

## Uniform Bounds for the Best Sobolev Trace Constant

**Julián Fernández Bonder\***

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Buenos Aires  
Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina  
e-mail: jfbonder@dm.uba.ar*

**Julio D. Rossi†**

*Departamento de Matemática Universidad de Buenos Aires  
Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina  
Current address: Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Católica,  
Casilla 306, correo 22, Santiago, Chile  
e-mail: jrossi@riemann.mat.puc.cl*

**Raúl Ferreira‡**

*Departamento de Matemáticas,  
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, España  
Current address: Departamento de Matemáticas, U. Carlos III de Madrid,  
28911 Legans, Spain  
e-mail: rferreir@math.uc3m.es*

Received 29 August 2002  
*Communicated by Jean Mawhin*

---

\*The author acknowledges the support of ANPCyT PICT No. 03-05009

†The author acknowledges the support of ANPCyT PICT No. 03-05009, Fundación Antorchas and CONICET

‡The author acknowledges the support of AECI

### Abstract

We study the Sobolev trace embedding  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$ , looking at the dependence of the best constant and the extremals on  $p$  and  $q$ . We prove that there exists a uniform bound (independent of  $(p, q)$ ) for the best constant if and only if  $(p, q)$  lies far from  $(N, \infty)$ . Also we study some limit cases,  $q = \infty$  with  $p > N$  or  $p = \infty$  with  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J65, 35J20, 35P30, 35P15.

*Key words.* Sobolev trace constants,  $p$ -Laplacian, nonlinear boundary conditions

## 1 Introduction

Sobolev inequalities are very popular in the study of partial differential equations or in the calculus of variations and have been investigated by a great number of authors. Among them are the Sobolev trace inequalities. Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . For any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define the Sobolev trace conjugate as

$$p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p} & \text{if } p < N, \\ \infty & \text{if } p \geq N. \end{cases}$$

If  $1 \leq q \leq p^*$  (with strict second inequality if  $p = N$ ), we have the immersion  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$  and hence the following inequality holds:

$$S \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

for all  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . This is known as the Sobolev trace embedding Theorem. The best constant for this embedding is the largest  $S$  such that the above inequality holds, that is,

$$S_{p,q} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}}{\left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q d\sigma \right)^{1/q}} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} Q_{p,q}(u). \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover, if  $1 \leq q < p^*$  the embedding is compact and as a consequence we have the existence of extremals, i.e. functions where the infimum is attained, see [8]. These extremals are weak solutions of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$  is the outer unit normal derivative. Using [13] and [14] we can assume that the extremals are positive,  $u > 0$ ,

in  $\Omega$ . In the special case  $p = q$ , problem (1.2) becomes a nonlinear eigenvalue problem, that was studied in [8], [12]. For  $p = 2$ , this eigenvalue problem is known as the *Steklov* problem, [1]. From now on, let us call  $u_{p,q}$  an extremal corresponding to the exponents  $(p, q)$ .

The main purposes of this work are to study the possibility of a uniform bound (independent of  $(p, q)$ ) on  $S_{p,q}$  and to study the limit behavior of the best Sobolev trace constants  $S_{p,q}$  as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$  and as  $q \rightarrow +\infty$  and look at the limit cases  $p = \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $N < p < \infty$ ,  $q = \infty$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Given  $A$  a set of admissible  $(p, q)$ ,*

$$A \subset \{(p, q) : 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq p^*\}$$

*there exist constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  independent of  $(p, q) \in A$  such that*

$$C_1 \leq S_{p,q} \leq C_2$$

*if and only if  $A$  verifies the following property, there is no sequence  $(p_n, q_n) \in A$  with  $p_n \rightarrow N$  and  $q_n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Notice that Theorem 1.1 says that we can obtain a uniform bound for  $S_{p,q}$  on  $A$  as long as  $(p, q) \in A$  stays away from the point  $(N, \infty)$ . Observe that the upper bound,  $S_{p,q} \leq C_2$ , follows easily by taking  $u \equiv 1$  in (1.1) and holds even if we are close to  $(N, \infty)$ . The main difficulty arises in the proof of the lower bound. As we will explain below, this is due to the fact that there exist functions in  $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$  that do not belong to  $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ .

