 2. Then extendible 2homogeneous polynomials are integral (and the extendible and integral norms coincide).

Proof: If $E$ has cotype $2, E$ can be isometrically embedded in a Pisier space $X$ [14]. If $P$ is an extendible polynomial on $E$, it can be extended to a polynomial $\tilde{P}$ on $X$ with $\|\tilde{P}\| \leq\|P\|_{e}$. Since $\otimes_{s, \pi}^{2} X$ and $\otimes_{s, \varepsilon}^{2} X$ are isometrically isomorphic, $\tilde{P}$ is integral and $\|\tilde{P}\|_{I}=\|\tilde{P}\|$. But the restriction of an integral polynomial is integral and also $\|P\|_{I} \leq\|\tilde{P}\|_{I} \leq\|P\|_{e}$. The reverse inequality always holds.

If $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $X$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{p}$-space, then $X$ has cotype 2 and every extendible 2-homogeneous polynomial is integral. Moreover, if $1<p \leq 2$ and $\mu$ is a measure, since $L_{p}(\mu)$ is reflexive, its dual has the Radon Nikodym property and every integral polynomial is nuclear [1]. Consequently, extendible 2-homogeneous polynomials on $L_{p}(\mu)$ are nuclear (for $p=2$ this was proved by Kirwan and Ryan [12]). In particular we have the following:

Corollary 2 For $1<p \leq 2$, a 2-homogeneous polynomial on $\ell_{p}$ is extendible if and only if it is nuclear.

Corollary 2 is not true for $4<p<\infty$. We show, for any $p \in(4,+\infty)$, an example of an extendible polynomial which fails to be nuclear (we have no example of such a polynomial for $2<p \leq 4$ ). We follow the idea of [7, 10.4].

Example 3 Consider the bilinear form $A_{n}$ on $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ given by the "Fourier matrix"

$$
A_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} e^{2 \pi i \frac{k l}{n}} x_{k} y_{l}
$$

which has norm $\left\|A_{n}\right\| \leq n$ but nuclear norm $\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{N}=n^{3 / 2}$ [7, ex 4.3]. Therefore, the nuclear norm of the polynomial $P_{n}(x)=A_{n}(x, x)$ is at least
$n^{3 / 2}$ on $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$. If we consider it on $\ell_{p}^{n}$, since the identity map $\ell_{\infty}^{n} \rightarrow \ell_{p}^{n}$ has norm $n^{1 / p}$, we have

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{N, \ell_{\infty}^{n}} \leq n^{2 / p}\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{N, \ell_{p}^{n}}
$$

and then

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{N, \ell_{p}^{n}} \geq \frac{n^{3 / 2}}{n^{2 / p}}=n^{3 / 2-2 / p}
$$

Fix $1<d<2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}}<\sqrt{2}$ and define $Q_{m}=\frac{1}{(2 d)^{m}} P_{2^{m}}$. Identifying $c_{0}$ with $c_{0}\left(\left(\ell_{\infty}^{2 m}\right)_{m}\right)$, it is easy to see that the polynomial $Q=\oplus_{m} Q_{m}$ is well defined and continuous on $c_{0}$. Let $i_{p}: \ell_{p} \rightarrow c_{0}$ be the canonical inclusion. Since every polynomial on $c_{0}$ is extendible, $Q$ is extendible and consequently so is $Q \circ i_{p}$ [4]. Let's see that $Q \circ i_{p}$ is not nuclear. If it were, identifying $\ell_{p}$ with $\ell_{p}\left(\left(\ell_{p}^{2^{m}}\right)_{m}\right)$ we would have that

$$
\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{N, \ell_{p}^{2^{m}}} \leq\left\|Q \circ i_{p}\right\|_{N, \ell_{p}}
$$

But what we have is

$$
\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{N, \ell_{p}^{2^{m}}} \geq \frac{2^{m(3 / 2-2 / p)}}{(2 d)^{m}}=\left(\frac{2^{1 / 2-2 / p}}{d}\right)^{m}
$$

which goes to infinity with $m$. Therefore, $Q \circ i_{p}$ is extendible but not nuclear on $\ell_{p}$ for $4<p<\infty$.

