

An Elementary Proof of Sylvester's Double Sums for Subresultants

CARLOS D' ANDREA ^{*}, HOON HONG [†], TERESA KRICK [‡],
AGNES SZANTO [§]

Abstract

In 1853 Sylvester stated and proved an elegant formula that expresses the polynomial subresultants in terms of the roots of the input polynomials. Sylvester's formula was also recently proved by Lascoux and Pragacz by using multi-Schur functions and divided differences. In this paper, we provide an elementary proof that uses only basic properties of matrix multiplication and Vandermonde determinants.

1. Introduction

Subresultants play a fundamental role in Computer Algebra and Computational Algebraic Geometry (for instance, see (5; 3; 6; 15; 10; 9; 14; 12; 1)). In (16) Sylvester stated and proved an elegant formula that expresses the polynomial subresultants of two polynomials in terms of their roots, the so-called *double-sum* formula. This identity was proved also by Lascoux and Pragacz in (13), by using the theory of multi-Schur functions and divided differences.

In this paper we provide a new and elementary proof that uses only the basic properties of matrix multiplication and Vandermonde determinants. As apparent in our proof, Sylvester's double-sum formula is only one simple step further a particular

^{*}Department d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona. Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585; 08007 Spain. cdandrea@ub.edu

[†]Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695, USA. Research supported by NSF CCR-0097976. hong@math.ncsu.edu

[‡]Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina. Research supported by grants CONICET PIP 2461/01 and UBACYT X-112. krick@dm.uba.ar

[§]Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695, USA. Research supported by NSF grants CCR-0306406 and CCR-0347506. aszanto@ncsu.edu

case, the so-called *single-sum* formula. Such connection between the single and the double-sum formulae was originally thought to be unlikely, as remarked in page 691 of (13). There have been various proofs for the single-sum formula (1; 2; 4; 11; 8).

The matrix multiplication technique, presented in this papers, has proven to be quite powerful in that it is easily generalizable to multivariate polynomials: similar techniques were successfully applied to obtain expressions for multivariate subresultants in roots in (7), and the generalization of Sylvester's single and double-sum formulae to the multivariate case is the subject of ongoing research.

2. Review of Sylvester's Double Sum for Subresultants

Let $f = a_m x^m + \dots + a_0$ and $g = b_n x^n + \dots + b_0$, be two polynomials with coefficients in a commutative ring. The d -th *subresultant polynomial* $\text{Sres}_d(f, g)$ is defined for $0 \leq d < \min\{m, n\}$ or, if $m \neq n$ holds, for $d = \min\{m, n\}$, as the following determinant:

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) := \det \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & m+n-2d & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ a_m & \cdots & \cdots & a_{d+1-(n-d-1)} & x^{n-d-1} f(x) & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & & a_m & \cdots & a_{d+1} & f(x) \\ b_n & \cdots & \cdots & b_{d+1-(m-d-1)} & x^{m-d-1} g(x) & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & & b_n & \cdots & b_{d+1} & g(x) \end{array} \begin{array}{l} n-d \\ \\ \\ \\ m-d \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where $a_\ell = b_\ell = 0$ for $\ell < 0$.

By developing this determinant by the last column, it is clear that $\text{Sres}_d(f, g)$ is a polynomial combination of f and g . It is also a classic fact that $\text{Sres}_d(f, g)$ is a polynomial of degree bounded by d , since it coincides with the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the last column C_{m+n-2d} by

$$C'_{m+n-2d} := C_{m+n-2d} - x^{d+1} C_{m+n-2d-1} - \dots - x^{m+n-d-1} C_1.$$

Now, let $A = (\dots, \alpha, \dots)$ and $B = (\dots, \beta, \dots)$ be finite lists (ordered sets) of distinct indeterminates. In (16) Sylvester introduced for $0 \leq p \leq |A|$, $0 \leq q \leq |B|$ the following *double-sum* expression in A and B :

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) := \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'|=p, |B'|=q}} R(x, A') R(x, B') \frac{R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B')}{R(A', A \setminus A') R(B', B \setminus B')},$$

where

$$R(X, Y) := \prod_{x \in X, y \in Y} (x - y), \quad R(x, Y) := \prod_{y \in Y} (x - y).$$

In (16) Sylvester gave the following elegant formula that expresses the subresultants in terms of the double-sum, that is, in terms of the roots of f and g .

