

Description of the connected components of a semialgebraic set in single exponential time

Joos Heintz Marie-Françoise Roy Pablo Solernó

to our friend Mario Raimondo

Abstract. *This paper is devoted to the following result: let $\mathbf{R}$ be a real closed field and S a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined by a boolean combination of polynomial inequalities. Let D be the sum of the degrees of the polynomials involved. Then it is possible to find algorithmically a description of the semialgebraically connected components of S in sequential time $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and parallel time $(n \log D)^{O(1)}$. This implies that the problem of finding the connected components of a semialgebraic set can be solved in P-SPACE.*

1. Introduction

1.1. The statement

We denote by $\mathbf{R}$ a real closed field, by $\mathbf{A}$ a subring of $\mathbf{R}$ and by S a semialgebraic (over $\mathbf{A}$) subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be indeterminates over $\mathbf{R}$. We suppose that S is given by a boolean combination of polynomial inequalities involving polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_s$ of $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We consider $F_1, \dots, F_s$ as the standard input of all algorithms we shall design in the sequel. The length of this input is determined by the parameters n , $D := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \deg F_j$, and, in the case of $\mathbf{A} := \mathbb{Z}$, by the maximal binary length σ of the coefficients of $F_1, \dots, F_s$. We think of the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_s$ as represented in dense form by their coefficient vectors. Thus the size of our input is $O(sD^n)$ if we are working in an algebraic complexity model and $O(s\sigma D^n)$ if we are working in the bit-model and if $\mathbf{A} := \mathbb{Z}$.

The notion of algorithm which we use is that of an uniform family of arithmetical networks over $\mathbf{A}$ parametrized by D and n (see [Ga] for definitions). By sequential and parallel complexity of the algorithm (or sequential and parallel time) we refer to the size and the depth of the arithmetic networks representing the algorithm. We call an algorithm *admissible* (or single exponential) if its sequential execution time is $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and its parallel execution time is $(n \log D)^{O(1)}$.

In case $\mathbf{A} := \mathbb{Z}$ all our (admissible) algorithms can be simulated by uniform families of boolean networks of size $D^{n^{O(1)}} \sigma^{O(1)}$ and depth $(n \log D \sigma)^{O(1)}$. Therefore they can be executed in deterministic Turing machine time $D^{n^{O(1)}} \sigma^{O(1)}$ and Turing machine space $(n \log D \sigma)^{O(1)}$.

Since these simulation arguments are absolutely straightforward we shall restrict ourselves to the (algebraic) complexity model of arithmetical networks over $\mathbf{A}$.

The central result of this paper is the following:

Main Theorem. *It is possible to compute by a uniform family of arithmetical networks of size $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and depth $(n \log D)^{O(1)}$ (i.e. in admissible time) a description of each semialgebraically connected component of S .*

partially supported by POSSO BRA 6846

This description is given by a set of $D^{O(n)}$ quantifier free formulas, each defining a semialgebraically connected component of S . The number and the degree of the polynomials (of $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$) involved in these formulas is of order $D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

1.2. Comments

Our main result follows the line of various papers devoted to the complexity of algorithms in real algebraic geometry (and topology) in single exponential time ([GV 1,2], [G], [Ca 1,2], [R 1,2,3], [GHRVS], [GR], [HRS 1,2,3,4,5]).

A similar algorithmic result with a sequential complexity bound which is doubly exponential in n was already known (see for exemple [Co] or [SS]). The doubly exponential behavior of the complexity in these papers is due to the use of iterated projections in the process of the so called cylindric algebraic decomposition on which they are based.

The first result with single exponential complexity bounds concerning the topology of semialgebraic sets may be found in [Ca 2], where the essential geometric idea, which we also use, consists of constructing continuous curves on the semialgebraic set S directly instead of doing this by means of iterated projections. There are nevertheless significant differences between Canny's results and ours. Namely, we have no restrictive hypothesis (such as general position) on the semialgebraic set. We do not need a Whitney stratified input nor do we make use of the theory of stratifications.

The present paper is a direct continuation of the articles [HRS 3] and [HRS 4], which treat the problem of single exponential path and roadmap finding in semialgebraic sets. (See also [GV 2] and [GR] for analogous results concerning this question and [GHRVS] for an expository presentation of the methods used). Our main theorem was announced in [HRS 5] and the corresponding result for the sequential bit complexity model was obtained in [CGV].

We use an approach which is somewhat different from [GV 2] and [CGV] which we developed independently (and simultaneously). We hope that our approach contributes a clarification of the complicated algorithmic structure of the subject.

We use some notions and results from differential topology and the topology of semi-algebraic sets. We also need some ideas from real algebraic geometry, the notion of semialgebraically connected components of semialgebraic sets over an arbitrary real closed field (see [BCR]) for example, or the use of infinitely small (or large) elements and Puiseux series (see [GV 1], [G], [HRS 2,3,4]). We shall employ the "efficient" quantifier elimination method of [HRS 2] (or of [R 3]) in various situations such as the computation of the closure and the interior of a semialgebraic set and the computation of the image of a semialgebraic function.

1.3. Definitions and notations

Let $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be two points of $\mathbf{R}^n$.

We write

$$|x - y| := \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$$

for their euclidean distance. If r is a positive element of $\mathbf{R}$ we write

$$B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n; |x - y| < r\}$$

for the open ball of radius r centered at x .

We think of the (real) affine space $\mathbf{R}^n$ as being equipped with the euclidean topology and the semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the induced one (which we call euclidean as well).

For a given subset $M \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ we write $\overline{M}$ for its closure in the euclidean topology.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ the elementary language of ordered fields with constants from $\mathbf{A}$. Terms of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ are considered as polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbf{A}$. (See [BCR] 2.2).

The Tarski-Seidenberg Principle states that the sets definable by elementary (first order) formulas from $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ are exactly the semialgebraic sets over $\mathbf{A}$.

For a formula $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ we write $d(\Phi)$ for the sum of the (total) degrees of the polynomials appearing as terms in Φ and call this quantity the degree of Φ .

We shall say that a semialgebraic subset S of $\mathbf{R}^n$ is *explicitly given* if there is given a boolean combination of polynomial inequalities (or equivalently a quantifier free formula Φ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$) defining S . In this case we use the (somewhat lax) notation $d(S)$ instead of $d(\Phi)$.

Let $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an explicitly given semialgebraic set with $D := d(S)$. We say that an algorithm which accepts the polynomials defining S is *admissible* if it runs in sequential time $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and in parallel time $(n \log D)^{O(1)}$.

2. The strategy of the proof

2.1. The case of a bounded smooth hypersurface

Let us suppose from now on that S is a bounded and smooth semialgebraic hypersurface of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined as the zeros of a polynomial $F \in \mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which has the property that $\nabla F := (\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1})^2 + \dots + (\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_n})^2$ vanishes nowhere on S . (We call such a polynomial F a *regular equation* of the bounded hypersurface S). We denote by $D := \deg F$ the degree of F .

