INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR REVERSIBLE MARKOV PROCESSES WITH APPLICATION TO
DIFFUSION IN THE PERCOLATION REGIME

A. De Masi[1], P.A. Ferrari[2], S. Goldstein[3] and W.D. Wick[4]

ABSTRACT. We present an invariance principle for antisymmetric functionals
of a reversible Markov process which immediately implies convergence to
Brownian motion for a wide class of random motion in random environments.
We here apply it to establish convergence to Brownian motion for a walker
moving in the infinite cluster of the two dimensional bond percolation
model.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Recently there has been considerable interest, stimulated by physical
applications, in the study of the asymptotic motion of a tagged particle in a
random (static or dynamic) environment. In symmetric cases one
expects the motion to be asymptotically Brownian, with a finite diffusion matrix,
which one would like to compute as explicitly as possible. In this note we
discuss an invariance principle applicable when the process of the environment
as seen from the tagged particle is reversible in time. The main theorems —
which are useful in other contexts as well - are parsimonious in hypotheses;
in particular, there are no mixing properties or spectral conditions assumed on
the variables which might be difficult to check in practice. As a result we are
able to improve upon several results in the literature, and give short proofs
of many other results. Details will appear in [2].

Before discussing the abstract theorems we mention in passing a typical
example to which our methods apply (to be discussed more fully below). On the
integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ let there be given rates (or conductivities) $a_e(x) > 0$ for
the bond $(x, x+e)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $e \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $|e| = 1$. Let X_t be the position of a

particle started at $t = 0$ at $x = 0$ and performing a random walk with these rates. Assume in addition that the $a_e(x)$ are chosen from a translation-invariant, ergodic distribution (random bond conductivities). Then, with only moment conditions on the rates, we prove that $\varepsilon X_{t\varepsilon^{-2}}$ tends, in distribution as $\varepsilon \to 0$, to a Brownian motion with a finite, non-degenerate diffusion matrix. (Compare [1], [7], [8], in which $0 < b_1 < a_e(x) < b_2 < \infty$ is assumed). We can even treat the case when $P[a_e(x) > 0] = p < 1$, assuming that $p > p_c$ (percolation threshold) and conditioning on the percolation cluster containing the origin. In other words, we prove an invariance principle for a random walk on an infinite percolation cluster.

2.   <u>ABSTRACT THEOREMS</u>. Invariance principles for anti-symmetric functionals of reversible Markov processes.

Let ξ_t, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (discrete or continuous time) be a time-reversible Markov chain with state space X. We are interested in proving an invariance principle for a functional $X_{[0,t]}$ of paths of the process (depending on ξ_τ, $0 \le \tau \le t$), which has a certain symmetry property. Let $R_{t/2}$ be reflection in the midpoint of the interval, defined by $(R\xi)_\tau = \xi_{t-\tau}$. We call $X_{[0,t]}$ <u>anti-symmetric</u> if

$$X_{[0,t]} \circ R_{t/2} = -X_{[0,t]} \; , \tag{2.1}$$

with a similar definition for a general closed interval $I = [a,b]$.

Anti-symmetric variables arise naturally in many contexts. For instance, let $X_{[0,t]}$ be the increment made, in the interval $[0,t]$, by one component of a multi-component process. Alternatively, let ξ_t be a jump process on X, let x and y be points in X and let $X_{[0,t]}$ be the "current" from x to y, i.e. the number of jumps from x to y minus the number from y to x. In an infinite particle system $X_{[0,t]}$ might be the current across a bond.

We first present the discrete time version of our theorem whose only assumptions concern symmetry and square integrability and in which the antisymmetry appears in simplest form.

THEOREM 2.1.   Let ξ_i, $i = 0,1,2,\ldots$ be an ergodic reversible Markov process with state space X and initial distribution μ, $Y : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be antisymmetric : $Y(\xi,\eta) = -Y(\eta,\xi)$, let $Y_i \equiv Y(\xi_{i-1},\xi_i)$ be square integrable.