THEOREM 1 (SYLVESTER'S DOUBLE-SUM FORMULA): *Let f, g be the monic polynomials*

$$f = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (x - \alpha), \quad g = \prod_{\beta \in B} (x - \beta) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha \in A, \beta \in B][x],$$

where $|A| = m$ and $|B| = n$. Let $p, q \geq 0$ be such that $d := p + q < \min\{m, n\}$ or $d = \min\{m, n\}$ if $m \neq n$ holds. Then

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) = \frac{(-1)^{p(m-d)}}{\binom{d}{p}} \text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x).$$

When $p = d$ and $q = 0$, the above expression immediately simplifies to the *single-sum* formula:

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) = \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A \\ |A'|=d}} R(x, A') \frac{R(A \setminus A', B)}{R(A \setminus A', A')}. \quad (2)$$

Complete proofs of Sylvester's double-sum can be found in (16; 13), while the single-sum formula has various proofs, (1; 2; 4; 11; 8). Here we present in Section 4 an alternative elementary proof for both results.

3. Notations

We recall that $0 \leq d < \min\{m, n\}$ or $d := \min\{m, n\}$ if $m \neq n$ holds. We let M_f and M_g denote the following matrices:

$$M_f := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & & m+n-d \\ a_0 & \dots & a_m \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & a_0 & \dots & a_m \end{array} \\ n-d \end{array}, \quad M_g := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & & m+n-d \\ b_0 & \dots & b_n \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & b_0 & \dots & b_n \end{array} \\ m-d \end{array}.$$

We now define

$$S_d := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} m+n-d \\ M_{t-x} \\ M_f \\ M_g \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} d \\ n-d \\ m-d \end{array} \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad M_{t-x} := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccc} m+n-d & & & & & \\ -x & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & -x & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \\ d \end{array}.$$

Finally, we define for a polynomial $p(t)$ and two lists, $\Gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u)$ of scalars and $E := (e_1, \dots, e_v)$ of non-negative integers, the (not-necessarily square) matrix

of size $v \times u$:

$$\langle p(t), \Gamma \rangle_E := \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma_1^{e_1} p(\gamma_1) & \cdots & \gamma_u^{e_1} p(\gamma_u) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_1^{e_v} p(\gamma_1) & \cdots & \gamma_u^{e_v} p(\gamma_u) \end{array} \right]_v .$$

For instance, under this notation, if we take $E := (0, \dots, u-1)$, we have the following equality for the Vandermonde determinant $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ associated to Γ :

$$\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) := |(\gamma_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq u}| = |\langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_E|.$$

When E is of the form $E = (0, \dots, v-1)$, we directly write $\langle p(t), \Gamma \rangle_v$.

We mention the following useful equalities that hold since $m+n-d \geq \max(m, n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_f \cdot \langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_{m+n-d} &= \langle f(t), \Gamma \rangle_{n-d} \\ M_g \cdot \langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_{m+n-d} &= \langle g(t), \Gamma \rangle_{m-d} . \\ M_{t-x} \cdot \langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_{m+n-d} &= \langle t-x, \Gamma \rangle_d \end{aligned}$$

4. The Proof

The proof is divided into a series of lemmas which are interesting on their own. For an easier understanding, we recommend not to pay attention to signs in a first approach.

