

# A categorical approach to study posets of decompositions into subobjects

Kevin I. Piterman

(joint with Volkmar Welker)

Philipps-Universität Marburg

March 19, 2024

University of Copenhagen



Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Homotopy type of  $\mathcal{S} =$  homotopy type of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .



Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Homotopy type of  $\mathcal{S} =$  homotopy type of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- If  $n = h(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *spherical* if it is  $(n - 1)$ -connected.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Homotopy type of  $\mathcal{S} =$  homotopy type of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- If  $n = h(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *spherical* if it is  $(n - 1)$ -connected.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* (CM) if  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{>x}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{<x}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{>x} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<y}$  (with  $x < y$ ) are spherical of the *correct* dimension.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Homotopy type of  $\mathcal{S} =$  homotopy type of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- If  $n = h(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *spherical* if it is  $(n - 1)$ -connected.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* (CM) if  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{>x}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{<x}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{>x} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<y}$  (with  $x < y$ ) are spherical of the *correct* dimension.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is *bounded* if it has  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a poset.

- $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) =$  order-complex of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where simplices are finite chains on  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- Height of  $\mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Height of  $x \in \mathcal{S} = h(\mathcal{S}_{\leq x})$ .
- Topology of  $\mathcal{S} =$  topology of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- Homotopy type of  $\mathcal{S} =$  homotopy type of  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$ .
- If  $n = h(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *spherical* if it is  $(n - 1)$ -connected.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* (CM) if  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{>x}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{<x}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{>x} \cap \mathcal{S}_{<y}$  (with  $x < y$ ) are spherical of the *correct* dimension.
- $\mathcal{S}$  is *bounded* if it has  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We will mainly work with **bounded posets of finite height** and look for *highly-connectedness* properties such as sphericity or the Cohen-Macaulayness.



## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- ① (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .



## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- 1 (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .
- 2  $St_n(\mathbb{K}) := \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{S}(V)^*)$  is the Steinberg module of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ .

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- 1 (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .
- 2  $St_n(\mathbb{K}) := \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{S}(V)^*)$  is the Steinberg module of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ .
- 3 (Borel-Serre '73) For the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  of a number field  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$H^{\text{vcd}-i}(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M) = H_i(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M \otimes St_n(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for all } M \text{ and } i \leq \text{vcd}.$$

## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- 1 (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .
- 2  $St_n(\mathbb{K}) := \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{S}(V)^*)$  is the Steinberg module of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ .
- 3 (Borel-Serre '73) For the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  of a number field  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$H^{\text{vcd}-i}(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M) = H_i(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M \otimes St_n(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for all } M \text{ and } i \leq \text{vcd}.$$

- 4 (Quillen) Homological stability ( $|\mathbb{K}| \neq 2$ ):

$$H_m(GL_n(\mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_m(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for } n \geq m + 1.$$

## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- 1 (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .
- 2  $St_n(\mathbb{K}) := \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{S}(V)^*)$  is the Steinberg module of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ .
- 3 (Borel-Serre '73) For the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  of a number field  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$H^{\text{vcd}-i}(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M) = H_i(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M \otimes St_n(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for all } M \text{ and } i \leq \text{vcd}.$$

- 4 (Quillen) Homological stability ( $|\mathbb{K}| \neq 2$ ):

$$H_m(GL_n(\mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_m(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for } n \geq m + 1.$$

**General idea:** construct a family of highly-connected complexes related to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ .

## Motivation: group cohomology

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  be the poset of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{S}(V)^* = \mathcal{S}(V) \setminus \{0, V\}$  (the proper part).

- 1 (Solomon-Tits)  $\mathcal{S}(V)^*$  is the Tits building of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , so it is spherical (even CM) of dimension  $n - 2$ .
- 2  $St_n(\mathbb{K}) := \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{S}(V)^*)$  is the Steinberg module of  $SL_n(\mathbb{K})$ .
- 3 (Borel-Serre '73) For the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  of a number field  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$H^{\text{vcd}-i}(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M) = H_i(SL_n(\mathcal{O}), M \otimes St_n(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for all } M \text{ and } i \leq \text{vcd}.$$

- 4 (Quillen) Homological stability ( $|\mathbb{K}| \neq 2$ ):

$$H_m(GL_n(\mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_m(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})) \text{ for } n \geq m + 1.$$

**General idea:** construct a family of highly-connected complexes related to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ .

Direct sum decompositions, partial basis complexes, frame complexes, etc.



$\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{Sym}_n$

$\binom{n}{k}$  = subsets of size  $k$

$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$  = number of flags

$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$

with  $|S_i| - |S_{i-1}| = k_i$ .

Partition lattice  $\Pi_n$

Number of derangements,  
Stirling, Catalan number, etc

$\mathbb{F}_q^n$  and  $\text{GL}_n(q)$

$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  = number of subspaces of dimension  $k$

$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$  = number of flags

$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$

with  $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .

Poset of direct sum decompositions

Several  $q$ -analogues

$\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Sym}_n$	$\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{GL}_n(q)$
$\binom{n}{k}$ = subsets of size $k$	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of subspaces of dimension $k$
$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ = number of flags	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of flags
$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$ with $ S_i  -  S_{i-1}  = k_i$ .	$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .
Partition lattice $\Pi_n$	Poset of direct sum decompositions
Number of derangements, Stirling, Catalan number, etc	Several $q$ -analogues

**Questions.** Do we have “analogues” for other groups?



$\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Sym}_n$	$\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{GL}_n(q)$
$\binom{n}{k}$ = subsets of size $k$	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of subspaces of dimension $k$
$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ = number of flags	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of flags
$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$ with $ S_i  -  S_{i-1}  = k_i$ .	$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .
Partition lattice $\Pi_n$	Poset of direct sum decompositions
Number of derangements, Stirling, Catalan number, etc	Several $q$ -analogues

**Questions.** Do we have “analogues” for other groups? What about dualizing modules or homological stability?

## Motivation: $q$ -analogues

$\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Sym}_n$	$\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{GL}_n(q)$
$\binom{n}{k}$ = subsets of size $k$	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of subspaces of dimension $k$
$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ = number of flags	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of flags
$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$ with $ S_i  -  S_{i-1}  = k_i$ .	$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .
Partition lattice $\Pi_n$	Poset of direct sum decompositions
Number of derangements, Stirling, Catalan number, etc	Several $q$ -analogues

**Questions.** Do we have “analogues” for other groups? What about dualizing modules or homological stability?

- 1 Free group  $F_n$  of rank  $n$ ,  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ ,  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ ,

$\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Sym}_n$	$\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{GL}_n(q)$
$\binom{n}{k}$ = subsets of size $k$	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of subspaces of dimension $k$
$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ = number of flags	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of flags
$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$ with $ S_i  -  S_{i-1}  = k_i$ .	$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .
Partition lattice $\Pi_n$	Poset of direct sum decompositions
Number of derangements, Stirling, Catalan number, etc	Several $q$ -analogues

**Questions.** Do we have “analogues” for other groups? What about dualizing modules or homological stability?

- 1 Free group  $F_n$  of rank  $n$ ,  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ ,  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ ,
- 2 Isometry groups  $\text{Sp}_n$ ,  $\text{SU}_n$ , etc.,

$\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\text{Sym}_n$	$\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{GL}_n(q)$
$\binom{n}{k}$ = subsets of size $k$	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of subspaces of dimension $k$
$\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_r}$ = number of flags	$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ = number of flags
$\emptyset = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_r = [n]$ with $ S_i  -  S_{i-1}  = k_i$ .	$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\dim V_i / V_{i-1} = k_i$ .
Partition lattice $\Pi_n$	Poset of direct sum decompositions
Number of derangements, Stirling, Catalan number, etc	Several $q$ -analogues

**Questions.** Do we have “analogues” for other groups? What about dualizing modules or homological stability?

- 1 Free group  $F_n$  of rank  $n$ ,  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ ,  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ ,
- 2 Isometry groups  $\text{Sp}_n$ ,  $\text{SU}_n$ , etc.,
- 3 Linear groups  $\text{SL}_n$  over Dedekind domains, PIDs, local rings, etc.



## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.



## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**,

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving, meet/join preserving**,

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**, **meet/join preserving**, and **“height preserving”**.

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**, **meet/join preserving**, and **“height preserving”**.
- Also  $\Phi_\sigma(\sigma) = 1$  and  $\Phi_\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$ .

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**, **meet/join preserving**, and **“height preserving”**.
- Also  $\Phi_\sigma(\sigma) = 1$  and  $\Phi_\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$ .