Our first goal is the construction of families of semialgebraic curves which describe in a certain manner the semialgebraically connected components of S . For this purpose we introduce the following notion of a roadmap (see also [Ca 2]):

Definition 2.1. *Let $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a semialgebraic set. We call a semialgebraic set $\mathfrak{R} \subset W$ a roadmap for W if $\dim \mathfrak{R} \leq 1$ and if the intersection of any semialgebraically connected component of W with $\mathfrak{R}$ is nonempty and semialgebraically connected. (Here $\dim \mathfrak{R}$ denotes the dimension of the semialgebraic set $\mathfrak{R}$. See [BCR] 2.8.)*

In [HRS 3] and [HRS 4] we designed an admissible algorithm for the construction of a suitable roadmap in an arbitrary semialgebraic set W . This algorithm furnishes also an admissible procedure to join any semialgebraically definable point of W (i.e. any point of W with algebraic coordinates over $\mathbf{A}$) with the roadmap by means of a semialgebraically connected curve in W . We show in sections 3 and 4 that this join procedure can be realized in a uniform way in the hypersurface S . Thus we obtain an admissible algorithm which allows us to connect any point of S (not only the semialgebraically definable ones) with a given roadmap of S (see Lemma 11 below).

Let $1 \leq i < n$. We write $\pi_i : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for the semialgebraic projection (or coordinate) function induced by the variable X_i on S . For any point $x := (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n-i}$ let $S_x := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in S; z_{i+1} = x_{i+1}, \dots, z_n = x_n\}$ be the fiber of x in S and let $\pi_i^{(x)} : S_x \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the restriction of π_i to S_x . The fiber S_x is a semialgebraic, closed and bounded subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined by the equation $F_x = 0$, where $F_x := F(X_1, \dots, X_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[X_1, \dots, X_i]$.

We shall say that the fiber S_x is smooth if F_x is a regular equation of S_x .

Let us summarize the roadmap construction of [HRS 3] (compare also [GHRVS]) for the input polynomial F :

The whole algorithm is based on two admissible procedures which both produce semi-algebraically connected curves in S together with their end-points.

(a) the first one consists of constructing a finite semialgebraic partition of the X_n -axis and in determining for each piece of this partition (represented as a closed interval) a finite number of continuous semialgebraic functions having the following properties:

- the graph of each of these functions is contained in S and thus defines a semi-algebraically connected curve in S .

- the curves which are given by these continuous semialgebraic functions on a fixed interval meet every semialgebraically connected component of each π_n -fiber along the interval (see [HRS 3] Corollary 2, or [GHRVS] Theorem 2).

(b) the second procedure is an admissible version of the Curve Selection Lemma in real algebraic geometry ([HRS 3], Corollary 4). It consists of constructing connected curves starting from any given point $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ which lies e.g. in the fiber S_{x_n} of a critical value x_n of the function π_n . These curves enter in every semialgebraically connected components of the intersection of a suitable open ball around x with the fibers S_{x_n+t} and S_{x_n-t} for sufficiently small $t > 0$.

These curves are used in the roadmap construction to move fibers of critical values of π_n into fibers of non-critical (regular) values.

By means of procedures (a) and (b), in [HRS 3] we designed an admissible algorithm for a roadmap construction in S as follows (our algorithm is recursive in n and starts from the input polynomial F):

(1) One constructs in admissible time an $\mathbf{A}$ -linear transformation of the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ which induces on S an M-function $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (following the terminology of [HRS 3] we call a Nash function an M- function if it has only finitely many critical points). Let us assume without loss of generality that $\pi = \pi_n$.

(2) One applies the procedure (a) to the X_n -axis in order to obtain a family of connected curves which intersect all semialgebraically connected components of all π_n -fibers (see [HRS 3] section 4, Step 2).

(3) For each critical point of π_n and each point which arises by intersecting any curve constructed in (2) with the fiber of a critical value of π_n , we produce by procedure (b) a set of connected curves starting from that point.

(4) Subdividing the X_n -axis, once more if necessary, into closed semialgebraic intervals we assure that each curve constructed in (2) and (3) contains at most one point (which is an end-point) lying in a fiber of a critical value of π_n .

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of curves constructed in (2) and (3) and subject to the rearrangement (4) and let $\mathcal{C} \subset S$ be the set of the end-points of the curves contained in $\mathcal{K}$.

(5) For each $x_n \in \pi_n(\mathcal{C})$ which is not a critical value of π_n (i.e. the fiber S_{x_n} is smooth) one links all the points of $S_{x_n} \cap \mathcal{C}$ which lie in the same semialgebraically connected component of S_{x_n} by means of a connected semialgebraic curve produced by a recursive call of our algorithm for the input polynomial $F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, x_n)$ which is a regular equation for the smooth bounded hypersurface S_{x_n} of the $n-1$ dimensional real affine space $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \{x_n\}$.

In the present paper Proposition 1 and 5 below are parametric versions of the procedures (a) and (b). We construct in advance the semialgebraic curves which the above algorithm produces by its recursive calls of (2) and (3). The recursive argument of (4) will be replaced by a limited number of applications of the effective quantifier elimination algorithm for real closed fields [HRS 2] (or [R 3]) to certain prenex formulas which contain only one block of (existential) quantifiers. These formulas represent the result of the composition of at most n semialgebraic maps (see Lemma 11 and Remark 12).

Finally the recursive application of (1), where we construct a suitable A -linear transformation of the variables in order to obtain coordinates which represent M-functions, will be replaced in the next section by a uniform choice of M-projections which can be done in advance (see Remark 10).

2.2. The general case

Using infinitesimal deformations and quantifier elimination for computing limits, it is possible now to compute in admissible time the connected components of any semialgebraic set from the connected components of a smooth bounded hypersurface, according to [CGV], Sections 2 and Section 3 or [HRS 4], Section 4.

3. Parametric roadmaps

We denote by p_i the canonical projection: $p_i : \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^i$ defined by

$$p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (x_1, \dots, x_i) \quad \text{for } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

For a given set $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and a point $x' \in \mathbf{R}^i$, we write $W_{x'} := p_i^{-1}(x') \cap W$.

In the next proposition we describe the fibers of a projection of a given semialgebraic set by means of a parametrized family of continuous semialgebraic curves of admissible complexity.

Proposition 1. *Let $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an explicitly given bounded, closed semialgebraic set. Given a fixed index i , $1 \leq i < n$, it is possible to construct in admissible time a semialgebraic subdivision $(\mathcal{U}_l)_{1 \leq l \leq N}$ of $p_i(W)$, a semialgebraic subdivision $(\mathcal{T}_l)_{1 \leq l \leq N}$ of $p_{i+1}(W)$ and a family $(\eta_{lj})_{1 \leq l \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq N_l}$ of continuous semialgebraic functions $\eta_{lj} : \mathcal{T}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *for any pair of indices (l, j) the graph of $\eta_{(l,j)}$ is contained in W ,*
- (ii) *for any index l and any point $z \in \mathcal{U}_l$ the fiber $(\mathcal{T}_l)_z$ is a closed subinterval of the line $(\mathbf{R}^{i+1})_z$,*
- (iii) *for any point $x' \in p_{i+1}(W)$ and for any semialgebraically connected component of $W_{x'}$ there exists a pair of indices (l, j) such that $\eta_{(l,j)}(x')$ belongs to this component.*

Proof. The fact that W is closed and bounded implies that $p_i(W)$ and $p_{i+1}(W)$ are closed and bounded too.