LEMMA 1: *Under the previous assumptions and notations, we have*

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) = (-1)^{d+(n-d)(m-d)} |S_d|.$$

Proof: We denote by C_i the i -th column of the matrix S_d and we replace its first column C_1 by $C'_1 := C_1 + xC_2 + \dots + x^{m+n-d-1}C_{m+n-d}$. This operation does not change the determinant of this matrix, and

$$C'_1 := \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ f(x) \\ \vdots \\ x^{n-d-1}f(x) \\ g(x) \\ \vdots \\ x^{m-d-1}g(x) \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} d \\ \\ n-d \\ m-d \end{array} .$$

We now perform a Laplace expansion of the determinant of the new matrix over the first d rows, and we observe that only one block survives, which corresponds to

columns 2 to $d + 1$ of M_{t-x} . Moreover, this block is lower triangular with diagonal entries 1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S_d| &= (-1)^d \det \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} & & & m+n-2d \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} f(x) & a_{d+1} & \dots & a_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\ x^{n-d-1}f(x) & a_{d+1-(n-d-1)} & \dots & a_m \\ g(x) & b_{d+1} & \dots & b_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\ x^{m-d-1}g(x) & b_{d+1-(m-d-1)} & \dots & b_n \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} n-d \\ m-d \end{array} \\
 &= (-1)^{d+(n-d)(m-d)} \text{Sres}_d(f, g),
 \end{aligned}$$

since the matrix in the right-hand side above is the matrix of (1) viewed backward. \square

For simplicity, from now on, we assume f and g to be the monic polynomials $f = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (x - \alpha)$, $g = \prod_{\beta \in B} (x - \beta)$ where A and B are lists with $|A| = m$ and $|B| = n$. (As pointed out by a referee, under this assumption one has in the language of multi-Schur functions: $|S_d| = S_{1^d; (m-d)^{n-d}; 0^{m-d}}(-x, -A, -B)$ (see (13)).)

The lemmas below generalize in an obvious manner to non-monic polynomials. The first one corresponds to Th. 3 in (11). We prove it here with a different technique that follows from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2: (*Hong’s subresultant in roots (11, Th. 3.1)*)

Under the previous notations, we have

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) = \det \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} m \\ \langle x - t, A \rangle_d \\ \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d \\ m-d \end{array} .$$

Proof: We note that $|S_d| \mathcal{V}(A)$ is the determinant of the following product of matrices:

$$\begin{array}{c} d \\ n-d \\ m-d \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m+n-d \\ M_{t-x} \\ M_f \\ M_g \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m \\ \langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n-d \\ 0 \\ I_{n-d} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m \\ n-d \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} m \\ \langle t - x, A \rangle_d \\ 0 \\ \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n-d \\ * \\ M'_f \\ * \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d \\ n-d \\ m-d \end{array} ,$$

since $\langle f(t), A \rangle_{n-d} = [\alpha_j^{i-1} f(\alpha_j)] = [0]$.

By permuting the rows of the second block with those of the third, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) &= (-1)^{d+(m-d)(n-d)} |S_d| \mathcal{V}(A) \\ &= (-1)^d \det \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle t-x, A \rangle_d \\ \hline \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} \\ \hline \end{array} |M'_f| \\ &= \det \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle x-t, A \rangle_d \\ \hline \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} \\ \hline \end{array}, \end{aligned}$$

since M'_f is a lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries $a_m = 1$. \square

Let us remark here that the Poisson product formula $\text{Res}(f, g) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} g(\alpha)$ is a direct consequence of the previous Lemma for the case $d = 0$.

For $S \subseteq T$ finite lists, let $\text{sg}(S, T) := (-1)^\sigma$ where σ is the number of transpositions needed to take T to $S \cup (T \setminus S)$. Here, “ \cup ” stands for list concatenation and “ \setminus ” means list subtraction.

LEMMA 3: *Let P and Q be two disjoint sublists of $E := (0, \dots, d-1)$ that satisfy $P \cup Q = E$, and let $p := |P|$, $q := |Q|$. Then*

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) = (-1)^{q+(m-d)n} \text{sg}(P, E) \det \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle x-t, A \rangle_P \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & p \\ \hline 0 & \langle x-t, B \rangle_Q & q \\ \hline \langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} & \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+n-d} & m+n-d \\ \hline \end{array}. \quad (3)$$