The condition on the heights is:

$$h(\Phi_\sigma(\tau)) = \sum_{x \in \tau} h(x).$$

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**, **meet/join preserving**, and **“height preserving”**.
- Also  $\Phi_\sigma(\sigma) = 1$  and  $\Phi_\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$ .

The condition on the heights is:

$$h(\Phi_\sigma(\tau)) = \sum_{x \in \tau} h(x).$$

$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}) =$  poset of decompositions ordered by refinement,

## The general poset-approach

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded poset (with 0 and 1) of **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A *decomposition* (of type “A”) of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset  $\sigma$  such that:

- For all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join  $\bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  exists.
- The map  $\Phi_\sigma : 2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending  $\tau$  to the join of its elements is **order-preserving**, **meet/join preserving**, and **“height preserving”**.
- Also  $\Phi_\sigma(\sigma) = 1$  and  $\Phi_\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$ .

The condition on the heights is:

$$h(\Phi_\sigma(\tau)) = \sum_{x \in \tau} h(x).$$

$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$  = poset of decompositions ordered by refinement,

$\mathcal{PD}(\mathcal{S})$  = poset of partial decompositions ordered by refinement  
=  $\{\tau : \tau \subseteq \sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})\}$ .

## Some motivating examples



- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional,

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.

## Some motivating examples

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.

## Some motivating examples

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

**Partial goal: cover the following examples.**

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

**Partial goal: cover the following examples.**

- 1 If  $G = F_n$  is the free group of rank  $n$ , we would like to take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(F_n)$  the **poset of free factors** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(F_n))$  the poset of decompositions into free factors.

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

**Partial goal: cover the following examples.**

- 1 If  $G = F_n$  is the free group of rank  $n$ , we would like to take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(F_n)$  the **poset of free factors** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(F_n))$  the poset of decompositions into free factors.
- 2 If  $M$  is a f.g. free module over a PID, then  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  should be the **poset of direct summands** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  the poset of direct sum decompositions of  $M$ .

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

**Partial goal: cover the following examples.**

- 1 If  $G = F_n$  is the free group of rank  $n$ , we would like to take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(F_n)$  the **poset of free factors** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(F_n))$  the poset of decompositions into free factors.
- 2 If  $M$  is a f.g. free module over a PID, then  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  should be the **poset of direct summands** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  the poset of direct sum decompositions of  $M$ .
- 3 If  $V$  is a vector space with a non-degenerate sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ ,



## Some motivating examples

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$  is the poset of subspaces with  $V$  finite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V)) = \mathcal{D}(V)$  is the poset of direct sum decompositions.
- $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]}$  the lattice of subsets of  $[n]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(2^{[n]}) = \Pi_n$  is the partition lattice.
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice of subgroups of a finite group  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = ?$

### Partial goal: cover the following examples.

- 1 If  $G = F_n$  is the free group of rank  $n$ , we would like to take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(F_n)$  the **poset of free factors** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(F_n))$  the poset of decompositions into free factors.
- 2 If  $M$  is a f.g. free module over a PID, then  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  should be the **poset of direct summands** and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  the poset of direct sum decompositions of  $M$ .
- 3 If  $V$  is a vector space with a non-degenerate sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(V, \Psi)$  should be the **poset of non-degenerate subspaces**, and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(V, \Psi))$  the poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .



## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ .

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be the poset of subobjects of  $X$ : classes of monomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ .

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be the poset of subobjects of  $X$ : classes of monomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ . This is a bounded poset with  $0 = \text{initial object}$ , and  $1 = [(X, \text{Id}_X)] = X$ .

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be the poset of subobjects of  $X$ : classes of monomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ . This is a bounded poset with  $0 =$  initial object, and  $1 = [(X, \text{Id}_X)] = X$ .

**Definition.** A subset  $\sigma$  of a subposet  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(X)$  is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{T}$  if for all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join of  $\tau$  exists in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and it is a subobject that coincides with the  $\sqcup$ -product of its elements **+choice of representatives+compatibility:**

$$[i_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X] \vee \dots \vee [i_r : Y_r \rightarrow X] = [i : Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_r \rightarrow X].$$



## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be the poset of subobjects of  $X$ : classes of monomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ . This is a bounded poset with  $0 = \text{initial object}$ , and  $1 = [(X, \text{Id}_X)] = X$ .