Define

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \tag{2.2}$$

$$X_t^\epsilon = \epsilon X_{[\epsilon^{-2}t]}$$

Let $\mathbf{E}_D$ be the expectation with respect to the Brownian motion W_D starting at the origin with variance Dt and let $\mathbf{E}_\xi$ be the expectation with respect to the Markov process ξ_i starting at ξ . Then for all bounded continuous function F on $D(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R})$

$$\mathbf{E}_\xi(F(X^\epsilon)) \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} \mathbf{E}_D(F) \qquad (2.3)$$

in μ-measure, where D is given by

$$D = \mathbf{E}(X_1^2) - 2<\varphi(1-T)^{-1}\varphi> \qquad (2.4)$$

with $\varphi(\xi) = \mathbf{E}_\xi(X_1)$ and where T is the (time one) transition operator.

In particular, as $\epsilon \to 0$ the process X^ϵ converges (in distribution) weakly to $W_D(\cdot)$.   □

(2.5) We call the convergence given in (2.3) convergence in distribution in μ probability.

The continuous time version of our invariance principle applies to a family X_I , indexed by closed intervals $I = [a,b]$ and taking values in $\mathbf{R}^d$, which in addition to being antisymmetric (i.e. each X_I is antisymmetric) satisfies certain additional natural properties (except for A.1, automatically satisfied in practice) which we list below.

(A.1) X_I is square-integrable for each I

(A.2) The family X_I is covariant

$$X_{[a,b]} \circ \theta_\tau = X_{[a+\tau,b+\tau]}$$

where θ_τ denotes the time-shift operator, defined by $(\theta_\tau\xi)_t = \xi_{t+\tau}$

(A.3) The family X_I is additive :

$$X_I + X_{I'} = X_{I \cup I'}$$

a.s. if $\overset{\circ}{I} \cap \overset{\circ}{I'} = \emptyset$. ($\overset{\circ}{I}$ is the interior of I) .

We also require that $X_t \equiv X_{[0,t]}$ has a version with paths in $D(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}^d)$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let ξ_t , $t \in \mathbf{R}$, be an ergodic reversible Markov process with initial measure μ . Let X_I be an anti-symmetric family of $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued random variables satisfying (A.1)-(A.3) . Assume in addition that the mean for-

ward drift velocity exists and is square-integrable, more precisely, that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \delta^{-1} \mathbf{E}_\mu[X_{[0,\delta]}/F_o] = \varphi \tag{2.6}$$

exists weakly in $L^1(\mu)$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\mu)$ (φ is vector-valued). Then the following hold :

(i) The velocity auto-correlation function is integrable, that is, for each i,j

$$\int_o^\infty |\langle \varphi_i T_t \varphi_j \rangle| \, dt < \infty$$

where T_t is the Markov semigroup determined by the process ξ_t, and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the expectation w.r.t. μ, where $\varphi_i = e_i \cdot \varphi$, with e_i, $i = 1,\ldots,d$ an orthonormal basis on $\mathbf{R}^d$.

(ii) Let $X_t^\epsilon \equiv \epsilon X_{[0,\epsilon^{-2}t]}$. Then as $\epsilon \to 0$

$$X_\cdot^\epsilon \to W_{\mathbf{D}}(\cdot) \tag{2.7}$$

in distribution in μ-probability, where $W_{\mathbf{D}}(\cdot)$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion starting at zero with covariance matrix $\mathbf{D}t$ and where

(iii) $\mathbf{D}$ is given by

$$D_{ij} = C_{ij} - 2 \int_o^\infty \langle \varphi_i T_t \varphi_j \rangle \, dt \tag{2.8a}$$

$$D_{ij} = C_{ij} - 2\langle \varphi_i L^{-1} \varphi_j \rangle \tag{2.8b}$$

where $\mathbf{C} = (C_{ij})$ is determined by

$$e^T \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot e = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \delta^{-1} \mathbf{E}_\mu(e \cdot X_{[0,\delta]})^2 \tag{2.9}$$

and $-L$ is the generator of the semigroup $T_t : L^2(\mu) \to L^2(\mu)$.

$\mathbf{C}$ is positive definite and finite.

(iv) Let $X_t = X_{[0,t]}$. Then

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{E}(X_{t,i}^2)}{t} = D_{ii} \qquad \square$$

REMARKS. (1) Ergodicity is required only for part (ii) of the theorem. (2) $\mathbf{D}$ degenerate is not excluded. We call the limiting Brownian motion non-degenerate if all the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{D}$ are positive. (3) By averaging with respect to μ it is immediate from (2.7) (see eq. (2.3)) that

$X^\varepsilon_{\cdot} \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\to} W_{\mathbb{D}}(\cdot)$ in distribution (under the law $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ of the process ξ_t starting from the stationary distribution μ).