Applying [HRS 2], Theorem 7 (compare also [HRS 3], Theorem 1) to the semialgebraic projection induced by p_{i+1} we find in admissible time a semialgebraic partition $(T_m)_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ of $p_{i+1}(W)$ and a family $(\xi_{(m,s)})_{1 \leq m \leq M, 1 \leq s \leq M_m}$ of continuous semialgebraic functions $\xi_{(m,s)} : T_m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ with the following properties:

- for any pair of indices (m, s) the graph of $\xi_{(m,s)}$ is contained in W ,
- for any point $x' \in p_{i+1}(W)$ and any semialgebraically connected component of $W_{x'}$ there exists a pair of indices (m, s) such that $\xi_{(m,s)}(x')$ belongs to this component.

Furthermore we may assume without loss of generality that the sets T_m are defined by an admissible family of polynomials which is stable under derivation with respect to the variable X_{i+1} .

Since the semialgebraic sets W and $p_{i+1}(W)$ are closed and bounded the sets $\overline{T_m}$ have the same property and they form a covering of $p_{i+1}(W)$. Moreover we may extend the maps $\xi_{(m,s)}$ in admissible time to continuous semialgebraic functions $\xi_{(m,s)} : \overline{T_m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ by means of the quantifier elimination procedures [HRS 2] or [R 3].

We are going to subdivide in admissible time the family $(\overline{T_m})_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ into a suitable partition $(T_l)_{1 \leq l \leq N}$ of $p_{i+1}(W)$. The continuous semialgebraic functions $\eta_{(l,j)} : T_l \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ will be obtained by restricting the functions $\xi_{(m,s)}$. Therefore the conditions (i) and (iii) of the proposition will be automatically satisfied.

Since the sets T_m are bounded and defined by a family of polynomials which is stable under derivations with respect to the variable X_{i+1} we conclude by Thom's Lemma ([BCR], Proposition 2.5.4) that for any $z \in \mathbf{R}^i$ the fiber $(\overline{T_m})_z$ is a bounded and closed subinterval of the line $(\mathbf{R}^{i+1})_z$.

For an arbitrary index m let us consider the semialgebraic subset $\widetilde{T_m}$ of $\overline{T_m}$ defined by the formula:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in \widetilde{T_m} : \Longleftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon) (\exists t) (\varepsilon > 0 \rightarrow ((-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon) \wedge \wedge (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1} + t) \notin \overline{T_m} \wedge (x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in \overline{T_m}))$$

We observe that $\widetilde{T_m}$ is the set of extrema of all the intervals $(\overline{T_m})_z$ where $z \in \mathbf{R}^i$.

It is possible to compute $\widetilde{T_m}$ explicitly in admissible time by means of the quantifier elimination procedures [HRS 2] or [R 3].

Let $\pi : \mathbf{R}^{i+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^i$ be the projection which forgets the $i+1$ -th coordinate.

Since the semialgebraic sets $\overline{T_m}$ are closed and bounded one verifies immediately $\pi(\overline{T_m}) = \pi(\widetilde{T_m})$.

Applying [HRS 2], Theorem 7 to the semialgebraic map $\widetilde{T_m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^i$ induced by the projection π we obtain in admissible time for each m a semialgebraic partition $(\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)})_{1 \leq m \leq M, 1 \leq r \leq M_m}$ of $\pi(\widetilde{T_m})$ and for each pair of indices (m, r) two continuous semialgebraic functions $\alpha_{(m,r)}^-, \alpha_{(m,r)}^+ : \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (representing opposite interval ends) satisfying the conditions:

- for any index r , the graph of $\alpha_{(m,r)}^-$ and $\alpha_{(m,r)}^+$ is contained in $\widetilde{T_m}$ and the inequality $\alpha_{(m,r)}^-(z) \leq \alpha_{(m,r)}^+(z)$ holds for any $z \in \mathcal{U}_{(m,r)}$.

- for any point $z \in \mathbf{R}^i$ and for any semialgebraically connected component of $(\widetilde{T_m})_z$ there exists an index r such that $(z, \alpha_{(m,r)}^-(z))$ and $(z, \alpha_{(m,r)}^+(z))$ belong to that component.

For given m the semialgebraic sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)}$ form a partition of $\pi(\widetilde{T_m}) = \pi(\overline{T_m})$. The sets $\overline{T_m}$ cover the image $p_{i+1}(W)$ and we have $p_i = \pi \circ p_{i+1}$. Therefore the sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)}$, $1 \leq m \leq M$, $1 \leq r \leq M_m$ cover the semialgebraic set $p_i(W)$.

For the sake of notational simplicity let us rename the semialgebraic sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)}$ and the functions $\alpha_{(m,r)}^-$, $\alpha_{(m,r)}^+ : \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as $\mathcal{U}_l$ and α_l^- , α_l^+ , where $1 \leq l \leq N$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the family $(\mathcal{U}_l)_{1 \leq l \leq N}$ forms a semialgebraic partition of $p_i(W)$.

Finally let us consider for $1 \leq l \leq N$ the semialgebraic sets defined by the formulas

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{T}_l := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{i+1}; (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in \mathcal{U}_l, \alpha_l^-(x_1, \dots, x_i) \leq x_{i+1} \leq \alpha_l^+(x_1, \dots, x_i) \right\}.$$

Our construction guarantees that for $\mathcal{U}_l = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{(m,r)}$ the set $\mathcal{T}_l$ is contained in $\overline{T_m}$ and that the union of all sets $\mathcal{T}_l$ covers $p_{i+1}(W)$ (in fact they form a partition of $p_{i+1}(W)$).

Moreover the sets $\mathcal{T}_l$ satisfy condition (ii) of proposition 1 above.

The continuous semialgebraic functions $\eta_{(l,j)} : \mathcal{T}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ are obtained by embedding $\mathcal{T}_l$ in a suitable semialgebraic set $\overline{T_m}$ and restricting the functions $\xi_{(m,s)} : \overline{T_m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i-1}$ to $\mathcal{T}_l$. Therefore conditions (i) and (iii) of the proposition are also satisfied. $\square$

With the same notations and assumptions as before, we make the following remarks:

Remark 2. Our construction of the semialgebraic sets and functions $\mathcal{T}_l, \mathcal{U}_l, \alpha_l^+, \alpha_l^-$ implies that for any $z \in \mathcal{U}_l$ the following equality holds :

$$\{z\} \times [\alpha_l^-(z), \alpha_l^+(z)] = (\mathcal{T}_l)_z.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the formula $(*)$ in the proof of Proposition 1.

Remark 3. Our algorithms operate on formulas of the first order language $\mathcal{L}(A)$ in the aim of manipulating geometrical objects. In this sense the algorithm underlying Proposition 1 transforms in admissible time the input formula defining the semialgebraic set W into a family of quantifier free formulas $\varphi_{(l,j)} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ in $i+n$ variables $X_1, \dots, X_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ satisfying $\sum_{(l,j)} d(\varphi_{(l,j)}) = D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

The main property of these formulas $\varphi_{(l,j)}$ consists of the following: for each $z \in \mathcal{U}_l$, the formula $\varphi_{(l,j)}(z, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ describes a semialgebraic curve contained in the fiber W_z . The parametric form of this curve is the semialgebraic continuous function $\eta_{(l,j)}$ of the proposition and $\varphi_{(l,j)}$ describes the graph of $\eta_{(l,j)}$.

In a similar way the algorithm computes in admissible time quantifier free formulas $\Theta_{(l,j)}^+, \Theta_{(l,j)}^-$ of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ satisfying $\sum_{(l,j)} (d(\Theta_{(l,j)}^+) + d(\Theta_{(l,j)}^-)) = D^{n^{O(1)}}$. These formulas describe the end-points of the parametric curves $\eta_{(l,j)}$.