As we mentioned before, one of our concerns is to analyze the case  $p = \infty$  with  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , i.e., the immersion  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$ . The best constant is given by

$$S_{\infty,q} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}}.$$

From this expression it is easy to see that  $S_{\infty,q} = 1/|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}$  and  $S_{\infty,\infty} = 1$ , with extremal  $u_{\infty,q} = u_{\infty,\infty} \equiv 1$  in both cases (we normalize the extremals according to  $\|u_{\infty,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = \|u_{\infty,\infty}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ ). We prove that  $S_{\infty,\infty} = 1$  is the limit of  $S_{p,q}$  as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$  and also  $S_{\infty,q}$  is the limit of  $S_{p,q}$  when  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $S_{p,q}$  be the best Sobolev trace constant and  $u_{p,q}$  be any extremal normalized such that  $\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ . Then*

$$\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} = S_{\infty,\infty} = 1,$$

*and, for any  $1 < r < \infty$ , as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u_{\infty,\infty} \equiv 1, && \text{weakly in } W^{1,r}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u_{\infty,\infty} \equiv 1, && \text{strongly in } C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for fixed  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} = S_{\infty,q} = \frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}},$$

and, for any  $1 < r < \infty$ , as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u_{\infty,q} \equiv 1, && \text{weakly in } W^{1,r}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u_{\infty,q} \equiv 1, && \text{strongly in } C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

The limit  $q \rightarrow \infty$  with  $p > N$  fixed is more subtle since we do not know a priori which is the extremal for the limit case. However we find an equation for the limit extremal.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $p > N$ , then*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} = S_{p,\infty},$$

and, up to subsequences, as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u_{p,\infty} && \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u_{p,\infty} && \text{strongly in } C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exists a measure  $\mu \in C(\partial\Omega)^*$  with  $\mu(\{u_{p,\infty} = 1\}) = 1$  such that  $u_{p,\infty}$  is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = S_{p,\infty}^p \mu \chi_{\{u \equiv 1\}} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We observe that  $W^{1,N}(\Omega) \not\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ . Hence we expect that the best constant  $S_{p,q}$  goes to zero as  $(p, q) \rightarrow (N, \infty)$ . This is the content of our next result.

**Theorem 1.4** *The best constant  $S_{p,q}$  goes to zero as  $(p, q) \rightarrow (N, \infty)$  and moreover for any  $\alpha < (N - 1)/N$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$S_{p,q} \leq C \max \left\{ (p - N)_+, \frac{1}{q} \right\}^\alpha.$$

For the dependence of  $S_{p,q}(\Omega)$  with respect to the domain, see [4] and [9] for a detailed analysis of the behavior of extremals and best Sobolev constants in expanding and contracting domains. In [5] a related problem in the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  for the critical exponent is studied. See also [6], [7] for other geometric problems that lead to nonlinear boundary conditions, like the ones that appear in (1.2). The best constant in the Sobolev immersion,  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ , has been studied by many authors, see for example [10]. More recently in [11] the authors analyze the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  of the related Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the  $p$ -Laplacian.

