

FRACTIONAL ORDER ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER AND ARIEL M. SALORT

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define the fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and prove its convergence to the classical Orlicz-Sobolev spaces when the fractional parameter $s \uparrow 1$ in the spirit of the celebrated result of Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu. We then deduce some consequences such as Γ -convergence of the modulars and convergence of solutions for some fractional versions of the Δ_g operator as the fractional parameter $s \uparrow 1$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. General properties for Orlicz functions	3
2.1. Orlicz functions	3
2.2. Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces	6
2.3. Some technical lemmas	7
2.4. Asymptotic behavior	9
3. The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for $W^{s,G}$ spaces.	11
4. The main result	13
5. The case of a sequence	18
6. Some consequences and applications	20
6.1. Poincaré's inequality	21
6.2. Γ -convergence	22
6.3. The fractional g -laplacian operator	24
Acknowledgements	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been an increasing attention on problems involving anomalous diffusion due to some new interesting application in the natural sciences. Just to cite a few examples see the articles [9, 10, 12, 16, 22, 31] for some physical

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 46E30, 45G05.

Key words and phrases. Fractional order Sobolev spaces, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, g -laplace operator.

models, [2, 17, 28] for applications in finance, [5, 7] for fluid dynamics, [14, 20, 26] for some examples in ecology and [13] for image processing.

Up to date is almost impossible to give a comprehensive list of references and we refer the interested reader, for instance, to the surveys [8, 23, 27].

In most of these applications a fundamental tool to treat these type of problems is the so-called *fractional order Sobolev spaces* that for $0 < s < 1 \leq p < \infty$ are defined as

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

The connection of these spaces with the classical Sobolev spaces in the Hilbert case (i.e. $p = 2$) is well known since the 60s using that we have at hand the Fourier transform and one can prove the alternative characterization

$$W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1 + |\xi|^{2s})\mathcal{F}[u](\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

From this characterization is then easy to show that, in a suitable sense, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s \uparrow 1$. See [30] and also [8].

The general problem was tackled by J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu in a series of papers [3, 4] (see also Maz'ya-Shaposhnikova [21] for the case $s \downarrow 0$). In particular, in [4], the authors prove that for any $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1 - s) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy = K(n, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx,$$

where $K(n, p)$ is a (explicit) constant depending only on n and p . The right hand side of the former inequality is understood as ∞ if $u \notin W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So in that sense $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $s \uparrow 1$.

Let us point out that recently there has been some generalizations of these results for the so-called *magnetic spaces*. See [29].

On the other hand, in many contexts it is useful to consider asymptotic behaviors different that power laws, or different behaviors near 0 and near ∞ . See [18].

In that contexts the mathematical tool commonly used to deal with those problems is to replace the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces for the Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. That is, given $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an Orlicz function (c.f. Section 2 for precise definitions), we consider the spaces

$$L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u|) dx < \infty\}$$

and

$$W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u, |\nabla u| \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

These spaces have been extensively studied since the 50s and is by now a well understood tool to deal with nonstandard growth problems. See [15, 18, 19].

So in this paper we propose what we believe is the *natural fractional version* of these spaces, i.e.

$$W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) : \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} < \infty \right\}.$$

Observe that in the case $G(t) = t^p$, these spaces coincide with the fractional order Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We begin this paper by reviewing some natural properties of the spaces $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that are immediately deduced from the general theory of Orlicz spaces and after that we arrive at the main point of the article, i.e. to study the limit of these spaces as $s \uparrow 1$.

We follow the approach of J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu in [4] and show that there exists an Orlicz function \tilde{G} , that is computed explicitly in terms of G and that is equivalent to G , such that $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{1,\tilde{G}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s \uparrow 1$ in the same sense that in the classical fractional Sobolev spaces case.

In some parts of the proofs we also benefit from arguments found in the article of A. Ponce [25].

We want to remark that in [25] the author found some sort of nonlocal approximations to Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, but his approximations do not define fractional versions of these spaces nor are generalization of fractional order Sobolev spaces.

Finally, we end this paper with some applications of our results to the existence and stability theory for solutions to some nonlocal problems with nonstandard growth.

2. GENERAL PROPERTIES FOR ORLICZ FUNCTIONS

In this section we will define the fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and study some basic properties.

2.1. Orlicz functions. We start by recalling the definition of the well-known Orlicz functions.

Definition 2.1. $G: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called an *Orlicz function* if it has the following properties:

- (H₁) G is continuous, convex, increasing and $G(0) = 0$.
- (H₂) G satisfies the Δ_2 condition, that is there exists $\mathbf{C} > 2$ such that

$$G(2x) \leq \mathbf{C}G(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$
- (H₃) G is super-linear at zero, that is $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(x)}{x} = 0$.

Example 2.2. Some examples of functions verifying Definition 2.1 include the most common appearances in the literature. For instance:

- (1) $G(t) = t^p$ with $p > 1$.
- (2) $G(t) = t^p(|\log t| + 1)$ with $p > 1$.
- (3) If G_1 and G_2 are Orlicz functions, then $G_1 \circ G_2$ is also an Orlicz function.
- (4) If G_1, \dots, G_m are Orlicz functions and $a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0$, then $G = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G_i$ is an Orlicz function.
- (5) If G_1, \dots, G_m are Orlicz functions, then $G = \max\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ is an Orlicz function.

See [15] for a proof of these facts and for more examples of Orlicz functions.