Remark 4. We observe that Proposition 1 is a generalization of Theorem 7 of [HRS 2]. In fact for the case of a closed and bounded semialgebraic set this theorem follows from Proposition 1 putting $i = 0$.

The next proposition represents a parametric version of the admissible curve selection lemma of [HRS 3], Section 4.

Proposition 5. *Let $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an explicitly given semialgebraic set.*

For fixed $0 \leq i < n$, it is possible to construct in admissible time the following items:

- *a semialgebraic subdivision $(\mathcal{W}_r)_{1 \leq r \leq R}$ of W ,*
- *a family of continuous semialgebraic functions $f_r : \mathcal{W}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$,*
- *an other family of continuous semialgebraic functions $g_{(r,j)}^-, g_{(r,j)}^+ : V_r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i}$ where $1 \leq j \leq R_r$ and V_r is the semialgebraic set defined by $V_r := \{ (x, t) \in \mathcal{W}_r \times \mathbf{R} ; 0 \leq t \leq f_r(x) \}$.*

These semialgebraic sets and functions satisfy the following conditions: let $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an arbitrary point of $\mathcal{W}_r$ and t an arbitrary element of R such that $(x, t) \in V_r$ holds. Then:

- $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^+(x, t)) \in W$ (resp. $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^-(x, t)) \in W$),
- $g_{(r,j)}^+(x, 0) = g_{(r,j)}^-(x, 0) = (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$,
- $p_{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^+(x, t)) = (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1} + t)$ and $p_{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^-(x, t)) = (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1} - t)$,
- *for each $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{i+1} + t)$ (resp. $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{i+1} - t)$) and each semialgebraically connected component of $W_{x'}$, there exists a couple of indices (r, j) such that $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^+(x, t))$ (resp. $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^-(x, t))$) belongs to this component.*

Proof. We describe only the construction of the functions $g_{(r,j)}^+$ (the functions $g_{(r,j)}^-$ are obtained similarly).

Let $\widetilde{W} \in \mathbf{R}^{2n-i+1}$ be the semialgebraic set defined in the following way:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n, t) \in \widetilde{W} : \Longleftrightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) \in W \times W$$

$$y_{i+1} = x_{i+1} + t, \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i+1 \leq l \leq n} (x_l - y_l)^2 \leq 2t^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let $p : \mathbf{R}^{2n-i+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection which forgets the last $n-i$ coordinates $y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n$ and $q : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ the one which forgets the last coordinate t . Observe that $W = (q \circ p)(\widetilde{W})$ holds.

In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1, we obtain a semialgebraic subdivision $(\mathcal{W}_r)_{1 \leq r \leq R}$ of $W = (q \circ p)(\widetilde{W})$ and a semialgebraic subdivision $(\mathcal{H}_r)_{1 \leq r \leq R}$ of $p(\widetilde{W})$ such that for any $x \in W$ the fiber $(\mathcal{H}_r)_x$ is a subinterval of the half-line $t \geq 0$ containing 0 and with a right end-point $h_r(x) \geq 0$ which may be infinite. We don't repeat the proof of this fact which is the same as the corresponding arguments in Proposition 1. We remark only that one has to pay attention to our weaker assumptions on W , which is no longer assumed to be closed and bounded. (This makes it necessary to also admit the value ∞ for h_r .)

We define $f_r(x) := \frac{1}{2}h_r(x)$ if $h_r(x)$ is finite, and $f_r(x) := 1$ if $h_r(x) = +\infty$.

The continuous semialgebraic maps $g_{(r,j)}$ are constructed in the same way as the functions $\eta_{(l,j)}$ in the proof of Proposition 1. We do not repeat the arguments. $\square$

With the same notations and assumptions as in Proposition 5, we have

Remark 6. Each of the semialgebraic continuous functions $g_{(r,j)}^+ : V_r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-i}$ in Proposition 5 is given by a quantifier free formula

$\Gamma_{(r,j)}^+$ which belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ and depends on the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n, T, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_n$. The set of these formulas is obtained in admissible time from the quantifier free input formula defining W .

The continuous semialgebraic function $g_{(r,j)}^+$ parametrizes for each $x \in \mathcal{W}_r$ a closed and semialgebraically connected curve in the fiber $W_{p_i(x)}$ passing through x .

All these curves (which depend on the parameter x) are defined uniformly by a quantifier free formula $\Psi_{(r,j)}^+ \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ in the variables

$X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ which we obtain in admissible time eliminating the existential quantifier in the formula:

$$(\exists T) ((X_1, \dots, X_n, T, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_n) \in \text{Graph}(g_{(r,j)}^+) \wedge X_1 = Y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_i = Y_i)$$

In the same way we obtain for each r, j a quantifier free formula $\Psi_{(r,j)}^- \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ in the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ which defines uniformly all the curves given by $g_{(r,j)}^-$.

In a similar way we obtain in admissible time quantifier free formulas $\Omega_{(r,j)}^+$ (resp. $\Omega_{(r,j)}^-$) of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ depending on the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ such that for each point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of W , $\Omega_{(r,j)}^+(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ (resp. $\Omega_{(r,j)}^-(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$) defines the end-point $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^+(x, f(x)))$ (resp. $(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^-(x, f(x)))$).

Remark 7. In the case that W is a smooth and bounded hypersurface described by a regular polynomial equation $F = 0$ (i.e. ∇F vanishes nowhere in W), the functions $f_r, g_{(r,j)}^+, g_{(r,j)}^-$ of Proposition 5 may be chosen such that the following condition is satisfied: for each $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W$ such that $F(x_1, \dots, x_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$ is a regular polynomial equation for the fiber $W_{p_i(x)}$ contained in $\mathbf{R}^{n-i}$, the points $\pi_{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^+(x, f(x)))$ and $\pi_{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, g_{(r,j)}^-(x, f(x)))$ are not critical values of the projection map $\pi_{i+1} : W_{p_i(x)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The proof of this refinement is essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 5. The only point to be modified is the following: in the definition of $\widetilde{W}$ we have to add the semialgebraic condition :

$$W_{p_i(x)} \text{ smooth} \Rightarrow y_{i+1} \text{ is not a critical value for } \pi_{i+1}|_{W_{p_i(x)}}.$$

Therefore in the case of a smooth and bounded hypersurface W given by a regular polynomial equation we are able to move points outside from critical fibers replacing x by $(p_i(x), g_{(r,j)}^+(x, f_r(x)))$ and $(p_i(x), g_{(r,j)}^-(x, f_r(x)))$ (compare section 2.1 (3)).

We now give a uniform construction of M -functions. It is well known that after a generic linear change of coordinates, each new coordinate becomes a Morse- and consequently an M -function. We realize this geometrical idea by a recursive construction of generic coordinates which uses at each step new indeterminates for the coefficients of the linear variable transformation involved and which works in a real closed extension of the field $\mathbf{R}$.

Let $\delta_j^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, be algebraically independent elements over $\mathbf{R}$, which we order in the following way:

- $\delta_{j'}^{(i')} < \delta_j^{(i)}$ iff $i' > i$ or $i' = i$ and $j' > j$.

- $0 < \delta_{j'}^{(i')} < z$ for every positive element $z \in \mathbf{R}(\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_j^{(i)})$ where the variables $\delta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_j^{(i)}$ are exactly all the variables greater than $\delta_{j'}^{(i')}$.