Proof: Recalling that $\mathcal{V}(B) = |\langle 1, B \rangle_n|$, we have by Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) &= \det \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle x-t, A \rangle_d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & d \\ \hline \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} & 0 & m-d \\ \hline \langle 1, A \rangle_n & \langle 1, B \rangle_n & n \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= (-1)^{(m-d)n} \det \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle x-t, A \rangle_d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & d \\ \hline \langle 1, A \rangle_n & \langle 1, B \rangle_n & n \\ \hline \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d} & 0 & m-d \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= (-1)^{(m-d)n} \det \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline n \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline m-d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline m \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline n \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline I_d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle x-t, A \rangle_d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline n \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline I_n \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+n-d} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline m+n-d \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{|c|} \hline m-d \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline M_g \\ \hline \end{array} & & & & \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $M_g \cdot \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+n-d} = \langle g(t), B \rangle_{m-d} = [0]$. Now, since the first matrix is lower triangular with diagonal entries 1, we have

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) = (-1)^{(m-d)n} \det \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} m \\ \langle x-t, A \rangle_d \\ \langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+n-d} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d \\ m+n-d \end{array} . \quad (4)$$

Finally, recalling that $\langle x-t, A \rangle_d = \left(\alpha_j^{i-1} x - \alpha_j^i \right)_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} = \left(\alpha_j^{i-1} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m+n-d, 1 \leq j \leq m}$, the obvious subtractions and permutations of rows yield

$$\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) = (-1)^{(m-d)n} \text{sg}(P, E) \det \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} m \\ \langle x-t, A \rangle_P \\ 0 \\ \langle 1, A \rangle_{m+n-d} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ -\langle x-t, B \rangle_Q \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+n-d} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p \\ q \\ m+n-d \end{array} .$$

The lemma follows by moving $(-1)^q$ out of the determinant. □

We will also need in the proof the following observation:

OBSERVATION 1: *Let $\Gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$. Then*

$$|\langle x-t, \Gamma \rangle_d| = R(x, \Gamma) |\langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_d|. \quad (5)$$

Proof: The claim follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} x-\gamma_1 & \dots & x-\gamma_d \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_1^{d-1}x-\gamma_1^d & \dots & \gamma_d^{d-1}x-\gamma_d^d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_1^{d-1} & \dots & \gamma_d^{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x-\gamma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x-\gamma_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1

For any P and Q disjoint sublists of $E := (0, \dots, d-1)$ that satisfy $P \cup Q = E$, with $|P| = p$ and $|Q| = q$, a Laplace expansion over the first d rows in Identity (3) gives that $\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B)$ equals

$$(-1)^\sigma \text{sg}(P, E) \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'|=p, |B'|=q}} \text{sg}(A', A) \text{sg}(B', B) \cdot |\langle x-t, A' \rangle_P| \cdot |\langle x-t, B' \rangle_Q| \cdot \mathcal{V}(A \setminus A' \cup B \setminus B')$$

where $\sigma := q + (m-d)n + (m-p)q \equiv (m-d)(n-q) \pmod{2}$. Adding over all such choices of $P \subset E$ with $|P| = p$, we deduce that $\text{Sres}_d(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B)$ equals

$$\frac{1}{\binom{d}{p}} \sum_P (-1)^\sigma \text{sg}(P, E) \sum_{A', B'} \text{sg}(A', A) \text{sg}(B', B) \cdot |\langle x-t, A' \rangle_P| \cdot |\langle x-t, B' \rangle_Q| \cdot \mathcal{V}(A \setminus A' \cup B \setminus B')$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^\sigma}{\binom{d}{p}} \sum_{A', B'} \text{sg}(A', A) \text{sg}(B', B) \mathcal{V}(A \setminus A' \cup B \setminus B') \left(\sum_P \text{sg}(P, E) |\langle x-t, A' \rangle_P| \cdot |\langle x-t, B' \rangle_Q| \right).$$

We observe now that, by another Laplace expansion and Identity (5),

$$\sum_P \text{sg}(P, E) |\langle x-t, A' \rangle_P| \cdot |\langle x-t, B' \rangle_Q| = |\langle x-t, A' \cup B' \rangle_d| = R(x, A') R(x, B') |\langle 1, A' \cup B' \rangle_d|.$$