The proof of the theorem (2.1) builds on earlier work [6], [3]. The gist of the proof is to write $\varepsilon X_{t\varepsilon^{-2}}$ as the sum of a square-integrable martingale and a small remainder, and then to apply the martingale Central limit theorem [4]. The first step in the proof is, roughly speaking, to establish (2.8), simultaneously proving the integrability of the velocity-autocorrelation function, which is (equivalent to) the main assumption in earlier work. (Familiarity with Spohn's Green-Kubo formulas for interacting particle systems [12] lead us to this formula).

More precisely we first derive the equation obtained from (2.8) by $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu(X_{t,i}X_{t,j})$, which we later identify with D_{ij} . Assume, for notational convenience, that $X_t \in \mathbb{R}$. The key observation is that from the easily obtained decompostion $X_t = S_t + M_t$ where $S_t = \int_o \varphi(\xi_s)ds$ and M_t is a square integrable martingale with stationary increments, it follows that $\mathbb{E}_\mu(M_t^2) = \mathbb{E}_\mu(X_t^2) + \mathbb{E}_\mu(S_t^2)$, since the cross term $2\mathbb{E}_\mu(X_t S_t)$ vanishes by symmetry. Here we use the fact that the measure $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ is symmetric under time reversal $R_{t/2}$ (i.e. μ is reversible) while S_t is manifestly symmetric, and by assumption X_t is anti-symmetric.

We turn next to the problem of obtaining bounds on the diffusion matrix (in particular a positive lower bound). Note that from eq. (2.8.b) and writing D for D_{ii} , φ for φ_i and C for C_{ii} , an upper bound is immediate : since L is non-negative, $D \leq C$. For a lower bound one can often exploit the following situation. Suppose $L = L_1 + L_2$, with $-L_i$, $i = 1,2$ generators of reversible Markov processes. Then operator monotonicity of the inverse function suggests the lower bound :

$$D \geq C - 2(\varphi L_i^{-1}\varphi) \tag{2.10}$$

which is often useful in practice and frequently has an interesting probabilistic meaning. We mention several : (i) For reversible infinite particle systems e.g. interacting Brownian particles [11], [10], [13] (2.10) can be used to prove that the diffusion constant for a particle moving in a random, moving environment is greater than that for the same particle in a "frozen" environment. (ii) When $-L$ generates a multi-dimensional process and $-L_1$ generates a process in fewer dimensions, (2.10) implies that D is an increasing function of dimension.

3.   RANDOM WALK IN THE PERCOLATION CLUSTER.

In this section we use the theorem 2.2 to establish the diffusive behavior of a random walker on an inhomogeneous lattice, i.e. the invariance principle with non degenerate D . The model is the following. In the two dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with each bond $(x,x+e)$, $x,e \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $|e| = 1$ associate random rates $a_e(x) \geq 0$ (sometimes we write $a_i(x)$ instead of $a_{e_i}(x)$) . A configuration of rates is called an environment and the space of environments we denote by
$$X = \{a : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{e_1,e_2\} \rightarrow [0,\infty)\} \quad .$$

Let μ a measure on X satisfying

C.1. $a_i(x)$ are identically distributed and mutually independent.

Given a configuration $a \in X$, put a particle at the origin and let it move to its nearest neighbours with rates given by a . That is, define the jump process $X(a,t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as the Markov process with state space $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and transition probabilities determined by

$$P(X(a,t+h) = x + e \ / \ X(a,t) = x) = a_e(x)h + O(h) \tag{3.1}$$

$$P(X(a,t+h) = x \ / \ X(a,t) = x) = 1 - \sum_{|e|=1} a_e(x)h + O(h)$$

We are interested in establishing the convergence to Brownian Motion of the rescaled process $\epsilon X(a,\epsilon^{-2}t)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Given $a \in X$, let

$$W(x,a) = \text{connected cluster of } x \text{ in } W(a) = : \{\text{bonds } (x,x+e) \atop \text{with } a_e(x) > 0\} \tag{3.2}$$

It is clear that for configurations $a \in X$ for which $|W(0,a)| < \infty$ ($|A|$ is the cardinality of the set A), the position $X(a,t)$ of the particle will be bounded for all t . So $|W(0,a)| = \infty$ is the minimal condition for convergence to Brownian Motion.