For $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\mathbf{R}_i$ be the real closure of the field $\mathbf{R}(\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(i)})$, $\mathbf{A}_i := \mathbf{A}[\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(i)}]$, $\mathbf{R}' := \mathbf{R}_n$ and $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A}_n$.

We consider independent linear forms Z_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ defined as follows:

$$Z_i := \delta_i^{(i)} X_i + \delta_{i+1}^{(i)} X_{i+1} + \dots + \delta_n^{(i)} X_n.$$

For a semialgebraic set $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ defined by a formula Φ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$, we denote by $W' \subset \mathbf{R}'^n$ the interpretation of Φ in $\mathbf{R}'^n$.

We conclude this section considering the case of a smooth and bounded hypersurface.

Suppose as before that S is a bounded and smooth hypersurface of $\mathbf{R}^n$ given by a regular equation $F = 0$, where F is a polynomial of $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Thus the gradient vector ∇F vanishes nowhere on S . Let $D := \deg F$.

Lemma 8. *There exists a non zero n -variate polynomial P with coefficients in $\mathbf{A}$ having the following property : for any real closed extension field L of $\mathbf{R}$ and for any point $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of $L^n \setminus \{P = 0\}$, the linear form $\alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n$ induces a M -projection on the hypersurface $\{x \in L^n; F(x) = 0\}$.*

Proof. (sketch) : take the Gauss map of the hypersurface S into the n -sphere, square its coordinates and apply the semialgebraic version of Sard's theorem ([BCR], Theorem 9.5.2) to this map. Then use the Transfer Principle ([BCR], Proposition 5.2.3). $\square$

Corollary 9. *Fix $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Let $(t_1, \dots, t_i)$ a point of $\mathbf{R}_i^i$ such that $F(t_1, \dots, t_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) = 0$ is a regular equation for the fiber $S'_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$ contained in $\mathbf{R}^{n-i}$. Observe that $S'_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$ is a smooth hypersurface. Then the linear form $Z_{i+1} := \delta_{i+1}^{(i+1)} X_{i+1} + \delta_{i+2}^{(i+1)} X_{i+2} + \dots + \delta_n^{(i+1)} X_n$ induces an M -projection on $S'_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$.*

Proof. Let P be the polynomial of Lemma 8 corresponding to the hypersurface $S_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$ of the affine space $\mathbf{R}_i^{n-i}$. The coefficients of P are elements of $\mathbf{R}_i$. Thus we have $P(\delta_{i+1}^{(i+1)}, \delta_{i+2}^{(i+1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(i+1)}) \neq 0$ since the elements $\delta_{i+1}^{(i+1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(i+1)}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbf{R}_i$. This implies that the linear form Z_{i+1} induces an M -projection on $S'_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$. $\square$

In the situation of Corollary 9 we write Z_{i+1} for the M -projection induced by the linear form Z_{i+1} on $S'_{(t_1, \dots, t_i)}$.

Remark 10. Corollary 9 implies that the linear form Z_1 induces a M -projection on S' which is denoted by Z_1 .

The curves which occur when we apply the roadmap construction ([HRS 3], section 4) to S' and to the M -projection Z_1 have end-points with coordinates in $\mathbf{R}_1$ because Z_1 is a linear form of $\mathbf{R}_1[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Therefore the Z_1 -images of these points also belong to $\mathbf{R}_1$. If $t \in \mathbf{R}_1$ is a regular (i.e. not a critical) value of Z_1 the semialgebraic set $Z_1^{-1}(t) \cap S'$ is a smooth variety and Z_2 is an M -projection of $Z_1^{-1}(t) \cap S'$ following Corollary 9. Iterating this argument we see that $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n-1}$ are M -projections on all the fibers which occur in the construction [HRS 3] of a roadmap of S' passing through a given point x of S . This observation remains true when the point x belongs to $S' \cap \mathbf{R}_1^n$.

We denote by σ the roadmap of S given by the construction in [HRS 3]. This roadmap is defined by a quantifier free formula Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ which we obtain in admissible time from the input polynomial F which represents the hypersurface S . Observe that σ' is also a roadmap of S' .

The following lemma describes a parametrized construction of a roadmap passing through a given point.

Lemma 11. *As before, let S be a bounded, smooth hypersurface of $\mathbf{R}^n$ given by a regular equation $F = 0$ where F is a polynomial of $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and let σ be the roadmap of S introduced above. Then it is possible to construct in admissible time from the input F a quantifier free formula Φ in $2n$ variables $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ which belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ and which verifies the following condition: for each $x \in S$ the formula $\Phi(x, Y)$ describes a roadmap σ'_x of S' which contains the point x and the curve σ' in such a way that σ' forms also a roadmap of σ'_x . The algorithm presents Φ in disjunctive form as $\Phi = \bigvee_{1 \leq s \leq N} \Phi_s$ such that for each $x' \in S'$ the formula $\Phi_s(x', Y)$ describes a point or a closed semialgebraically connected curve contained in S' . Moreover we have $d(\Phi) = D^{n^{O(1)}}$.*

Proof: Our procedure is a modified version of the roadmap construction of [HRS 3] section 4, which applied to S' produces in admissible time three item classes of the following type :

- M -directions for S'
- continuous semialgebraic curves contained in S'
- base points obtained intersecting the curves above with suitable fibers of M -directions.

We indicate only the modifications which the algorithm of [HRS 3] that produces these item classes undergoes in order to construct the output formula Φ in the statement above. The first modification concerns the choice of M -directions. The new M -directions are projection maps induced on S' and fibers of S' by the linear forms $Z_i := \delta_i^{(i)} X_i + \delta_{i+1}^{(i)} X_{i+1} + \dots + \delta_n^{(i)} X_n$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$. According to Remark 10 we may suppose that the roadmap construction of [HRS 3] uses only these linear transformations as M -directions. In this sense we may think that the selection of M -directions is done uniformly.

Moreover we choose the continuous semialgebraic curves of S' corresponding to the second item class above applying Proposition 1 and Proposition 5. Only the third item class requires a more careful analysis. We suppose now that the base points corresponding to this item class are already given by the algorithm of [HRS 3] and we show that in fact this procedure is uniform. The rest of the proof is devoted to this question.

The coefficients of the linear forms $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ describe a non-singular linear transformation (over $\mathbf{A}'$) of the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$. Thus we may replace the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ by $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$. This substitution transforms the polynomial F to another one which depends on the new variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$. The coefficients of the new polynomial belong to the field of fractions of $\mathbf{A}'$. Multiplying this polynomial by a suitable non-zero element of $\mathbf{A}'$ (in fact the determinant of $n \times n$ matrix given by the coefficients of the forms $Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-i}$) we cancel denominators and thus obtain a polynomial G with coefficients in $\mathbf{A}'$.

Obviously this procedure is completely algorithmic, can be performed in admissible time and the new polynomial G satisfies $\deg G = \deg F$. Moreover G is a regular equation

of the smooth hypersurface S' .

Applying Proposition 1 and Proposition 5 to the semialgebraic set S' given by the regular equation $G = 0$ we obtain in admissible time for each i , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, quantifier free formulas of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ any of which describes a parametrized family of semialgebraically connected curves lying in S' . The parameters on which these families depend range over suitable semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbf{R}^i$ (compare Remark 3 and Remark 6). We write $(\Psi_j^{(i)})_{0 \leq j \leq M_i}$ for the family of these formulas.