We end this section with some comments about $\mathcal{L}_{1}$-spaces. We always have that integral polynomials are extendible and that a 2 -homogeneous extendible polynomial has an absolutely 2-summing differential [12]. Kirwan and Ryan also showed that, for $\mathcal{L}_{1}$-spaces, this last condition is sufficient for a polynomial to be extendible. Since $\mathcal{L}_{1}$-spaces have cotype 2 , proposition 1 implies that extendible polynomials are integral. Therefore we have:

Corollary 4 If $P$ is a 2-homogeneous polynomial on a $\mathcal{L}_{1}-$ space, the following are equivalent:
a) $P$ is integral.
b) $P$ is extendible.
c) The differential $d P$ is absolutely 2-summing.

## 2 Examples of non-extendible polynomials

Throughout, $p$ and $q$ will be such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Corollary 2 affirms that extendible 2-homogeneous polynomials on $\ell_{p}$ are nuclear for $1<p \leq$ 2. Although this is not true for all $p$ (as shown above), we will see that extendible "diagonal" polynomials are nuclear. Therefore, a way to detect non-nuclear polynomials on $\ell_{p}$ will be helpful. The following lemma is our first step.

Lemma 5 Let $P$ be a nuclear 2-homogeneous polynomial on $\ell_{p}$.

1) If $1<p<2,\left(P\left(e_{n}\right)\right)_{n} \in \ell_{q / 2}$.
2) If $2 \leq p<\infty,\left(P\left(e_{n}\right)\right)_{n} \in \ell_{1}$.

Proof: 1) If $P$ is nuclear then it is continuous for the injective norm. Then, if $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{k}$ is a finite sequence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{k} P\left(\alpha_{k} e_{k}\right)\right| & \leq C \sup _{b \in B_{\ell_{q}}}\left|\sum_{k} b\left(\alpha_{k} e_{k}\right)^{2}\right|=C \sup _{b \in B_{\ell_{q}}}\left|\sum_{k} b_{k}^{2} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right| \\
& =C \sup _{c \in B_{\ell_{q / 2}}}\left|\sum_{k} c_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\sum_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2} P\left(e_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{k} P\left(\alpha_{k} e_{k}\right)\right| \leq C \sup _{c \in B_{\ell q / 2}}\left|\sum_{k} c_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right|$ for every finite sequence $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{k}$ we conclude that $\left(P\left(e_{n}\right)\right)_{n} \in \ell_{q / 2}$.
2) $P$ being nuclear, we can write

$$
P(x)=\sum_{k}\left(\sum_{j} b_{k, j} x_{k}\right)^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad \sum_{k}\left(\sum_{j}\left|b_{k, j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}<\infty .
$$

Since $q<2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n}\left|P\left(e_{n}\right)\right| & =\sum_{n}\left|\sum_{k}\left(b_{k, n}\right)^{2}\right| \leq \sum_{k}\left(\sum_{n}\left|b_{k, n}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and then $\left(P\left(e_{n}\right)\right)_{n} \in \ell_{1}$.
We will now consider polynomials on $\ell_{p}$ of the form

$$
P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} x_{j}^{2}
$$

which we will call diagonal. It is clear that if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|<\infty$, then $P$ is nuclear. Surprisingly enough, a diagonal polynomial can be nuclear even though the coefficients $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ are not summable. The extreme case is $\ell_{1}$, where any null sequence $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ gives a nuclear polynomial. The following two propositions clarify the situation:

Proposition 6 Let $P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} x_{j}^{2}$ be a diagonal polynomial on $\ell_{p}$.

1) For $1<p<2, P$ is nuclear if and only if $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell_{q / 2}$.
2) For $2 \leq p<\infty, P$ is nuclear on if and only if $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell_{1}$.

