

Singular Fluctuations of Interacting Particle Systems

Milton Jara, IMPA

Joint works with C. Bernardin, P. Gonçalves, M. Gubinelli, T. Komorowski and S. Olla

SPA 2014, Buenos Aires



Occupation times of conservative systems

- $\{\eta_t(x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: conservative, one-dimensional stochastic system
- η_0 : stationary state of density ρ
- Occupation-time problem: scaling limit of

$$\int_0^t (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds.$$



- $\{\eta_t(x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: conservative, one-dimensional stochastic system
- η_0 : stationary state of density ρ
- Occupation-time problem: scaling limit of

$$\int_0^t (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds.$$



- $\{\eta_t(x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: conservative, one-dimensional stochastic system
- η_0 : stationary state of density ρ
- Occupation-time problem: scaling limit of

$$\int_0^t (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds.$$



- $\{\eta_t(x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: conservative, one-dimensional stochastic system
- η_0 : stationary state of density ρ
- Occupation-time problem: scaling limit of

$$\int_0^t (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds.$$



Theorem (Gonçalves, J. '13)

For diffusive systems,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_0^{tn^2} (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds = \mathcal{Z}_t,$$

where $\{\mathcal{Z}_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H = 3/4$.

Remark: The result also holds for WASEP, but the limit process is a **singular functional** of the solution of the KPZ equation



Theorem (Gonçalves, J. '13)

For diffusive systems,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_0^{tn^2} (\eta_s(0) - \rho) ds = \mathcal{Z}_t,$$

where $\{\mathcal{Z}_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H = 3/4$.

Remark: The result also holds for WASEP, but the limit process is a **singular functional** of the solution of the KPZ equation



$$(SHE) \quad \partial_t X(t, x) = D\Delta X(t, x) + \sqrt{2\chi} D\dot{W}(t, x)$$

- Solutions “look like” Brownian motion of variance χ
- D is the mobility, χ is the static compressibility of the system
- Duhamel formula \rightarrow mild solutions



$$(SHE) \quad \partial_t X(t, x) = D\Delta X(t, x) + \sqrt{2\chi} D\dot{W}(t, x)$$

- Solutions “look like” Brownian motion of variance χ
- D is the mobility, χ is the static compressibility of the system
- Duhamel formula \rightarrow mild solutions



$$(SHE) \quad \partial_t X(t, x) = D\Delta X(t, x) + \sqrt{2\chi} D\dot{W}(t, x)$$

- Solutions “look like” Brownian motion of variance χ
- D is the mobility, χ is the static compressibility of the system
- Duhamel formula \rightarrow mild solutions



$$(SHE) \quad \partial_t X(t, x) = D\Delta X(t, x) + \sqrt{2\chi D} \dot{W}(t, x)$$

- Solutions “look like” Brownian motion of variance χ
- D is the mobility, χ is the static compressibility of the system
- Duhamel formula \rightarrow mild solutions



$$(SHE) \quad \partial_t X(t, x) = D\Delta X(t, x) + \sqrt{2\chi D} \dot{W}(t, x)$$

- Solutions “look like” Brownian motion of variance χ
- D is the mobility, χ is the static compressibility of the system
- Duhamel formula \rightarrow **mild** solutions



Conservative version: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi D} \partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



Conservative version: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi D} \partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi} D\partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



Conservative version: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi} D\partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi} D\partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



$$(OUE) \quad \mathcal{Y}_t = \partial_x X_t; \quad \partial_t \mathcal{Y}_t = D\Delta \mathcal{Y}_t + \sqrt{2\chi D} \partial_x \dot{W}_t$$

- Solutions “look like” white noise of variance χ
 - \mathcal{Y}_t is **distribution-valued**
 - white noise is the unique invariant measure
- Natural scaling of **diffusive** conservative systems
 - Edwards-Wilkinson universality class



Occupation times and singular functionals of OUE

- Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(0) ds,$$

but the latter is not well defined. How do we define it?

→ naïve way: ι_ϵ approximation of the identity,

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(\iota_\epsilon) ds =: \mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon$$

- How to get convergence? → **Energy condition:**

$$(EC) \quad \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon - \mathcal{Z}_t^\delta)^2] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}$$



- Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(0) ds,$$

but the latter is not well defined. How do we define it?

→ naïve way: ι_ϵ approximation of the identity,

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(\iota_\epsilon) ds =: \mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon$$

- How to get convergence? → Energy condition:

$$(EC) \quad \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon - \mathcal{Z}_t^\delta)^2] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}$$



- Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(0) ds,$$

but the latter is not well defined. How do we define it?

→ naïve way: ι_ϵ approximation of the identity,

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(\iota_\epsilon) ds =: \mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon$$

- How to get convergence? → Energy condition:

$$(EC) \quad \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon - \mathcal{Z}_t^\delta)^2] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}$$



- Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(0) ds,$$

but the latter is not well defined. How do we define it?

→ naïve way: l_ϵ approximation of the identity,

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(l_\epsilon) ds =: \mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon$$

- How to get convergence? → Energy condition:

$$(EC) \quad \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon - \mathcal{Z}_t^\delta)^2] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}$$



- Formally, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(0) ds,$$

but the latter is not well defined. How do we define it?