**Definition.** A subset  $\sigma$  of a subposet  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(X)$  is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{T}$  if for all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join of  $\tau$  exists in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and it is a subobject that coincides with the  $\sqcup$ -product of its elements **+choice of representatives+compatibility:**

$$[i_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X] \vee \dots \vee [i_r : Y_r \rightarrow X] = [i : Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_r \rightarrow X].$$

We say that  $x \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  is  $\sqcup$ -complemented if there is a poset complement  $y \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  such that  $\{x, y\}$  is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .

## The categorical approach

To recover free factors, summands or non-degenerate subspaces with the orthogonality relation, we need a kind of “product” that detects such behaviours on decompositions.

**Definition.** An *ISM-category* is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  with initial object  $0$  which is also a unit for the product  $\sqcup$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  be the poset of subobjects of  $X$ : classes of monomorphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$ . This is a bounded poset with  $0 = \text{initial object}$ , and  $1 = [(X, \text{Id}_X)] = X$ .

**Definition.** A subset  $\sigma$  of a subposet  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(X)$  is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{T}$  if for all  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ , the join of  $\tau$  exists in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and it is a subobject that coincides with the  $\sqcup$ -product of its elements **+choice of representatives+compatibility:**

$$[i_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X] \vee \dots \vee [i_r : Y_r \rightarrow X] = [i : Y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_r \rightarrow X].$$

We say that  $x \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  is  $\sqcup$ -complemented if there is a poset complement  $y \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  such that  $\{x, y\}$  is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .

$\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -complemented subobjects.



Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A  $\sqcup$ -*decomposition* of  $X$  is a decomposition  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup))$  which is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$ .

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A  $\sqcup$ -*decomposition* of  $X$  is a decomposition  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup))$  which is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$ .

$$\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) = \text{poset of } \sqcup\text{-decompositions,}$$

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A  $\sqcup$ -*decomposition* of  $X$  is a decomposition  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup))$  which is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$ .

$\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -decompositions,

$\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -partial decompositions.

Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A  $\sqcup$ -*decomposition* of  $X$  is a decomposition  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup))$  which is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$ .

$\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -decompositions,

$\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -partial decompositions.

**Example.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a complemented lattice of finite height, regard  $\mathcal{S}$  as an ISM-category with  $\sqcup = \vee$ .



Let  $X$  be an object of an ISM-category  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has **finite height**.

### Definition (Decompositions)

A  $\sqcup$ -*decomposition* of  $X$  is a decomposition  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup))$  which is  $\sqcup$ -compatible in  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$ .

$\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -decompositions,

$\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) =$  poset of  $\sqcup$ -partial decompositions.

**Example.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a complemented lattice of finite height, regard  $\mathcal{S}$  as an ISM-category with  $\sqcup = \vee$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(1, \vee) = \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(1, \vee) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$ .



## Some properties

The following hold:

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 5 If  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is **uniquely downward  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented** then  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)^\circ := \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \setminus \{\{X\}\}$ .



The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 5 If  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is **uniquely downward  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented** then  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)^\circ := \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \setminus \{\{X\}\}$ .

Intervals:

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 5 If  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is **uniquely downward  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented** then  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)^\circ := \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \setminus \{\{X\}\}$ .

Intervals:

- 1  $\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)_{\geq \sigma} \cong \Pi(\sigma)$  the partition lattice on  $\sigma$ .

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 5 If  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is **uniquely downward  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented** then  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)^\circ := \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \setminus \{\{X\}\}$ .

Intervals:

- 1  $\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)_{\geq \sigma} \cong \Pi(\sigma)$  the partition lattice on  $\sigma$ .
- 2 In most examples we will also have:

$$\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)_{\leq \sigma} \cong \prod_{y \in \sigma} \mathcal{PD}(Y, \sqcup).$$

The following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{PD}$  is bounded with minimum  $\emptyset$  and maximum  $\{X\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 2  $\Phi(\tau) := \bigvee_{x \in \tau} x$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 3  $\Phi : \mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is a poset map with image  $\mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$  the  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented subobjects.
- 4  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \setminus \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \simeq \mathcal{S}_h(X, \sqcup)$ .
- 5 If  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  is **uniquely downward  $(\sqcup, h)$ -complemented** then  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)^\circ := \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup) \setminus \{\{X\}\}$ .