The paper is organized as follows: first we deal with the limit cases. In sections 2 and 3 we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 respectively, in section 4 we find estimates for  $S_{p,q}$  near  $(N, \infty)$ , Theorem 1.4, and finally in section 5 we deal with the proof of our main result, Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Limit as $p \rightarrow +\infty$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof.* First, we study the limit  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case the natural limit problem is

$$S_{\infty, \infty} = \inf_{u \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}}.$$

As we mentioned in the introduction  $S_{\infty, \infty} = 1$  and the extremal is  $u_{\infty, \infty} \equiv 1$  (normalized such that  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ ). Now, taking  $u = 1$  in (1.1), we get

$$S_{p, q} = \inf_{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)} Q_{p, q}(u) \leq \frac{|\Omega|^{1/p}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}}, \quad (2.1)$$

from where it follows that

$$\limsup_{p, q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p, q} \leq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

For  $p > N$ , let us denote by  $u_{p, q}$  one extremal for (1.1) normalized such that  $\|u_{p, q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ . Hence

$$\|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)} = S_{p, q} \|u_{p, q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq S_{p, q} |\partial\Omega|^{1/q} \leq C,$$

with  $C$  independent of  $p, q$ . On the other hand, if  $N < r < p$ ,

$$\|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{(p-r)/pr} \|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Hence, there exists  $u \in W^{1, r}(\Omega)$  such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p, q} &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1, r}(\Omega), \\ u_{p, q} &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } C^\alpha(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we can assume that the limit  $u$  does not depend on  $r$ . In fact, we can choose a sequence  $r_j \rightarrow \infty$  and in each  $W^{1, r_j}$  we can extract a subsequence of  $u_{p, q}$  that converges weakly. By a standard diagonal argument we obtain a subsequence that converges strongly in  $C^\alpha$  and weakly in  $W^{1, r_j}$  for every  $j$  (and hence in  $W^{1, r}$  for every  $r$ ) to a limit function  $u$ .

In particular,  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$  and

$$S_{p, q} = Q_{p, q}(u_{p, q}) \geq \frac{|\Omega|^{-(p-r)/pr} \|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)}}{\|u_{p, q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}} \geq \frac{|\Omega|^{-(p-r)/pr} \|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}}.$$

Hence

$$1 \geq \limsup_{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Omega|^{-(p-r)/pr} \|u_{p, q}\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \geq |\Omega|^{-1/r} \|u\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)},$$

and therefore, taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$1 \geq \|u\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}.$$

We conclude that  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  and that  $u$  is an extremal for  $S_{\infty,\infty}$  that satisfies  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ , and hence  $u \equiv 1$ .

Next, we focus on the case  $p \rightarrow +\infty$  with fixed  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . We consider the natural limit problem

$$S_{\infty,q} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}},$$

and we note that the extremal is  $u_{\infty,q} \equiv 1$  (normalized such that  $\|u_{\infty,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ ) and then the best constant is given by  $S_{\infty,q} = 1/|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}$ .

Following the same argument given above we get that there exists  $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$  such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,r}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } C^\alpha(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the following inequalities,

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{1/p}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \geq S_{p,q} = Q_{p,q}(u_{p,q}) \geq \frac{|\Omega|^{-(p-r)/pr} \|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}}{\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}}.$$

First we take the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and then the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , to obtain

$$\frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \geq S_{\infty,q} \geq \frac{\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}}.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  and that it is an extremal for  $S_{\infty,q}$  which satisfies  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ . Hence  $u = u_{\infty,q} \equiv 1$  and  $S_{\infty,q} = 1/|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Limit as $q \rightarrow +\infty$ for fixed $p > N$

In this section we fix  $p > N$  and consider the limit of  $S_{p,q}$  and  $u_{p,q}$  when  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to clarify the exposition we divide the proof of Theorem 1.3 in two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $p > N$  be fixed. Then*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} = S_{p,\infty},$$

*and, up to subsequences, as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u_{p,\infty} && \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u_{p,\infty} && \text{strongly in } C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $u_{p,q}$  be an extremal for (1.1) normalized such that  $\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ . Then we have

$$S_{p,q} = \frac{\|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}{\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}} \geq \frac{\|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, using (2.1), we have  $\|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{1/p}$ . Hence, there exists a function  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,q} &\rightharpoonup u && \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_{p,q} &\rightarrow u && \text{strongly in } L^\infty(\partial\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ , and from (3.1) we get

$$\liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} \geq \liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \geq S_{p,\infty}.$$