The quantifier free formulas $\Psi_j^{(i)}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ involve the $2n$ variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, U_1, \dots, U_n$. Let $Z := (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ and $U := (U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

Analyzing the roadmap construction of [HRS 3] we see that this algorithm constructs implicitly a family $q_{jh}^{(i)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $0 \leq j \leq M_i$, $0 \leq h \leq H_i$) of continuous semialgebraic functions with domains contained in S' and values in $\mathbf{R}'$. These functions describe the coordinates of the base points obtained by the procedure of [HRS 3].

Let us consider the following expression Ξ which depends on the two sets of variables $Z := (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ and $U := (U_1, \dots, U_n)$:

$$\bigvee_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq M_i \\ 0 \leq h \leq H_i}} \underbrace{\Psi_j^{(i)}(q_{1h}^{(i)}(Z), \dots, q_{nh}^{(i)}(Z), U_1, \dots, U_n) \wedge U_1 = q_{1h}^{(i)}(Z) \wedge \dots \wedge U_i = q_{ih}^{(i)}(Z)}_{\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}}$$

We denote the conjunctions appearing in the expression Ξ above by $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}$. Observe that Remark 3 and Remark 6 guarantee that for any point z of S' the formula $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}(z, U)$ defines a point of S' or a semialgebraically connected curve which is contained in S' .

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the regular $n \times n$ -matrix defined by the coefficients of the linear forms $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ and let $\mathfrak{S}^{-1}$ be its inverse. Transforming the variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ by $\mathfrak{S}^{-1}$ we reobtain the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$. Analogously let the variables $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ be defined transforming $U_1, \dots, U_n$ by means of $\mathfrak{S}^{-1}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}(S)$ the subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ obtained transforming the semialgebraic set S by means of the matrix $\mathfrak{S}$ (observe that the set $\mathfrak{S}(S)$ is not semialgebraic).

Let z be a point of $\mathfrak{S}(S)$.

From the roadmap construction of [HRS 3], Remark 3, Remark 7 and Remark 10 we infer that the set $\mathfrak{R}(z) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ defined by $\Xi(z, U)$ is a roadmap of S' which contains the point z .

We now apply the algorithm of [HRS 3] to the hypersurface S' in the following way : every time the algorithm requires an M -direction we choose one of the projection maps $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ according to Remark 10. In this manner we obtain a roadmap σ_1 of S' . From the previous observations we deduce that σ_1 is contained in the curve $\mathfrak{R}(z)$ for any point z of $\mathfrak{S}(S)$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\mathfrak{S}(\sigma)$ is also contained in $\mathfrak{R}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$.

Unfortunately the roadmap construction of [HRS 3], as far as the variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ are concerned, doesn't present the expressions $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}$ as a quantifier free formula of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

Suppose for the moment that this is the case, i.e. that the expression $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}$ is represented by a quantifier free formula of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ which can be obtained in admissible time and which has degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$. Then we have $N = D^{n^{O(1)}}$ such formulas which depend on the variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ and $U_1, \dots, U_n$. Transforming these variables by means of the matrix $\mathfrak{S}^{-1}$ we obtain quantifier free formulas Φ_s in the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ where $1 \leq s \leq N$.

Since for any $z \in S'$ the expressions $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}(z, U)$ define points of S' or semialgebraically connected curves contained in S' , the same property is shared by the formula $\Phi_s(x, Y)$ for any $x \in S'$ and any $1 \leq s \leq N$. Under the hypothesis made before we are able to construct the formulas Φ_s in admissible time and we have $d(\Phi_s) = D^{n^{O(1)}}$. The formulas Φ_s and $\Phi := \bigvee_{1 \leq s \leq N} \Phi_s$ therefore satisfy the requirements of the lemma if we are able to

show that the expressions $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}(Z, U)$ are represented by quantifier free formulas of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ which can be obtained from the input polynomial F in admissible time.

From the construction in [HRS 3] it is easy to see that the number H_i of base points in the i -th step of the algorithm and the number M_i of formulas $\Psi_j^{(i)}$ are of order $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ (recall that H_i and M_i are quantities which were introduced in the expression Ξ above).

We finish the proof representing each expression $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}$ by a quantifier free formula of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ which can be obtained in admissible time.

For this purpose, we apply the quantifier elimination algorithm of [HRS 2] or [R 3] to the purely existential prenex formulas $\Lambda_{jh}^{(i)}$ which we introduce below. These formulas have degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and contain not more than $3n^2 + 2n$ variables.

For the moment let i, j and h be fixed.

From Remark 3 and Remark 6 we obtain quantifier free formulas $\Theta_l^{(i)}$ and $\Omega_l^{(i)}$ in not more than $2n$ variables which describe the end-points of the parametrized family of curves given by the formula $\Psi_j^{(i)}$

The following prenex formulas describe all possible intersections of the parametrized families of curves defined by the formulas $\Phi_j^{(i)}$, where $0 \leq j \leq M_i$, with fibers which contain an end-point of one of these curves. To $\Psi_j^{(i-1)}$ correspond the following two formulas :

$$(\exists V_{i+1} \dots \exists V_n) (\Psi_j^{(i-1)}(Z, U) \wedge \Theta_l^{(i-1)}(Z_1, \dots, Z_i, U_1, \dots, U_i, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n))$$

and

$$(\exists V_{i+1} \dots \exists V_n) (\Psi_j^{(i-1)}(Z, U) \wedge \Omega_l^{(i-1)}(Z, U_1, \dots, U_i, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_n)).$$

All these prenex formulas (with $0 \leq j \leq M_i$) contain only one block of existential quantifiers and applying to them the quantifier elimination algorithm of [HRS 2] or [R 3] we obtain in admissible time a family of equivalent quantifier free formulas $(\Gamma_\ell^{(i)})_{0 \leq \ell \leq L_i}$ of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ which depend on the variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, U_1, \dots, U_n$.

We introduce new variables $T_t^{(s)}$ where $0 \leq s \leq i-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$, $W_{t'}^{(s')}$ where $1 \leq s' \leq i-1$ and $1 \leq t' \leq n$, and $V_v^{(p)}$ where $1 \leq p \leq i-1$ and $p < r \leq n$. Observe that the number of these variables doesn't exceed $3n^2$.

We define now for each triple of indices i, j, h a purely existential prenex formula $\Lambda_{jh}^{(i)}$ which has degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and can be constructed in admissible time. This formula describes a parametrized family of continuous semialgebraic curves which connects base points lying in the same semialgebraically connected component of S' . The formula $\Lambda_{jh}^{(i)}$ is given in the way we indicate below.

Let $[\exists]$ be the existential quantifier block

$$(\exists T_t^{(s)})(\exists W_{t'}^{(s')})(\exists V_r^{(p)})$$

where $0 \leq s \leq i-1$, $1 \leq t \leq n$, $1 \leq s' \leq i-1$, $1 \leq t' \leq n$, $1 \leq p \leq i-1$, $p < r \leq n$.