Intervals:

- 1  $\mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)_{\geq \sigma} \cong \Pi(\sigma)$  the partition lattice on  $\sigma$ .
- 2 In most examples we will also have:

$$\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)_{\leq \sigma} \cong \prod_{y \in \sigma} \mathcal{PD}(Y, \sqcup).$$

- 3 Upper intervals  $\mathcal{PD}(X, \sqcup)_{\geq \sigma}$  are harder to control.



Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**:



Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):
  - $\mathcal{OD}(X, \sqcup)$  = ordered decompositions  
=  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)\}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):
  - $\mathcal{OD}(X, \sqcup)$  = ordered decompositions  
=  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)\}$ .
  - $\mathcal{OPD}(X, \sqcup)$ ,

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):
  - $\mathcal{OD}(X, \sqcup)$  = ordered decompositions  
=  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)\}$ .
  - $\mathcal{OPD}(X, \sqcup)$ ,
  - $\mathcal{OF}(X, \sqcup)$  and  $\mathcal{OPB}(X, \sqcup)$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):
  - $\mathcal{OD}(X, \sqcup)$  = ordered decompositions  
=  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)\}$ .
  - $\mathcal{OPD}(X, \sqcup)$ ,
  - $\mathcal{OF}(X, \sqcup)$  and  $\mathcal{OPB}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- The unordered version is spherical if the ordered version is.

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}(X, \sqcup)$  has finite height  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$  = **frame complex**, the simplicial complex whose maximal simplices are decompositions into atoms (=height 1).
- $\mathcal{PB}(X, \sqcup, P)$  = **complex of partial bases**: For each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ , we pick a non-empty set (“of bases”)  $P_v$ , and  $\mathcal{PB}$  is the **inflation** by the family  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- Therefore:  $\mathcal{PB}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is.
- **Ordered versions** (“injective words”):
  - $\mathcal{OD}(X, \sqcup)$  = ordered decompositions  
=  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{D}(X, \sqcup)\}$ .
  - $\mathcal{OPD}(X, \sqcup)$ ,
  - $\mathcal{OF}(X, \sqcup)$  and  $\mathcal{OPB}(X, \sqcup)$ .
- The unordered version is spherical if the ordered version is.
- If the unordered version is Cohen-Macaulay then the ordered version is (the converse also holds for  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{PB}$ ).





## Back to examples: free groups

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

## Back to examples: free groups

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

- 1 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\text{FC}_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

- 1 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\text{FC}_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .
- 2 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\mathcal{D}(F_n)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .



Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

- 1 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\text{FC}_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .
- 2 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\mathcal{D}(F_n)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .
- 3 (Sadofschi Costa)  $\mathcal{PB}(F_n)$ , the complex of partial bases, is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

- 1 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\text{FC}_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .
- 2 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\mathcal{D}(F_n)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .
- 3 (Sadofschi Costa)  $\mathcal{PB}(F_n)$ , the complex of partial bases, is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{OD}(F_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(F_n, *)$ ,  $\mathcal{OF}(F_n, *)$  and  $\mathcal{OPB}(F_n)$  are Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .

Let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of groups, and  $\sqcup = *$  the coproduct (free product).
- $\mathcal{S}(F_n, *) = \text{FC}_n =$  poset of free factors:

$$\text{FC}_n = \{H \leq F_n : \exists K \leq F_n \text{ s.t. } H * K \cong \langle H, K \rangle = F_n\}.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(F_n, *) = \mathcal{D}(F_n) =$  poset of decompositions of  $F_n$  into free factors.

We have the following results:

- 1 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\text{FC}_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .
- 2 (Hatcher-Vogtmann)  $\mathcal{D}(F_n)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .
- 3 (Sadofschi Costa)  $\mathcal{PB}(F_n)$ , the complex of partial bases, is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{OD}(F_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(F_n, *)$ ,  $\mathcal{OF}(F_n, *)$  and  $\mathcal{OPB}(F_n)$  are Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n - 1$ .

**Question.** What is the homotopy type of  $\mathcal{PD}(F_n)^*$ ?



Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,



Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n + 1$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n + 1$ .
- 2 (Das) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q > 2$ , and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  is symplectic,

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n + 1$ .
- 2 (Das) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q > 2$ , and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  is symplectic, then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n + 1$ .
- 2 (Das) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q > 2$ , and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  is symplectic, then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .

**Question 1.** Is  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  always CM for  $n \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 1$ ?