Recalling that $|\langle 1, A' \cup B' \rangle_d| = \mathcal{V}(A' \cup B')$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) &= \frac{(-1)^\sigma}{\binom{d}{p}} \sum_{A', B'} R(x, A') R(x, B') \frac{\text{sg}(A', A) \text{sg}(B', B) \mathcal{V}(A \setminus A' \cup B \setminus B') \mathcal{V}(A' \cup B')}{\mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau}{\binom{d}{p}} \sum_{A', B'} R(x, A') R(x, B') \frac{R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B')}{R(A', A \setminus A') R(B', B \setminus B')}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = (m-p)(n-q) + pq - (m-p)p - (n-q)q = (m-d)(n-d)$ since for any finite lists X, Y , one has $\mathcal{V}(X \cup Y) = \mathcal{V}(X) \mathcal{V}(Y) R(Y, X) = (-1)^{|X| \cdot |Y|} \mathcal{V}(X) \mathcal{V}(Y) R(X, Y)$. The claim follows now from the fact that $(m-d)(n-q) + (m-d)(n-d) \equiv (m-d)p \pmod{2}$. \square

As a final remark, we mention that if in the previous proof we start with a Laplace expansion over the first d rows in Identity (4) instead of Identity (3), we obtain in the same manner Sylvester's single sum formulation (2).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading of our preliminary manuscript and their very precise indications to improve our presentation.

References

- [1] F. Apéry and J.P. Jouanolou. *Résultant et sous-résultants: le cas d'une variable*. Cours DESS 1995–1996, 2005.
- [2] C.W. Borchardt. Über eine Interpolationsformel für eine Art Symmetrischer Functionen und über Deren Anwendung. *Math. Abh. der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, pages 1–20, 1860.
- [3] W.S. Brown and J.F. Traub. On Euclid's algorithm and the theory of subresultants. *Journal of the ACM*, 18(4):505–514, October 1971.
- [4] M. Chardin. Thèse. *Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI)*, 1990.
- [5] G.E. Collins. Subresultants and reduced polynomial remainder sequences. *Journal of the ACM*, 14:128–142, 1967.

- [6] G.E. Collins. Quantifier elimination for the elementary theory of real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition. In *Lecture Notes In Computer Science*, pages 134–183. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975. Vol. 33.
- [7] C. D'Andrea, T. Krick and A. Szanto. Multivariate subresultants in roots. *J. Algebra* 302 (1), 16-36, 2006.
- [8] G.M. Diaz-Toca and L. Gonzalez-Vega. Various new expressions for subresultants and their applications. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, 15(3-4):233–266, 2004.
- [9] L. Gonzalez-Vega. A combinatorial algorithm solving some quantifier elimination problems. In B. Caviness and J. Johnson, editors, *Quantifier Elimination and Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition*. Springer Verlag, 1996. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation.
- [10] L. Gonzalez-Vega, H. Lombardi, T. Recio, and M.-F. Roy. Sturm-Habicht sequences. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIGSAM 1989 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 136–146, July 1989.
- [11] H. Hong. Subresultants in roots. Technical report, Department of Mathematics. North Carolina State University, 1999.
- [12] H. Hong. Ore subresultant coefficients in solutions. *Journal of Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication, and Computing*, 12(5):421–428, 2001.
- [13] A. Lascoux and P. Pragacz. Double sylvester sums for euclidean division, multi-Schur functions. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, (35):689–710, 2003.
- [14] H. Lombardi, M.-F. Roy, and M.S. El Din. New structure theorem for subresultants. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, (29):663–689, 2000.
- [15] J. Renegar. On the computational complexity and geometry of the first-order theory of the reals. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 13(3):255–352, 1992.
- [16] J.J. Sylvester. On a theory of syzygetic relations of two rational integral functions, comprising an application to the theory of Sturm's function and that of the greatest algebraical common measure. *Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, 1853. Reprinted in: *The Collected Mathematical Papers of James Joseph Sylvester*, Chelsea Publ., New York 1973, Vol. 1, 429–586.