It is well known [5] that if

C.2. $\mu\{a_1(0) > 0\} = p > \frac{1}{2}$ \hfill (3.3)

then $\mu\{|W(0,a)| = \infty\} = \theta_p > 0$. Define

$$\hat{\mu} = :\mu\{\cdot/|W(0,a)| = \infty\} \quad . \tag{3.4}$$

Consider also the following conditions on μ , which will be discussed later :

C.3. $\mu\{a_1(0) > b\} = 0$ for some $b > 0$.

C.4. $\mu(a_1(0)^{-1}/a_1(0) > 0) > 0$.

The main result of this section is the following

THEOREM 3.1.   Let μ a measure on the space of environments X satisfying conditions C.1-4 above, and let $X(a,t)$ be the jump Markov process with state space $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $X(a,0) \equiv 0$ and transition probabilities given by eq. (3.1) above.

Then

(A)   as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\varepsilon X(a, \varepsilon^{-2}.) \xrightarrow{D} W_{\wedge}(\cdot)$ in distribution in $\hat{\mu}$-probability (see Def. 2.5), where $\hat{\mu} = \mu(./|W(0,.)| = \infty)$ and $W_{\wedge}(t)$ is two dimensional Brownian motion with covariance matrix $\hat{D}$, where

(B) $\hat{D} = \hat{D}I$, where   I   is the identity matrix, and

$$\theta_p \hat{D} = D =: 2\mu(a_1(0)) - 2 \int_0^\infty dt \ \mu[(a_1(0) - a_1(-e_1)) .$$

$$. \ \mathbb{E}_a(a_1(X(a,t)) - a_1(X(a,t) - e_1))]$$

(3.5)

(C) $\hat{D} > 0$ □

REMARKS.

1)   Convergence to (non degenerate) Brownian Motion of the process $X(a,t)$ was established by [1], [7], [8]   for any ergodic translation invariant μ such that

$$0 < b_1 < a_i(x) < b_2 < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 , \quad i = 1,2 .$$

In [2] the result is extended up to $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = \infty$ with only the conditions that $\mu(a_i(0)) < \infty$, and $\mu(a_i(0)^{-1}) < \infty$. This is done by introducing a related discrete time process and invoking Theorem 2.1, but we prefer not to introduce this approach here and so we require that $b_2 < \infty$ and apply Thm. 2.2 directly. We also need $b_2 < \infty$ as a technical requirement for proving that $\hat{D} > 0$ (see Lemma 3.1 below).

2)   The condition that μ is a product measure is needed in two parts : first to prove that the induced process $a(t)$ (the environment as seen from $X(a,t)$) is ergodic with respect to $\hat{\mu}$. Second to establish the positivity of $\hat{D}$. Here we use strong results of percolation theory, namely we relate $\hat{D}$ to the electric current flowing through the environment   a   when a potential gradient is applied.

The condition $\mu(a_1(0)^{-1} / a_1(0) > 0) < \infty$ is needed only to establish the positivity of $\hat{D}$, since it appears as a divisor in the Kesten's lower bound for the lim inf of the current.

3) For the case $a_i(x) > 0$, the result of convergence to Brownian Motion is independent of the dimension if $0 < a_i(x)$ and $\mu[a_i(x)] < \infty$. In [2] the inequalities $\mu(a_1(0))^{-1} \le D \le \mu(a_1(0))$ (known in special case) are derived generally for rotation (by $\pi/2$) invariant μ , while if the measure is not rotation invariant similar equalities are proven for each of the coefficients. It is also proven there that the diffusion coefficient(s) D (D_i) is a non decreasing function of the dimension.

   Proof. (A) We place the problem within the framework of Theorem 2.2. The role of the Markov process ξ_t is played by the process $a(t) \in X$ defined by

$$a(0) \equiv a$$
$$a(t) = \tau_{X(a,t)} a$$

(3.6)

where τ_x is the translation by x on X . $a(t)$ is clearly reversible for both μ and $\hat{\mu}$.