Furthermore let $[\star]$ be the following quantifier free formula :

$$\Omega_{l_0}^{(0)}(Z, T^{(0)}) \wedge \Gamma_{\ell_1}^{(1)}(T_1^{(0)}, V^{(1)}, W^{(1)}) \wedge \Omega_{l_1}^{(1)}(W^{(1)}, T^{(1)}) \wedge \Gamma_{\ell_2}^{(2)}(T_1^{(0)}, T_2^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, W^{(2)}) \wedge \Omega_{l_2}^{(2)}(W^{(2)}, T^{(2)}) \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma_{\ell_{i-1}}^{(i-1)}(T_1^{(0)}, \dots, T_{i-1}^{(i-2)}, V^{(i-1)}, W^{(i-1)}) \wedge \Omega_{l_{i-1}}^{(i-1)}(W^{(i-1)}, T^{(i-1)}).$$

(The formulas $\Gamma_{\ell_k}^{(k)}$ contained in $[\star]$ describe the coordinates of the base points which our modified version of the algorithm of [HRS 3] constructs recursively, and the formulas $\Omega_{l_k}^{(k)}$ move these base points into non-critical fibers with respect to the projection maps Z_k .)

Finally let $\Lambda_{jh}^{(i)}$ be the formula given in the following syntactical way :

$$[\exists] (\Psi_j^{(i)}(T_1^{(0)}, \dots, T_i^{(i-1)}, T_{i+1}^{(i-1)}, \dots, T_n^{(i-1)}, U) \wedge U_1 = T_1^{(0)} \wedge \dots \wedge U_i = T_i^{(i-1)} \wedge [\star])$$

The quantifier free formulas representing the expressions $\Xi_{jh}^{(i)}$ are now obtained by applying to the purely existential prenex formulas $\Lambda_{jh}^{(i)}$ the quantifier elimination procedure [HRS 2] or [R 3]. It is evident that all formulas occurring in our construction are of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$, that there are only $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ many of them and that they can be produced in admissible time. This finishes the proof of this lemma. $\square$

Remark 12. A key point in the proof of the previous technical lemma is the simple observation that the composition of several semialgebraic functions can be described by an elementary prenex formula which is purely existential and contains therefore just one single block of quantifiers. This block can be eliminated in admissible time by means of the algorithms [HRS 2] or [R 3].

4. Description of the connected components in admissible time

In this section we finish the proof of our main theorem : the description of the semialgebraically connected components of an explicitly given semialgebraic set in admissible time.

Proof of the main theorem : According to [HRS 4], Section 4 (or [CGV], Section 2 and Section 3) it suffices to consider the case of a smooth and bounded hypersurface S of

$\mathbf{R}^n$ given by a regular equation $F = 0$ where F is a polynomial of $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree D .

From Lemma 11 we deduce that it is possible to construct in admissible time a quantifier free formula Φ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ which has the form of a disjunction $\bigvee_{1 \leq s \leq N} \Phi_s$ and in which each Φ_s describes a parametrized family of continuous semialgebraic curves contained in S' .

The formula Φ depends on the variables $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and for each point $x \in S$ the expression $\Phi(x, Y)$ defines a roadmap of S' which passes through x .

For each couple s, t where $1 \leq s \leq t \leq N$ let Φ_{st} be the quantifier free formula of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ which we obtain applying the quantifier elimination procedure [HRS 2] or [R 3] to the formula :

$$(\exists Y_1 \dots \exists Y_n) (\Phi_s(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \wedge \Phi_t(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all polynomials of $\mathbf{A}'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which occur as terms in the formulas Φ_{st} . Obviously the set $\mathcal{F}$ can be constructed in admissible time and satisfies the estimate $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \deg F = \sum_{s, t} d(\Phi_{st}) = D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

A (non-empty) semialgebraic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined by a consistent sign condition on the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ is called an $\mathcal{F}$ -cell. According to [G] (see also [HRS 1] and [HRS 2]) the number of $\mathcal{F}$ -cells is of order $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and we can find the consistent sign conditions defining them in admissible time.

We consider all possible non-empty intersections $V_1, \dots, V_M$ of $\mathcal{F}$ -cells with the hypersurface S' . Thus we have $S' = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq M} V_k$ and $M = D^{n^{O(1)}}$. Observe that each formula Φ_{st} has constant truth value on each set V_k since the polynomials appearing as terms in Φ_{st} belong to $\mathcal{F}$ and therefore have constant sign on V_k .

Let k with $1 \leq k \leq M$ be fixed. We consider the binary relation $\sim_k$ on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ defined as follows :

for $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ let $s \sim_k t$ hold if and only if the formula Φ_{st} is true on V_k .

The relation $\sim_k$ is symmetric but not necessarily reflexive. Let $\mathcal{M}_k$ be its domain which is contained in $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. Then the transitive closure of the relation $\sim_k$ induces an equivalence relation on the set $\mathcal{M}_k$.

Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$ be the partition of $\mathcal{M}_k$ which corresponds to this equivalence relation. Computing the transitive closure of the relation $\sim_k$ by the algorithm of [M], Theorem V.5.3, we obtain in admissible time the partition sets $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$.

For any index p with $1 \leq p \leq r$ let $\Delta_p^{(k)}$ be the following quantifier free formula of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$:

$$\bigvee_{s \in \Lambda_p} \Phi_s(X, Y) .$$

Obviously the formulas $\Delta_p^{(k)}$ have degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and can be constructed in admissible time.

Claim. For any point $x \in V_k \cap S$ the formula $\Delta_p^{(k)}(x, Y)$ defines a semialgebraically connected component of the roadmap σ'_x introduced in Lemma 11.

Proof of the Claim. Let $x \in S \cap V_k$ be given and suppose that the set Λ_p can be written as $\Lambda_p = \{s_1, \dots, s_\ell\}$ such that $s_j \sim_k s_{j+1}$ holds for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$. We consider the set $\tau_p := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n; \Delta_p^{(k)}(x, y) \text{ is true } \}$. We show that τ_p is a semialgebraically connected curve contained in S' .

From the fact that $\Delta_p^{(k)}(x, Y)$ is identic with the formula $\bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \Phi_{s_j}(x, Y)$, that the point x belongs to V_k and that $s_1 \sim_k s_2 \sim_k \dots \sim_k s_{\ell-1} \sim_k s_\ell$ holds, we infer that there exists for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ an element y_j of S' which verifies the expression $\Phi_{s_j}(x, y_j) \wedge \Phi_{s_{j+1}}(x, y_j)$. Hence, by Lemma 11, for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ the formula $\Phi_{s_j}(x, Y) \wedge \Phi_{s_{j+1}}(x, Y)$ defines a semialgebraically connected curve contained in S' . This implies that τ_p itself is a semialgebraically connected curve contained in S' .

Now consider $1 \leq p', p \leq r$ with $\tau_p \cap \tau_{p'} \neq \emptyset$. Let y be an element of $\tau_p \cap \tau_{p'}$. There exist indices $s \in \Lambda_p$ and $t \in \Lambda_{p'}$ such that $\Phi_s(x, y) \wedge \Phi_t(x, y)$ holds, whence $s \sim_k t$ and finally $p = p'$. Therefore the semialgebraically connected curves τ_p are mutually disjoint.

Since the point x belongs to V_k the formula $\Phi(x, Y)$ is equivalent to $\bigvee_{1 \leq p \leq r} \Delta_p^{(k)}(x, Y)$

and thus we obtain $\sigma'_x = \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq r} \tau_p$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Note that in virtue of the claim above the number r of formulas $\Delta_p^{(k)}$ coincides with the number of semialgebraically connected components of S and S' which is of order $D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

Let σ' be the roadmap of S' which we have already considered in Lemma 11. In view of [HRS 4], Proposition 2 we may suppose that σ' is given as a disjunction of quantifier free formulas $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_r$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ which describe the semialgebraically connected components of σ' .