→ naïve way: l_ϵ approximation of the identity,

$$\mathcal{Z}_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s(l_\epsilon) ds =: \mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon$$

- How to get convergence? → **Energy condition:**

$$(EC) \quad \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{Z}_t^\epsilon - \mathcal{Z}_t^\delta)^2] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}$$



Theorem (Gonçalves, J. '13)

EC + stationarity $\implies \mathcal{Z}_t$ well defined

- EC is very easy for OUE \rightarrow correlation computation
- EC is far from trivial for SBE \rightarrow Hairer's Taylor expansion or GJ second-order Boltzmann-Gibbs principle.
- Does not depend on the choice of ι_ϵ
- (EC) holds uniformly for conservative systems \implies convergence of occupation times



Theorem (Assing'07)

For the simple, symmetric exclusion process,

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^{tn^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\eta_s(x) - \rho)(\eta_s(x+1) - \rho) f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) ds$$

exists for any smooth function f and it is equal to

$$\int_0^t : \mathcal{Y}_s^2 : (f) ds.$$



Theorem (Assing'07)

For the simple, symmetric exclusion process,

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^{tn^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\eta_s(x) - \rho)(\eta_s(x+1) - \rho) f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) ds$$

exists for any smooth function f and it is equal to

$$\int_0^t : \mathcal{Y}_s^2 : (f) ds.$$



Theorem (Gonçalves, J.'14)

Assing's Theorem holds for general conservative systems.

At a formal level,

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Y}_s(x)^2 f(x) dx ds,$$

but again this *quadratic functional* is not well defined

→ naïve interpretation:

$$\mathcal{Y}_t(x)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Y}_t * l_\epsilon(x)^2 - \frac{C(D, \chi)}{\epsilon}$$



Theorem (Gonçalves, J.'14)

Assing's Theorem holds for general conservative systems.

At a formal level,

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Y}_s(x)^2 f(x) dx ds,$$

but again this *quadratic functional* is not well defined

→ naïve interpretation:

$$\mathcal{Y}_t(x)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Y}_t * l_\epsilon(x)^2 - \frac{C(D, \chi)}{\epsilon}$$



Theorem (Gonçalves, J.'14)

Assing's Theorem holds for general conservative systems.

At a formal level,

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Y}_s(x)^2 f(x) dx ds,$$

but again this *quadratic functional* is not well defined

→ naïve interpretation:

$$\mathcal{Y}_t(x)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Y}_t * \iota_\epsilon(x)^2 - \frac{C(D, \chi)}{\epsilon}$$



$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Y}_s * (\nu_\epsilon - \nu_\delta)(x)^2 f(x) dx ds \right)^2 \right] \leq Ct \min\{\epsilon, \delta\} \int f(x)^2 dx.$$

- This energy condition implies the existence of \mathcal{A}_t
- Easy to verify for OUE, harder for SBE
- Holds for conservative systems \implies convergence of quadratic functionals



How to square a distribution-valued process

- Start with \mathcal{Y}_t , solution of OUE
- Define $Q_t(x, y) = \mathcal{Y}_t(x) \otimes \mathcal{Y}_t(y)$
 - well defined, two-dimensional distribution-valued process
- Q_t solves

$$\partial_t Q_t = D\Delta Q_t + \dot{M}_t,$$

where \dot{M}_t is a noise satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_s(x, y) dM_s\right)^2\right] = 2D\chi \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\nabla F_s\|^2 dx dy ds.$$



How to square a distribution-valued process

- Start with \mathcal{Y}_t , solution of OUE
- Define $Q_t(x, y) = \mathcal{Y}_t(x) \otimes \mathcal{Y}_t(y)$
 - well defined, two-dimensional distribution-valued process
- Q_t solves

$$\partial_t Q_t = D\Delta Q_t + \dot{\mathcal{M}}_t,$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{M}}_t$ is a noise satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_s(x, y) d\mathcal{M}_s\right)^2\right] = 2D\chi \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\nabla F_s\|^2 dx dy ds.$$



How to square a distribution-valued process

- For $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ regular enough, solve the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \psi_g = g$$

- We have the energy estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t Q_s(g) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq C \left(\|\psi_g\|^2 + t \|\nabla \psi_g\|^2 \right)$$

- This estimate is all we need to make sense of the diagonal process

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_s(x, x) f'(x) dx ds.$$



for regular functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

How to square a distribution-valued process

- For $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ regular enough, solve the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \psi_g = g$$

- We have the energy estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t Q_s(g) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq C \left(\|\psi_g\|^2 + t \|\nabla \psi_g\|^2 \right)$$

- This estimate is all we need to make sense of the diagonal process

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_s(x, x) f'(x) dx ds.$$



for regular functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

How to square a distribution-valued process

- For $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ regular enough, solve the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \psi_g = g$$

- We have the energy estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t Q_s(g) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq C \left(\|\psi_g\|^2 + t \|\nabla \psi_g\|^2 \right)$$

- This estimate is all we need to make sense of the diagonal process

$$\mathcal{A}_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_s(x, x) f'(x) dx ds.$$



for regular functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

How to square a distribution-valued process

- Fine properties of \mathcal{A}_t :
- At small scales, it looks like fractional Brownian motion:

$$\epsilon^{-3/4} \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon t}(f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c \|f'\|^2 \mathcal{Z}_t$$

- At large scales, it looks like standard Brownian motion

$$n^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}_{nt}(f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} c \langle f, (-\Delta)^{1/4} f \rangle B_t$$



How to square a distribution-valued process

- Fine properties of \mathcal{A}_t :
- At small scales, it looks like fractional Brownian motion:

$$\epsilon^{-3/4} \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon t}(f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c \|f'\|^2 \mathcal{Z}_t$$

- At large scales, it looks like standard Brownian motion

$$n^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}_{nt}(f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} c \langle f, (-\Delta)^{1/4} f \rangle B_t$$



- Fine properties of \mathcal{A}_t :
- At small scales, it looks like fractional Brownian motion:

$$\epsilon^{-3/4} \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon t}(f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c \|f'\|^2 \mathcal{Z}_t$$

- At large scales, it looks like standard Brownian motion

$$n^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}_{nt}(f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} c \langle f, (-\Delta)^{1/4} f \rangle B_t$$