   Moreover, $X(a,t)$ is an adapted (to $a(t)$) antisymmetric process. Since the rates are bounded, the function $\varphi_i = a_i(0) - a_i(-e_i)$ is well defined and X_t is square integrable. So, the only hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 left to check is the ergodicity of $\hat{\mu}$ with respect to the process $a(t)$. This follows from the fact that in two dimensions there exists only one infinite cluster μ a.s., and the ergodicity of the random environment under translations (see [2])   □

(B) The fact that $\mathbb{D}$ is a multiple of the identity is an easy consequence of the rotation invariance of μ .

   The equation $\theta_p \hat{D} = D$ can be obtained as follows : in view of (2.8), by decomposing μ into its ergodic components (for the process $a(t)$) we obtain from the definition, eq. (3.5), of D , its ergodic decomposition $D = \int v(d\beta) D_\beta$. Since the only ergodic component of μ with positive diffusion constant is $\hat{\mu}$, the equation follows (see [2] for details).   □

   The idea of the proof of (C) is the following. We consider periodic configurations with period $2N$ and call D_N the diffusion coefficient (i.e. $\lim \dfrac{E(X_t^2)}{t}$) for the corresponding random walk. Since with positive probability all the rates are strictly positive one expects that $D_N > 0$, which can, in fact, be easily shown. The problem left is to see if (a) as N increases D_N

remains bounded away from 0 and b) D_N and D are suitably related.

The relationship between D_N and D is easily established by looking at the "explicit" formula for D in eq. (3.5). In that formula the integrand of the second term is positive and involves E (X(t)) . For each t fixed the expectation $E_N(\phi(X(t)))$ related to the periodic configurations converges to $E(\phi(X(t)))$. So we can use Fatou's lemma to obtain the inequality.

$$D \geq \overline{\lim} D_N \quad .$$

The positivity of $\overline{\lim} D_N$ is not so clear : here deep percolation problems enter. We solve this problem without really solving it ! In fact we prove that

$$\frac{1}{2} D_N = \sigma_N \qquad\qquad\qquad (3.7)$$

where σ_N is the current flowing in a box of size N when a unit potential difference is established, which is better thought of as the effective conductivity. Then we observe that Kesten (and also Grimmet and Kesten) solved our problem : they proved that $\underline{\lim} \sigma_N > 0$. [5], [9].

Thus to prove (C) we define the periodic random walk, its diffusion coefficient D_N ; we establish that $D \geq \overline{\lim} D_N$ and then define the conductivity σ_N and determine its relationship with D_N .

DEFINITION. The periodic random walk.

Let $B_N \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the box

$$B_N = \{x = (x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -N \leq x_1 \leq N \quad , \quad -N \leq x_2 \leq N-1\}$$

Given a configuration $a \in X$ of rates, let $\tilde{a}$ be the periodic rate configuration, with period 2N , (on bonds linking nearest neighbours points) in the strip

$$\Sigma_N = \{(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -N \leq x_2 \leq N-1\}$$

which agrees with a on the box B_N , except that the vertical bonds on $x_1 = \pm N$ are given infinite rates (this is required to obtain a simple relationship between D_N and σ_N).

Since bonds leading out of Σ_N on $x_2 = N-1$ and on $x_2 = -N$ do not exist for $\tilde{a}$, Σ_N has "reflecting boundary conditions" on top and bottom. In order to construct a random walk on Σ_N with rate $\tilde{a}$, it is necessary to iden-

tify the points of $x_1 = -N$, and similarly for the points of $x_1 = N, 3N, -3N, \ldots$
but as long as we consider only functions which agree on equivalent points, this
may safely be ignored, provided we bear in mind that from <u>all</u> points on e.g.
$x_1 = N$, the rates $\tilde{a}$ to the points $(N+1, x_2)$ $[(N-1, x_2)]$ are now
$\frac{1}{2N} a_1(-N_1 x_2)$ $[\frac{1}{2N} a_1(N-1, x_2)]$. Denote by $\tilde{X}^N_a(x, t)$ (or simply $\tilde{X}^N(t)$ if no
confusion is likely) the Markov process on Σ_N defined by the rates $\tilde{a}_1$ start-
ing from x , and let $X^N(t)$ be the x_1-component of $\tilde{X}^N(t)$. Denote by
$Y^N_a(x, t)$ (or simply $Y^N(t)$) the Markov process $\tilde{X}^N(t)/\sim$, where
$(x_1, x_2) \sim (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \Leftrightarrow \bar{x}_1 - x_1 = 0 \mod 2N$ and $\bar{x}_2 = x_2$. $Y^N(t)$ may be regarded
as a Markov process with state space $\tilde{B}_N$, the box B_N with the left side iden-
tified with the right (i.e., $(-N, x_2)$ identified with (N, x_2)) . Let m_N be the
uniform distribution on $\tilde{B}_N$. Then with respect to m_N , $Y^N(t)$ is clearly a re-
versible Markov process, in terms of which $\tilde{X}^N(t)$ and hence $X^N(t)$, can be
realized. Under this realization