Recall from Lemma 11 that for each x in S the roadmap σ'_x of S' contains the curve σ'_x .

Let $1 \leq p \leq r$. For any $1 \leq k \leq M$, any $1 \leq p' \leq r$ and any point x of S the formula

$$(\exists Y_1 \dots \exists Y_n)(\Sigma_p(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \wedge \Delta_{p'}^{(k)}(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \wedge \Delta_{p'}^{(k)}(x, x))$$

says that x is a point of V_k which belongs to the p -th semialgebraically connected component of S' , i.e. which belongs to the semialgebraically connected component that contains the curve defined by Σ_p . Therefore the formula

$$(F = 0) \wedge (\exists Y_1 \dots \exists Y_n) \bigvee_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq p' \leq r}} (\Sigma_p(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \wedge \Delta_{p'}^{(k)}(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \wedge \Delta_{p'}^{(k)}(X, X)) ,$$

when restricted to points of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defines the p -th semialgebraically connected component of S .

We apply now the quantifier elimination procedure [HRS 2] or [R 3] to all these formulas where $1 \leq p \leq r$. In this way we obtain in admissible time r quantifier free formulas $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}')$ which involve the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ and which restricted to points of $\mathbf{R}^n$ describe the semialgebraically connected components of S .

Unfortunately the constants contained in these formulas belong to $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}[\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \dots, \delta_n^{(n-1)}, \delta_n^{(n)}]$ and not to the base ring $\mathbf{A}$. To remedy this situation we apply now a method which we have already used in [HRS 2].

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of elements of $\mathbf{A}'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which occur as terms in the formulas $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r$.

The set $\mathcal{G}$ can be constructed in admissible time, it is of cardinality $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and its elements are polynomials of degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ in the indeterminates $\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(n-1)}, \delta_n^{(n)}, X_1, \dots, X_n$ over the ring $\mathbf{A}$.

Let G be an element of $\mathcal{G}$. We consider G as a polynomial in $\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(n-1)}, \delta_n^{(n)}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Since $\delta_1^{(1)}, \delta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_n^{(n-1)}, \delta_n^{(n)}$ are positive infinitesimals with respect to the real closed field $\mathbf{R}$ any sign condition of the type $G(x) > 0, G(x) < 0$ or $G(x) = 0$ for a point x of $\mathbf{R}^n$ can be expressed by a quantifier free formula of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ which involves only the coefficients of the polynomial G which lie in $\mathbf{A}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The quantifier free formulas of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ which are produced in this way when G runs through the set $\mathcal{G}$ can be obtained in admissible time and their number and degrees are of order $D^{n^{O(1)}}$.

Using these formulas which belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ we may replace each atomic expression in $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r$ by a quantifier free formula that contains only constants from $\mathbf{A}$. Rewriting the formulas $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r$ in this way we obtain in admissible time r quantifier free formulas of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$ which have degree $D^{n^{O(1)}}$ and which are equivalent to $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r$ when restricted to points of $\mathbf{R}^n$. In other words these formulas define the semialgebraically connected components of the hypersurface S . This finishes the proof of the main theorem. $\square$

References

- [BCR] Bochnak J., Coste M., Roy M.-F. : Géométrie algébrique réelle. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge, Band 12, Springer Verlag (1987).
- [Ca 1] Canny J.: Some algebraic and geometric computations in PSPACE. Proc. 20-th Ann. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing (1988) 460–467.
- [Ca 2] Canny J.: The complexity of robot motion planning. MIT Press (1989).
- [CGV] Canny J., Grigor'ev D. Yu., Vorobjov N. N. (jr.): Finding connected components of a semialgebraic set in subexponential time. Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing (AAECC Journal) **2** (4) (1992) 217–238.
- [Co] Collins G.: Quantifier elimination for real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition. Second GI Conference on Automata Theory and Formal Languages. Springer LN Comput. Sci. **33** (1975) 134–183.
- [Ga] von zur Gathen J.: Parallel arithmetic computations: a survey. Proc. 13th Conf. Symp. MFCS 1986, Springer LN Comput. Sci. **233** (1986) 93–112.

- [G] Grigor'ev D.: Complexity of deciding Tarski algebra. *J. Symb. Comput.* **5** (1988) 65–108.
- [GHRVS] Grigor'ev D. Yu, Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P., Vorobjov N. N. (jr.): Comptage des composantes connexes d'un ensemble semi- algébrique en temps simplement exponentiel. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **312** (1990) 879–882.
- [GV 1] Grigor'ev D., Vorobjov N. N. (jr.): Solving systems of polynomial inequalities in subexponential time. *J. Symb. Comput.* **5** (1988) 37–64.
- [GV 2] Grigor'ev D., Vorobjov N. N. (jr.): Counting connected components of a semialgebraic set in subexponential time. To appear in *Computational Complexity*.
- [GR] Gournay L., Risler J.-J.: Construction of roadmaps in semi- algebraic sets. In preparation.
- [HRS 1] Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P. : On the complexity of semialgebraic sets. *Proc. Information Processing 89 (IFIP 89) San Francisco 1989*, G.X. Ritter, ed., North-Holland (1989) 293–298.
- [HRS 2] Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P.: Sur la complexité du principe de Tarski-Seidenberg. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **118** (1990) 101–126.
- [HRS 3] Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P.: Single exponential path finding in semi-algebraic sets: Part I : The case of a regular bounded hypersurface. *Discrete and Applied Math., Proc. 8-th Intern. Conf. Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error Correcting Codes AAEEC-8, Tokyo 1990*, S. Sakata, ed., Springer LN Comput. Sci. **508** (1991) 180–186.
- [HRS 4] Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P.: Single exponential path finding in semialgebraic sets. Part II: The general case. To appear in *Proc. Symposium in Honour of S. Abhyankar* (1990).
- [HRS 5] Heintz J., Roy M.-F., Solernó P.: Description des composantes connexes d'un ensemble semi-algébrique en temps simplement exponentiel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **313** (1991) 167–170.
- [M] Mehlhorn K.: Data structures and algorithms, Vol.2: Graph algorithms and *NP*-completeness, *EATCS Monographs on Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **2**, Springer Verlag (1984).
- [R 1] Renegar J.: On the computational complexity and geometry of the first order theory of the reals I. *J. Symb. Comput.* **13** (1992) 255–300.
- [R 2] Renegar J.: On the computational complexity and geometry of the first order theory of the reals II. *J. Symb. Comput.* **13** (1992) 301–328.
- [R 3] Renegar J.: On the computational complexity and geometry of the first order theory of the reals III. *J. Symb. Comput.* **13** (1992) 329–352.
- [SS] Schwartz J., Sharir M.: On the “piano movers” problem II. General techniques for computing topological properties of real algebraic manifolds. *Adv. Applied Math.* **4** (1983) 298–351.
- [W] Wüthrich H.: Ein Entscheidungsverfahren für die Theorie der reell-abgeschlossenen Körper. *Komplexität von Entscheidungsproblemen*, E. Specker and V. Strassen, eds., Springer LN Comput. Sci. **43** (1976) 138–162.

J. Heintz and P. Solernó
Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales
Universidad de Buenos Aires
Ciudad Universitaria. Pabellón I
(1428) Buenos Aires
ARGENTINA
`joos@mate.edu.ar`

Marie-Françoise Roy
IRMAR
Université de Rennes I
35 042 Rennes CEDEX
FRANCE
`costeroy@univ-rennes1.fr`