Now, let us see that  $u$  is an extremal for  $S_{p,\infty}$ . We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists  $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$Q_{p,\infty}(v) < Q_{p,\infty}(u).$$

Then, for large  $q$  we have,

$$Q_{p,q}(v) < Q_{p,q}(u),$$

but as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p,q} &\geq \frac{\|u_{p,q}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \geq \frac{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} - \varepsilon_q}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \right) \frac{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} - \varepsilon_q}{\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}} > \frac{\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}{\|v\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\varepsilon_q$  that goes to zero as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive to a contradiction.

To finish the proof of the Lemma, we observe that

$$S_{p,q} \leq Q_{p,q}(u) \rightarrow Q_{p,\infty}(u) = S_{p,\infty}.$$

Therefore,  $\limsup_{q \rightarrow \infty} S_{p,q} \leq S_{p,\infty}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $p > N$  be fixed and let  $u_{p,\infty}$  be an extremal for (1.1) obtained as limit of a sequence of extremals  $u_{p,q}$ , as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a measure  $\mu \in C(\partial\Omega)^*$ , with  $\mu(\{u_{p,\infty} \equiv 1\}) = 1$ , such that  $u_{p,\infty}$  is a weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = S_{p,\infty}^p \mu \chi_{\{u \equiv 1\}} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $u_{p,q}$  be as in Lemma 3.1. As  $u_{p,q}$  is a weak solution of (1.2), we have that for every  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{p,q}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{p,q} \nabla \phi + |u_{p,q}|^{p-2} u_{p,q} \phi) dx = \\ S_{p,q}^p \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^q d\sigma \right)^{(p-q)/q} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^{q-2} u_{p,q} \phi d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define  $\Psi_q \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)^*$  as

$$\Psi_q(\phi) = \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^q d\sigma \right)^{(p-q)/q} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^{q-2} u_{p,q} \phi d\sigma.$$

By Hölder inequality, we get

$$|\Psi_q(\phi)| \leq \|u_{p,q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\phi\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq |\partial\Omega|^{p/q} \|u_{p,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$$

with  $C$  independent of  $q$ . Therefore,  $\|\Psi_q\| \leq C$  and hence if we call

$$v_q = \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^q d\sigma \right)^{(p-q)/q} |u_{p,q}|^{q-2} u_{p,q},$$

we have that  $v_q$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^1(\partial\Omega)$  and then, up to a subsequence,  $v_q \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  weakly-\* in the sense of measures.

In order to finish the proof, we will see that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \{u_{p,\infty} = 1\}$ . To prove this, we consider a point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $u_{p,\infty}(x_0) < 1 - 2\delta$  for some  $\delta$  small enough. Hence, for  $q$  large enough we have that  $u_{p,q}(x_0) < 1 - \delta$ . On the other hand, as  $\|u_{p,\infty}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ , and by the  $C^\alpha$  convergence of  $u_{p,q}$  to  $u_{p,\infty}$  there exists a point  $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $r$  independent of  $q$  such that  $B_r(x_1) \cap \partial\Omega \subset \{x \in \partial\Omega : u_{p,q}(x) > 1 - \delta/2\}$ . Therefore

$$|\partial\Omega|^{1/q} \geq \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{p,q}|^q d\sigma \right)^{1/q} \geq (1 - \delta/2) |B_r(x_1) \cap \partial\Omega|^{1/q},$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that  $\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ . Now, we rewrite  $v_q$  as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} v_q(x_0) &= \left( \frac{u_{p,q}(x_0)}{\|u_{p,q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}} \right)^{q-1} \|u_{p,q}\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1 - \delta}{(1 - \delta/2) |B_r(x_0) \cap \partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \right)^{q-1} |\partial\Omega|^{(p-1)/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that  $v_q(x_0) \rightarrow 0$ , and we get that the measure is supported in  $\{x \in \partial\Omega : u(x) = 1\}$ . Moreover, if we take  $u_{p,\infty}$  as test function in the weak form of (3.2), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{p,\infty}|^p + |u_{p,\infty}|^p) dx = S_{p,\infty}^p \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{u_{p,\infty}=1\}} d\mu.$$