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -sesquilinear form  $\Psi$ .

- $\mathcal{C}$  = category of vector spaces with forms and isometries (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ),
- $\sqcup$  = orthogonal sum (this is not a coproduct!),
- $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of non-degenerate subspaces,
- $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  = poset of orthogonal decompositions of  $V$ .

We have only partial results on these posets:

- 1 (Devillers, Gramlich, Mühlherr) Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $|\sigma| = 1$  or  $2$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$  and  $\Psi$  a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -Hermitian form. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  then assume  $2^n < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 1$ , and  $2^{n-1}(\sqrt{q} + 1) < q$  if  $|\sigma| = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n + 1$ .
- 2 (Das) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q > 2$ , and  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  is symplectic, then  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $n$ .

**Question 1.** Is  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  always CM for  $n \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 1$ ?

**Question 2.** What happens for arbitrary fields in the symplectic case?





If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.
- $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) = \text{frames of size } \neq n - 1$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.
- $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) = \text{frames of size } \neq n - 1$ .
- Thus  $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is never Cohen-Macaulay.

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.
- $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) = \text{frames of size } \neq n - 1$ .
- Thus  $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is never Cohen-Macaulay.
- $\mathcal{PB}(V, \Psi) := \mathcal{PB}((V, \Psi), \sqcup, P)$  with  $P_S$  the set of “unit” bases of a minimal non-degenerate subspace  $S$ .



If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.
- $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) = \text{frames of size } \neq n - 1$ .
- Thus  $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is never Cohen-Macaulay.
- $\mathcal{PB}(V, \Psi) := \mathcal{PB}((V, \Psi), \sqcup, P)$  with  $P_S$  the set of “unit” bases of a minimal non-degenerate subspace  $S$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,  $\Psi$  is unitary or symplectic, and  $n$  is large enough, then  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is not Cohen-Macaulay.

If  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  has height  $n$ , then by unique orthocomplementation we have:

- $\mathcal{PD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^* \simeq \mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ$ .
- $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^\circ \cong \Delta(\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)^*)^{\text{op}}$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{OD}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  are Cohen-Macaulay if  $\mathcal{S}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is.
- $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) \simeq \hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup) = \text{frames of size } \neq n - 1$ .
- Thus  $\mathcal{F}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is never Cohen-Macaulay.
- $\mathcal{PB}(V, \Psi) := \mathcal{PB}((V, \Psi), \sqcup, P)$  with  $P_S$  the set of “unit” bases of a minimal non-degenerate subspace  $S$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,  $\Psi$  is unitary or symplectic, and  $n$  is large enough, then  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  is not Cohen-Macaulay.

**Question.** What is the behaviour of  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}((V, \Psi), \sqcup)$  and  $\mathcal{PB}(V, \Psi)$  for infinite fields?



## A different context: Matroids

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  
 $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  
 $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  
 $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the poset of flats of  $M$ , which is a **geometric lattice** with maximum  $M$ .

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  
$$B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M).$$

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the poset of flats of  $M$ , which is a **geometric lattice** with maximum  $M$ .

We work with  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(M)$  and  $\sqcup = \vee$ , so  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{S}(M, \vee)$ .



A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  
 $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the poset of flats of  $M$ , which is a **geometric lattice** with maximum  $M$ .

We work with  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(M)$  and  $\sqcup = \vee$ , so  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{S}(M, \vee)$ .

By general theory of **finite** matroids:

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the poset of flats of  $M$ , which is a **geometric lattice** with maximum  $M$ .

We work with  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(M)$  and  $\sqcup = \vee$ , so  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{S}(M, \vee)$ .

By general theory of **finite** matroids:

$$\mathcal{L}(M), \mathcal{F}(M) \text{ and } \mathcal{PB}(M) := \mathcal{I}(M) \text{ are CM.}$$

## A different context: Matroids

A **matroid** is a set  $M$  together with a finite-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  (the *independent sets*) whose vertices are elements of  $M$  and such that:

For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}(M)$  with  $|A| > |B|$ , there is  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  $B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ .

A *flat* of  $M$  is a subset  $F \subseteq M$  such that for any  $x \in M \setminus F$

$$\text{rk}(F) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq F, A \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} < \text{rk}(F \cup \{x\}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(M)$  is the poset of flats of  $M$ , which is a **geometric lattice** with maximum  $M$ .