$$X^N(t) = \text{number of jumps of } Y^N(s) \text{ in the positive horizontal}$$
$$\text{direction minus number of jumps of } Y^N(s) \text{ in the}$$
$$\text{negative horizontal direction during the time interval} \tag{3.8}$$
$$[0, t] .$$

(In the above definition the jump from $N-1$ to $-N \equiv N$ [respectively to $N-1$
from $N \equiv -N$] , is considered as a unit positive resp. negative jump). Note that
this is well defined if $N \geq 2$ which we will assume. We now need some notation.
The rates are most naturally regarded as bond functions $(x, y) \equiv b \to \tilde{a}(b)$ the
rate for going from x to y . (Though the original $a(b)$ was symmetric, this
may no longer be the case for $\tilde{a}$, because of the redefinition of the rates for
leaving $x_1 = \ldots, -N, N, 3N, \ldots$ necessitated by granting infinite "conductivities
(i.e. rates) to the vertical bonds on these lines : e.g. $\tilde{a}((N, x_2), (N+1, x_2')) =$
$= \tilde{a}((N+1, x_2'), (N, x_2))$ may fail). For any bond $b = (x, y)$, let $\nabla_b f = f(y) - f(x)$.
Let $B_x = \{(x, y)\}$ be the set of bonds emanating from x .

Then the generator of the process $\tilde{X}^N_a(x, t)$ is given by

$$L_a f(x) = \sum_{b \in B_x} \tilde{a}(b) \nabla_b f \tag{3.9}$$

while the generator for the process $Y^N_a(x, t)$ may be identified with the restrict-
ion of L_a to periodic functions. Here, and from now, "periodic" means periodic
with period $2N$ (in the x_1-direction).

Let $\varphi^N_a = L_a x_1$, and note that

$$\varphi_a^N(x) = (\nabla_1^- a_1)(x) \equiv a_1(x) - a_1(x - e_1) \tag{3.10}$$

for $x \in B_N \ |x_1| \neq N$.

Denote by P_a^N [resp $P_{a,x}^N$] the law of the process $Y^N(t)$ with starting measure m_N [resp. δ_x] .

Let

$$D_N(a) = 2m_N(a_1(x)) - 2 \int_0^\infty ds \ m_N(\varphi_a^N \ \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi(Y^N(s)))$$

$$= 2m_N(a_1(x)) + 2m_N(\varphi_a^N L_a^{-1} \varphi_a^N) \tag{3.11}$$

REMARKS. It follows easily from Theorem 2.2 and the Remark (1) which follows it, with $Y^N(t)$ playing the role of the Markov process $\xi(t)$, m_N the role of μ and $X^N(t)$ the role of the antisymmetric functional $X_{[0,t]}$ that the process $X^N(t)$ converges to a mixture of Brownian motion with (average) diffusion constant $D_N(a)$, but we don't need this fact.

LEMMA 3.1. Let μ be a translation invariant probability measure on X such that $\mu\{a_i(x) > b\} = 0$ for some $b < \infty$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,d$ .Then the following hold

(i) For any $t > 0$ fixed

$$\underset{N \to \infty}{\text{Lim}} \ \mu[m_N(\varphi_a^N \ \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi_a^N(Y^N(t)))] = \mu[\varphi_1(a) \ \mathbb{E}_a \varphi_1(a(t))]$$

where φ_a^N is defined in eq. (3.10) and $\varphi_i(a) = a_i(0) - a_i(-e_i)$

(ii) $D \geq \overline{\underset{N \to \infty}{\lim}} \ \mu(D_N(a))$

where the "diffusion coefficient" D for the infinite system is defined in eq. (3.5) and D_N , the one for the finite system in eq. (3.11). □