As  $u_{p,\infty}$  is an extremal and verifies  $\|u_{p,\infty}\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} = 1$  we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{p,\infty}|^p + |u_{p,\infty}|^p) dx = S_{p,\infty}^p.$$

Therefore  $\mu(\partial\Omega \cap \{u_{p,\infty} = 1\}) = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Estimates for $(p, q)$ near $(N, \infty)$

In this section we find an upper bound for the vanishing rate of  $S_{p,q}$  as  $(p, q)$  approaches  $(N, \infty)$ , that is we prove Theorem 1.4.

*Proof.* If  $p < N$ , using Holder inequality we have that there exist a constant  $C$  such that

$$S_{p,q} \leq CS_{N,q}, \quad \text{for } p < N.$$

Hence, we can assume that  $p \geq N$ . In order to obtain a upper bound on the decay rate, we suppose that  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\alpha < (N-1)/N$ , and we consider the function

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \left( \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x| + \varepsilon}\right) \right)^\alpha \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Then we obtain a bound for  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}$  as follows, given  $M < \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$ ,

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \geq \left( \int_{\{x \in \partial\Omega : u_\varepsilon(x) \geq M\}} |u_\varepsilon|^q \right)^{1/q} \geq M |\{x \in \partial\Omega : u_\varepsilon(x) \geq M\}|^{1/q}.$$

On the other hand, let us compute

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p \leq \alpha^p \left( \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x| + \varepsilon}\right) \right)^{(\alpha-1)p} \left( \frac{1}{|x| + \varepsilon} \right)^p.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p &\leq C \int_0^C \frac{r^{N-1}}{(r + \varepsilon)^p} \left( \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{r + \varepsilon}\right) \right)^{(\alpha-1)p} dr \\ &\leq C \int_\varepsilon^C w^{N-p-1} (\ln w)^{(\alpha-1)p} dw \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{p-N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^p \leq C.$$

Summing up, we obtain that

$$S_{p,\infty} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{p-N} M |\{x \in \partial\Omega : u_\varepsilon(x) \geq M\}|^{1/q}}.$$

If  $q(p-N) \geq 1$ , we take  $M \sim 1/(p-N)^\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon \sim e^{-1/(p-N)}$  and if  $q(p-N) \leq 1$ ,  $M \sim q^\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon \sim e^{-q}$ . With this choice, we obtain

$$S_{p,q} \leq C \max \left\{ (p-N)_+, \frac{1}{q} \right\}^\alpha \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } (p, q) \rightarrow (N, \infty).$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Uniform bounds for $S_{p,q}$

In this section we prove our main result, Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* From Theorem 1.4 we get that the best constant  $S_{p,q}$  degenerates as  $(p, q) \rightarrow (N, \infty)$ , hence to obtain uniform bounds we have to stay far from that point.

A uniform upper bound for  $S_{p,q}$  follows from (2.1), namely,

$$S_{p,q} \leq \frac{|\Omega|^{1/p}}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \leq C_2, \quad (5.1)$$

for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . The lower bound is more subtle. First we observe that, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{q_1}(\partial\Omega)} \leq |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}} \|u\|_{L^{q_2}(\partial\Omega)}$$

for  $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2$ , and

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p_2}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1}} \|u\|_{W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)}$$

for  $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1$ . Therefore, there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq p^*$  such that

$$S_{p_1, q_1} \geq CS_{p_2, q_2}, \quad (5.2)$$

for any  $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2$  and  $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1$ . Inequality (5.2) says that in order to obtain lower bounds for  $S_{p,q}$  we can enlarge  $q$  and decrease  $p$ . Therefore, in order to get uniform bounds for  $S_{p,q}$  in sets  $A$  that are far from the point  $(N, \infty)$  we can proceed as follows. From our assumptions on  $A$  we have that there exists  $s < N < r$  such that

$$A \subset \{(p, q) : p > r\} \cup \{(p, q) : 1 \leq p \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq q \leq \min\{p^*, s^*\}\} = A_1 \cup A_2,$$

see Figure 1 below.