Proof: 1) It only remains to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in$ $\ell_{q / 2}$. To see that $P$ is nuclear, it is enough to show that the nuclear norm of the polynomial $\sum_{k=n}^{n+l} a_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ can be made arbitrarily small taking $n \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ large enough, independently of the size of $l$ (since this means that $P$ can be written as the sum of a sequence of polynomials with summable nuclear norms). Consider the operator $T: \ell_{p} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{l+1}$ given by $T(x)=$ $\left(a_{n}^{1 / 2} x_{n}, \ldots, a_{n+l}^{1 / 2} x_{n+l}\right)$. The operator $T$ has norm $\left(\sum_{k=n}^{n+l}\left|a_{k}\right|^{q / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. If we show that the polynomial $Q_{l}(y)=\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} y_{k}^{2}$ has unitary nuclear norm on $\ell_{1}^{l+1}$ (see also [7]), the composition $Q_{l} \circ T(x)=\sum_{k=n}^{n+l} a_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ has nuclear norm at most $\left(\sum_{k=n}^{n+l}\left|a_{k}\right|^{q / 2}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}$ on $\ell_{p}^{n}$. Since $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell_{q / 2}$, we obtain that $P$ is a nuclear polynomial. But the polynomial $Q_{l}(y)=\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} y_{k}^{2}$ can be rewritten as

$$
Q_{l}(y)=\sum_{\varepsilon_{1}= \pm 1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{l+1}= \pm 1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{l+1} y_{l+1}}{2^{(l+1) / 2}}\right)^{2}
$$

(note that if we expand the expression above, the product $y_{i} y_{j}$ appears multiplied by 1 as many times as it appears multiplied by -1$)$. Therefore, the nuclear norm of $Q_{l}$ is not greater than

$$
\sum_{\varepsilon_{1}= \pm 1, \ldots . . \varepsilon_{l+1}= \pm 1}\left\|\frac{1}{2^{(l+1) / 2}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{l+1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{2}=\sum_{\varepsilon_{1}= \pm 1, \ldots \varepsilon_{l+1}= \pm 1} \frac{1}{2^{l+1}}=1 .
$$

Since $\left\|Q_{l}\right\|_{N} \geq\left\|Q_{l}\right\|=1$, we have that $\left\|Q_{l}\right\|_{N}=1$.
2) One implication follows from lemma 5 and the other is clear.

Proposition 7 Let $P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} x_{j}^{2}$ be a diagonal polynomial on $\ell_{1}$. The following are equivalent:
a) $P$ is nuclear
b) $P$ is approximable
c) $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ is a null sequence.

Proof: It is clear that a) implies b). If $P$ is approximable, then $d P$ is a compact operator [2]. For every $j$, we have that $a_{j} e_{j}^{\prime}$ belongs to $d P\left(B_{\ell_{1}}\right)$, which is a compact subset of $\ell_{\infty}$. This forces $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ to be a null sequence. Now suppose that c) is true. First observe that the polynomial $Q(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ on $\ell_{1}^{n}$ has nuclear norm $\max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}$ (independently of the size of $n$ ). Then, choose $k_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\max _{k_{i} \leq k<k_{i+1}}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i}}$. Thus, $P$ can be written as the sum of a sequence of polynomials with summable nuclear norms, which shows that $P$ is nuclear.

We will now characterize the diagonal 2-homogeneous extendible polynomials on $\ell_{p}$, for $1<p<\infty$. Together with our characterization of nuclear polynomials, this will allow us to show the existence of approximable nonextendible polynomials on every $\ell_{p}$.

Proposition 8 If $1<p<\infty$, diagonal extendible 2 -homogeneous polynomials on $\ell_{p}$ are nuclear.

Proof: For $1<p \leq 2$, corollary 2 affirms that every extendible polynomial is nuclear. For $p>2$, let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{e}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{p}\right)$ be given by $P(x)=\sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ and consider $Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{2}\right)$ given by the same formula. Since $Q=P \circ i$ (where $i: \ell_{2} \rightarrow \ell_{p}$ is the natural inclusion), $Q$ is extendible [4] and therefore nuclear. As we have already seen, this means that $\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}\right|<\infty$ and consequently $P$ is also nuclear on $\ell_{p}$.

The previous result is not true for $p=1$, since the polynomial $P(x)=$ $\sum_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ on $\ell_{1}$ is integral (and therefore extendible) but not nuclear. This makes it harder to find approximable non-extendible polynomials on $\ell_{1}$ than on any other $\ell_{p}$, where we can find diagonal polynomials satisfying our requirements.

Corollary 9 There are approximable non-extendible 2-homogeneous polynomials on every $\ell_{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$.
Proof: For $1<p \leq 2$, the polynomial $P(x)=\sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ is approximable whenever $a_{k}$ is a null sequence but is not extendible if we take $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \notin \ell_{q / 2}$ (note that if $p=2$, then $\frac{q}{2}=1$ ).