Proof. Part (ii) follows from (i). In fact, if (i) holds we have

$$\int_0^\infty dt \ \mu[\varphi_1(a) \ \mathbb{E}_a \varphi_1(a(t))] = \int_0^\infty dt \ \underset{N \to \infty}{\lim} \mu[m_N(\varphi^N \ \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi^N(Y^N(t)))]$$

$$\leq \underset{N \to \infty}{\underline{\lim}} \int_0^\infty dt \ \mu[m_N(\varphi^N \ \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi^N)]$$

$$= \underset{N \to \infty}{\underline{\lim}} \mu[\int_0^\infty dt \ m_N(\varphi^N \ \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi^N)]$$

where the inequality follows by Fatou's lemma from the fact that the integrand on the right hand side is positive, since m_N is reversible. Furthermore by translation invariance of μ ,

$$\mu[m_N(a_1(x))] = 2\mu[a_1(0)]$$

Now, by the formulas for D and D_N :

$$D = 2\mu[a_1(0)] - 2 \int_0^\infty dt \; \mu[\varphi_1(a) \; \mathbb{E}_a \varphi_1(a(t))] \; \geq$$

$$\geq 2\mu[a_1(0)] - \lim_{N\to\infty} \; 2\mu[\int_0^\infty m_N(\varphi_a^N \mathbb{E}_{a,x}^N \varphi_a^N)] \; = \lim_{N\to\infty} \mu(D_N(a))$$

Part (i) follows easily from the fact that until the boundary of B_N is reached the periodic random walk and the original random walk agree (provided they start from the same place in the same immediate environment).   □

DEFINITION. **The effective conductivity.**

Let $a \in X$ be fixed. The effective conductivity $\sigma_N(a)$ is the current established across B_N by a unit potential difference. Let V be the corresponding potential. It satisfies

$$L_a V(x) = 0 \qquad\qquad -N < x_1 < N \tag{3.12a}$$

$$V(-N,x_2) = 1 \qquad V(N,x_2) = 0 \quad , \quad -N \leq x_2 \leq N-1 \tag{3.12b}$$

Equation (3.12a) merely expresses current balance at x (see eq. 3.13 below). The solution of (3.12) is not unique, but all solutions give rise to the same current

$$\sigma_N(a) \equiv \sum_{x_2=-N}^{N-1} a_1(x_1,x_2)(\nabla_1^+ V)(x_1,x_2) \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$(\nabla_1^+ V)(x) = V(x + e_1) - V(x) \quad .$$

This expression is independent of x_1 , provided (3.12a) is satisfied, and thus we have

$$\sigma_N(a) = 2N \; m_N(a_1 \nabla_1^+ V) \tag{3.14}$$

Let $\tilde{V}$ be the natural extension of V to all of Σ_N , not the periodic extension but one producing periodic current :

$$\tilde{V}(x) = V(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in B_N \quad . \quad \tilde{V}(x_1 + 2N,x_2) = \tilde{V}(x_1,x_2) - 1 \quad ;$$

Because the left and right boundaries of B_N have been made "superconducting",

$\tilde{V}$ satisfies

$$L_a \tilde{V}(x) = 0 \qquad \text{all } x \in \Sigma_N ,$$

since all this is now required at e.g. $x_1 = -N$ is that the current into this line, from the left, equals the current out, into the right, which is already guaranteed by the fact that the expression (3.13) for $\sigma_N(a)$ is independent of x_1 . The relationship between $D_N(a)$ and $\sigma_N(a)$ now follows easily:

<u>Proposition 3.1</u>. For any $a \in X$

$$D_N(a) = 2\sigma_N(a)$$

where D_N is defined in eq. (3.11) and σ_N in eq. (3.13).