We work with  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(M)$  and  $\sqcup = \vee$ , so  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{S}(M, \vee)$ .

By general theory of **finite** matroids:

$$\mathcal{L}(M), \mathcal{F}(M) \text{ and } \mathcal{PB}(M) := \mathcal{I}(M) \text{ are CM.}$$

**Question.** Are  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  Cohen-Macaulay if  $M$  is infinite?



## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).



## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

- 1 (Hanlon, Hersh, Shareshian)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)$  is CM for finite fields (Unpublished).

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

- 1 (Hanlon, Hersh, Shareshian)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)$  is CM for finite fields (Unpublished).
- 2 (Brück, P., Welker)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is homotopic to the *common basis complex*.

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

- 1 (Hanlon, Hersh, Shareshian)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)$  is CM for finite fields (Unpublished).
- 2 (Brück, P., Welker)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is homotopic to the *common basis complex*. The latter was recently shown to be  $(2n - 4)$ -connected (Miller, Patzt, Wilson),



## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

- 1 (Hanlon, Hersh, Shareshian)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)$  is CM for finite fields (Unpublished).
- 2 (Brück, P., Welker)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is homotopic to the *common basis complex*. The latter was recently shown to be  $(2n - 4)$ -connected (Miller, Patzt, Wilson), so  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is spherical for any field.

## Examples of matroids: vector spaces (again)

Regard the poset of subspaces as the lattice of flats of a matroid:

- $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(V)$  = partial basis complex, is a matroid structure for  $V$ .
- Hence  $\mathcal{S}(V, \vee) = \mathcal{L}(V)$  = lattice of subspaces (CM by Solomon-Tits).

$\mathcal{D}(V)$  = poset of direct sum decompositions:

- 1 (Welker) CM when  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field,
- 2 (Charney)  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  is isomorphic to a poset introduced by Charney, who showed it is CM (for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ ).
- 3 Thus  $\mathcal{OD}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  are CM for any field.

$\mathcal{PD}(V)$  = poset of partial decompositions:

- 1 (Hanlon, Hersh, Shareshian)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)$  is CM for finite fields (Unpublished).
- 2 (Brück, P., Welker)  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is homotopic to the *common basis complex*. The latter was recently shown to be  $(2n - 4)$ -connected (Miller, Patzt, Wilson), so  $\mathcal{PD}(V)^*$  is spherical for any field.

(van der Kallen) The complex  $\mathcal{PB}(V) := \mathcal{I}(V)$  (and hence  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ ) is CM.



We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (McCammond-Meier)  $\text{HT}_n$  is spherical with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-2}$ .



We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (McCammond-Meier)  $\text{HT}_n$  is spherical with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-2}$ .
- $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)^*$  is homotopy equivalent to the poset of hyperforests  $\text{HF}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (McCammond-Meier)  $\text{HT}_n$  is spherical with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-2}$ .
- $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)^*$  is homotopy equivalent to the poset of hyperforests  $\text{HF}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (Bacher) The Möbius number of  $\text{HF}_n$  is  $-(n-2)!$ .

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (McCammond-Meier)  $\text{HT}_n$  is spherical with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-2}$ .
- $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)^*$  is homotopy equivalent to the poset of hyperforests  $\text{HF}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (Bacher) The Möbius number of  $\text{HF}_n$  is  $-(n-2)!$ .

**Question 1.** Is  $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)$  Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $2n - 1$ ?

We work with  $\Pi_n$  the partition lattice on the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- $\Pi_n$  is the lattice of flats of a matroid.
- $\Pi_n$  is Cohen-Macaulay with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_n)^\circ$  is CM and homotopy equivalent to the proper part of the poset of hypertrees  $\text{HT}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (McCammond-Meier)  $\text{HT}_n$  is spherical with Möbius number  $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-2}$ .
- $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)^*$  is homotopy equivalent to the poset of hyperforests  $\text{HF}_n$  on  $n$  vertices.
- (Bacher) The Möbius number of  $\text{HF}_n$  is  $-(n-2)!$ .

**Question 1.** Is  $\mathcal{PD}(\Pi_n)$  Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $2n-1$ ?

**Question 2.** Is the Möbius number of  $\mathcal{OD}(\Pi_n)$  equal to  $(-1)^{n-1}(2n-1)^{n-2}$ ?

Thank you very much!