**Figure 1.**

From our previous estimate (5.2) we get that

$$S_{p,q} \geq CS_{r,\infty} \quad (5.3)$$

for  $(p, q) \in A_1$ , and

$$S_{p,q} \geq C \min_{1 \leq p \leq s} S_{p,p^*}$$

for  $(p, q) \in A_2$ . To estimate the value of the best Sobolev trace constant along the critical curve  $(p, p^*)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq s$ , we use interpolation theory, see [2], [3]. We have, for the trace operator  $T$

$$T : W^{1,1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\partial\Omega), \quad S_{1,1} \|Tu\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)},$$

and

$$T : W^{1,s}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{s^*}(\partial\Omega), \quad S_{s,s^*} \|Tu\|_{L^{s^*}(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,s}(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore,

$$T : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega), \quad S_{p,q} \|Tu\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

with

$$\frac{1}{p} = \theta + \frac{1-\theta}{s}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \theta + \frac{1-\theta}{s^*}, \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$S_{p,q} \geq S_{1,1}^\theta S_{s,s^*}^{1-\theta},$$

for any  $0 < \theta < 1$ . We observe that if  $(p, q)$  are given by (5.4) we have  $q = p^*$  hence there exists a constant  $C$  that only depends on  $s$  such that

$$\min_{1 \leq p \leq s} S_{p,p^*} \geq \min\{S_{1,1}, S_{s,s^*}\} \geq C.$$

Hence we have a uniform lower bound

$$S_{p,q} \geq C, \quad (5.5)$$

for  $(p, q) \in A_2$ . From (5.1), (5.3) and (5.5) we conclude the desired result.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We want to thank R. Duran and P. Groisman for their valuable help.

## References

- [1] I. Babuska and J. Osborn, Eigenvalue Problems, Handbook of Numer. Anal., Vol. II (1991). North-Holland.
- [2] A. P. Calderon, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation*, Stud. Math. **24** (1964), 113-190.
- [3] C. P. Calderon and M. Milman, *Interpolation of Sobolev spaces. The real method*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 801-809.

- [4] C. Flores and M. del Pino, *Asymptotic behavior of best constants and extremals for trace embeddings in expanding domains*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26**, no.11-12 (2001), 2189-2210.
- [5] J. F. Escobar, *Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37** (1988), 687-698.
- [6] J. F. Escobar, *Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformations of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1990), 857-883.
- [7] J. F. Escobar, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature*, Ann. of Math. **136** (1992), 1-50.
- [8] J. Fernández Bonder and J.D. Rossi, *Existence results for the  $p$ -Laplacian with nonlinear boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal., Appl. **263** (2001), 195-223.
- [9] J. Fernández Bonder and J.D. Rossi, *Asymptotic behavior of the best Sobolev trace constant in expanding and contracting domains*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **1**, no.3 (2002), 359-378.
- [10] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, NY (1983).
- [11] P. Juutinen, P. Lindqvist and J. J. Manfredi, *The  $\infty$ -eigenvalue problem*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **148** (1999), 89-105.
- [12] S. Martinez and J.D. Rossi, *Isolation and simplicity for the first eigenvalue of the  $p$ -Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Abst. Appl. Anal. **7 (5)** (2002), 287-293.
- [13] P. Tolksdorf, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **51** (1984), 126-150.
- [14] J.L. Vazquez, *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Math. Optim. **12 (3)** (1984), 191-202.