For $p>2$, the polynomial $P(x)=\sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}^{2}$ is well defined and approximable for $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k} \in \ell_{r}$ if $r=1+\frac{2}{p-2}$. Taking $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k}$ in $\ell_{r}$ but not in $\ell_{1}$, we get a non-nuclear diagonal polynomial which cannot be extendible by proposition 8 .

For $p=1$, we have that extendible polynomials are integral by proposition 1, so we have to see that there are approximable polynomials which are not integral. If every approximable polynomial is integral, by the closed graph theorem, the inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{A}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{I}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right)$ is continuous. Since we always have that $\|P\| \leq\|P\|_{I}$, both norms turn out to be equivalent on $\mathcal{P}_{A}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, the space $\mathcal{P}_{N}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right)$ is dense in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{A}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right),\|\cdot\|\right)$ and, by theorem VIII.3.10 of [8], closed in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{I}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right),\|\cdot\|_{I}\right)$. By the equivalence of the norms, $\mathcal{P}_{N}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{A}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{1}\right)$ coincide. Taking duals (see [11] and [9]), we obtain that $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{\infty}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{I}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{\infty}\right)$ and, in particular, every 2homogeneous polynomial on $c_{0}$ should be integral. Since this assertion is false [5], we conclude that there are approximable polynomials on $\ell_{1}$ which are not integral and consequently fail to be extendible.

Example 10 The polynomial

$$
P(x)=\sum_{k} \frac{x_{k}^{2}}{k}
$$

is approximable but not extendible on every $\ell_{p}, p \geq 2$ (but is nuclear, and therefore extendible, for $1 \leq p<2$ ). The polynomial

$$
Q(x)=\sum_{k} \frac{x_{k}^{2}}{\ln (k+1)}
$$

is approximable but not extendible for $1<p \leq 2$ (observe that $Q$ is nuclear if we consider it on $\ell_{1}$ and is not defined for $p>2$ ).

We want to generalize corollary 9 for polynomials of any degree. This will be easy with the help of the following:

Proposition 11 Let $P$ be a $k$-homogeneous polynomial on a Banach space $E$ and $\gamma \in E^{\prime}, \gamma \neq 0$. Then $P$ is extendible if and only if the $(k+1)-h o-$ mogeneous polynomial $\gamma(x) P(x)$ is extendible.

Proof: If $P$ is extendible then it is clear that $\gamma P$ is extendible. Conversely, let $Q$ be an extension of $\gamma P$ to a Banach space $F$ containing $E$ and $\phi \in F^{\prime}$ an extension of $\gamma$. If $e \in E$ is such that $\gamma(e)=1$, there exist a $k$-homogeneous polynomial $Q_{0}$ on $F$ such that

$$
Q(f)-Q(f-\phi(f) e)=\phi(f) Q_{0}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in F
$$

(see [3]). For $x \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma P)(x)-(\gamma P)(x-\gamma(x) e) & =\gamma(x) Q_{0}(x) \\
\gamma(x) P(x) & =\gamma(x) Q_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\gamma$ is nonzero on a dense subset of $E$ we have that $Q_{0}$ extends $P$ to $F$.

Corollary 12 There are approximable non-extendible polynomials of any degree $k \geq 2$ on $\ell_{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$.

Proof: If $P$ is an approximable non-extendible 2-homogeneous polynomial, then for any $\gamma \in \ell_{q}, \gamma \neq 0$, the polynomial $\gamma^{k-2} P$ is approximable but nonextendible.

Note that the previous results not only prove the existence of approximable non-extendible polynomials of any degree, but also show a way to find examples of them. Following the idea of proposition 11 we obtain that the product of linear functionals with the polynomial exhibited in example 3 will give extendible non-nuclear polynomials of any degree.