    <u>Proof</u>. Consider the function $f = x_1 + 2N\tilde{V} - N$ on Σ_N (where the constant $-N$ is added so that, for convenience $f = 0$ on $x_1 = \pm N$). Since $\varphi_a^N = L_a x_1$, it follows that f is a periodic function satisfying

$$L_a f = \varphi_a^N .$$

Thus

$$D_N(a) = 2m_N(a_1) + 2m_N(\varphi_a^N L_a^{-1} \varphi_a^N)$$

$$= 2m_N(a_1) + 2m_N(\varphi_a^N f)$$

$$= 2m_N(a_1) + 2m_N((\nabla_1^- a_1) f) .$$

    "Integrating" by parts, and using the translation invariance of m_N , (i.e., periodicity) we obtain that

$$D_N(a) = 2m_N(a_1) - 2m_N(a_1 \nabla_1^+ f)$$

$$= 2m_N(a_1) - 2m_N(a_1(1 + 2N\nabla_1^+ V)$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2Nm_N(a_1 \nabla_1^+ V) = 2\sigma_N(a) . \qquad\qquad \square$$

    <u>Proof of Thm. 3.1 (C)</u> . It follows from Lemma 3.1 (ii), Proposition 3.1 and Fatou's lemma that

$$D \geq \varlimsup_{N \to \infty} \mu(D_N(a)) = \varlimsup_{N \to \infty} \mu(\sigma_N(a)) \geq \mu(\varliminf_N \sigma_N(a))$$

Moreover it has been proven in [5] and [9] that there exist constants $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ such that

$$c_1 \le \underline{\lim}\ \sigma_N(a) \le \overline{\lim}\ \sigma_N(a) \le c_2 \qquad\qquad (3.15)$$

μ a.s.   Thus $D \ge c_1 > 0$.   □

REMARKS.

1) Independence is required only for eq. (3.15).

2) For boxes other than squares, and for higher dimensions, it remains true that $D_N(a) = 2\sigma_N(a) = m(a_1 \nabla_1^+ V)$, where $V(x_-) = 2N$, $V(x_+) = 0$ and the box extends from $x_1 = x_-$ to $x_1 = x_+$ and $x_+ - x_- = 2N$. Thus $\sigma_N(a)$ is the effective local conductivity : the current per unit cross section per unit average electric field.

CLARIFICATION.   Contrary to what was announced in the 1984 Conference on The Mathematics of Phase Transitions (Bowdoin College, June 1984), the problem of the existence of the limit of the expected current, i.e. $\lim_{N \to \infty} < \sigma_N(a) >$ remains open in the percolation case.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Anshelevich V.V., Khanin K.M., Sinai G. Ya, Symmetric Random walks in Random Environments, Comm. Math. Phys. 85, 449-470 (1982).

2. De Masi A., Ferrari P.A., Goldstein S., Wick D.W., An invariance principle for reversible Markov processes with applications to random motions in random environments. In preparation.

3. Dürr D., Goldstein S., Remarks on the central limit theorem for weakly depending random variable, To appear in Proceedings BIBOS Conference, Bielefeld 1984.

4. Helland I.S., Central limit theorems for martingales with discrete or continuous time, Scand. J. Stat. 979-994 (1982).

5. Kesten H., Percolation Theory for Mathematicians, Progress in Probability and Statistics, Vol.2, Birkhaüser, Edited by P. Huber and M. Rosenblatt.

6. Kipnis C., Varadhan S.R.S., Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple exclusion, Preprint 1984.

7. Künnemann R., The diffusion limit for reversible jump processes on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with ergodic random bond conductivities, Comm. Math. Phys. 90, 27-68 (1983).

8. Figari R., Orlandi E., Papanicolaou G., Diffusive behavior of a random walk in a random medium. Proceedings to Kyoto Conference (1982).

9. Grimmett G., Kesten H., First-passage percolation, net work flows and electrical resistances, Preprint 1984.

10. Guo M. , Limit theorems for interacting particle system, Ph.d. Thesis, Dept. of Math., New-York University, 1984.

11. Lang R., Stochastic Models of Many Particle Systems and their time Evolution, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Heidelberg, 1982.

12. Spohn H., Large scale behaviour of equilibrium time correlation funct-ions for some stochastic Ising models, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics 173 (1982) 304.

13. Spohn H., Equilibrium fluctuations for interacting Brownian particles, Preprint, 1984.

Permanent addresses

For A.D.M. : Università dell'Aquila, Dipartimento di Matematica, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy.

For P.A.F. : Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Cx Postal 20570, São Paulo, Brasil.

For S.G.   : Dept of Math., Rutgers University, New Brunswick, N.J. 08903, USA.

For D.W.W. : Math. Dept., Univ. of Washington, GN-50 Seattle, Wa.98115, USA