Another consequence of proposition 11 is:
Corollary 13 If every $k$-homogeneous polynomial on $E$ is extendible, so is every $j$-homogeneous polynomial for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

## 3 Extendibility of analytic functions

Let $U$ be an open subset of $E$. We will say that an analytic function $f$ : $U \rightarrow F$ is extendible at $a \in U$ if for any $G \supset E$ there exists an open subset $V$ of $G$ containing $a$ and an analytic function $\tilde{f}: V \rightarrow F$ which coincides with $f$ on $V \cap E$. If such an $f$ has a Taylor expansion

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x-a)
$$

where $P_{k} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{k} E, F\right)$, from the uniqueness of these expansions we have that every $P_{k}$ must be extendible. We will see that the extendibility of the coefficients $P_{k}$ is not enough to ensure the extendibility of $f$. First we define the extendibility radius of $f$ (at $a$ ) as

$$
r_{e}=\frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}^{1 / k}}
$$

if every $P_{k}$ is extendible. Since $\left\|P_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}$ for all $k$, the extendibility radius is not greater than the radius of uniform convergence.

Proposition 14 Let $f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x-a)$ be an analytic function from $U \subset E$ to $F$. The following conditions are equivalent
a) $f$ is extendible at $a$.
b) Every $P_{k}$ is extendible and the extendibility radius $r_{e}$ is positive.

Moreover, if a) and b) occur, given $G$ containing $E$ we can extend $f$ to an analytic function on $a \in G$ with radius of uniform convergence at least $r_{e}$.

Proof: If $f$ is extendible every $P_{k}$ is extendible, as we observed above. $f$ being extendible, we extend it to an open subset of $\ell_{\infty}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ containing $a$ and call the coefficients of the extension $\bar{P}_{k}$ (which are extensions of $P_{k}$ ). Therefore, $\left\|\bar{P}_{k}\right\| \geq\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}$ and

$$
r_{e}=\frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}^{1 / k}} \geq \frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\bar{P}_{k}\right\|^{1 / k}}
$$

which is positive since $\sum_{k} \bar{P}_{k}(x-a)$ is analytic at $a$ (and has positive radius of convergence).

Conversely, suppose b) is true and let $G$ be a Banach space containing $E$. For any $k$ we take $\varepsilon_{k}>0$ such that $\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}^{1 / k}=\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)^{1 / k}$. We also take for each $k$ an extension $\tilde{P}_{k}$ of $P_{k}$ to $G$ such that $\left\|\tilde{P}_{k}\right\| \leq$
$\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}+\varepsilon_{k}$. If we define $\tilde{f}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{k}(z-a)$ (for $\left.z \in F\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\tilde{P}_{k}\right\|^{1 / k}} & \geq \frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)^{1 / k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e}^{1 / k}}=r_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $\tilde{f}$ is analytic and has radius of uniform convergence (at $a$ ) greater or equal to $r_{e}$. In consequence, a) is true, as well as the statement about the convergence radius of the extensions.

We have shown that there are approximable non extendible polynomials of any degree $k \geq 2$ in every $\ell_{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$. For such a polynomial $P$, there exists a sequence of finite type polynomials which approximate it in norm. However, this sequence cannot approximate $P$ in extendible norm, since it would mean that $P$ is extendible (since finite type polynomials are extendible and the extendible norm is complete). So we can conclude that the usual norm and the extendible norm are not equivalent on the subspace $\mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{k} \ell_{p}\right)$ of finite type polynomials, for any $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. Therefore, for each $k \geq 2$ we can find a finite type polynomial $P_{k}$ of degree $k$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{k}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{k^{k}} \text { and }\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{e} \geq k^{k}
$$

If we define $f(x)=\sum_{k} P_{k}(x)$ on $\ell_{p}\left(P_{0}\right.$ and $P_{1}$ are arbitrary), $f$ is an analytic function with infinite radius of uniform convergence. All its coefficients are finite type polynomials (and therefore extendible) but $f$ is not extendible since its extendible radius is 0 .

Note that the previous idea can be used for any space on which there is an approximable non-extendible polynomial. If we only know that there exists a non-extendible polynomial, we make use of the following fact ([12], see also [4]): the extendible norm is equivalent to the usual norm on $\mathcal{P}_{e}\left({ }^{k} E\right)$ if and only if every $k$-homogeneous polynomial is extendible. With this result, proposition 11 and a similar construction we can find a non-extendible analytic function with infinite radius of convergence such that every coefficient is extendible. We summarize all this in the following theorems:

Theorem 15 1) On any space with an approximable non-extendible polynomial there exists an analytic function (of infinite radius of convergence) with finite type coefficients that is not extendible.
2) On any space with a non-extendible polynomial there exists an analytic function (of infinite radius of convergence) with extendible coefficients that is not extendible.
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