Remark 2.3. Without loss of generality G can be normalized such that $G(1) = 1$.

It is easy to check that Orlicz functions fulfill the following basic properties.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an Orlicz function. It follows that*

(P₁) (Regularity) *G is Lipschitz continuous.*

(P₂) (Integrability near 0 and infinity) *Given $s \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{G(x^{-s})}{x} dx \leq \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{s}, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{G(x^{1-s})}{x} dx \leq \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{1-s},$$

where $\mathfrak{g} := \sup_{x \in (0,1)} x^{-1}G(x)$.

(P₃) *G can be represented in the form*

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds,$$

where $g(s)$ is a non-decreasing right continuous function.

(P₄) (Subadditivity) *Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$G(a+b) \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2}(G(a) + G(b)),$$

where $\mathbf{C} > 0$ is the constant in the Δ_2 condition (H₂).

(P₅) *For any $0 < b < 1$ and $a > 0$, it holds $G(ab) \leq bG(a)$.*

Proof. Properties (P₁) and (P₅) are direct consequences of the convexity of G .

Now, (P₂) is immediate once one observe that $\mathfrak{g} < \infty$ by (H₃).

Property (P₃) is proved in [15, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, (P₄) follows from the convexity of G together with the Δ_2 condition:

$$G(a+b) = G(\frac{1}{2}(2a+2b)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(G(2a) + G(2b)) \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2}(G(a) + G(b)).$$

The proof is complete. □

It is shown in [15, Theorem 4.1] that the Δ_2 condition (H₂) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{G'(a)}{G(a)} \leq \frac{p}{a}, \quad \forall a > 0,$$

for some $p > 1$.

The following lemma will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be an Orlicz function. Then, for every $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$ it holds*

$$(2.2) \quad G(ab) \leq a^p G(b),$$

where $p > 1$ is given by (2.1).

Proof. Let us define the function $h(t) = t^{-p}G(t)$ and observe that (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= t^{-p}G'(t) - pt^{-p-1}G(t) \\ &\leq t^{-p-1}(tG'(t) - pG(t)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $a \geq 1$, the lemma follows from the inequality $h(ab) \leq h(b)$. □

Using Lemma 2.5, one obtains the following replacement for the triangle inequality for Orlicz functions.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a Orlicz function. Then for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that*

$$G(a + b) \leq C_\delta G(a) + (1 + \delta)^p G(b), \quad a, b \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $a, b \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$. If $b > \delta a$, from the monotonicity of G and (H_2) we get

$$G(a + b) \leq G(b(1 + \frac{1}{\delta})) \leq G(b2^\kappa) \leq \mathbf{C}^\kappa G(b),$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(\delta) \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \leq 2^\kappa$.

Now, if $b \leq \delta a$ we get from (2.2),

$$G(a + b) = G(a(1 + \delta)) \leq (1 + \delta)^p G(a).$$

The proof is finished. \square

Next, we prove a technical lemma that can be seen as the counterpart of (P_5) . It will be useful in proving some properties on Orlicz spaces.

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be an Orlicz function. Then, there exists $q > 1$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad t^{2q} G(a) \leq G(at),$$

for every $a > 0$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. Given $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $2^{-(k+1)} \leq t < 2^{-k}$. So

$$\mathbf{C}^{-(k+1)} \leq t^q < \mathbf{C}^{-k},$$

where $q = \frac{\log \mathbf{C}}{\log 2} > 1$ since the doubling constant \mathbf{C} is greater than 2. From this inequality it readily follows that

$$\mathbf{C}^{k+1} \leq t^{-\frac{k+1}{k}q} \leq t^{-2q},$$

since $0 < t < 1$.

Therefore, using (H_2) , we obtain

$$G(a) \leq \mathbf{C}^{k+1} G(a2^{-(k+1)}) = t^{-2q} G(at)$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

Remark 2.8. Observe that, from the convexity of G and since $G(0) = 0$, $G(1) = 1$, it follows that $G(a) \geq a$ for any $a \geq 1$.

Therefore we get a lower bound for G of the form

$$\min\{a, a^{2q}\} \leq G(a),$$

for any $a \geq 0$.

To end this subsection, we recall some tools from convex analysis. In fact, given G an Orlicz function, we define the complementary function G^* as

$$(2.4) \quad G^*(a) = \sup\{at - G(t) : t > 0\}.$$

From (2.4) is immediate that the following Young-type inequality holds

$$(2.5) \quad at \leq G(t) + G^*(a) \quad \text{for every } a, t \geq 0.$$

The following property will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be an Orlicz function and G^* its complementary function. Then*

$$G^*(g(t)) \leq (p-1)G(t),$$

where $p > 1$ is given by (2.1) and $g = G'$.

Proof. Let $h(a) := g(t)a - G(a)$. Then, it is immediate to see that $h(a) \leq h(t)$ for every $a > 0$. This is equivalent to say that

$$(2.6) \quad G^*(g(t)) = g(t)t - G(t).$$

Combining this identity with (2.1) we obtain the result. \square

2.2. Fractional Orlicz–Sobolev spaces. Given an Orlicz function G and a fractional parameter $0 < s \leq 1$, we consider the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \{u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable, such that } \Phi_G(u) < \infty\}, \\ W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \{u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } \Phi_{s,G}(u) < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the modulars Φ_G and $\Phi_{s,G}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_G(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx, \\ \Phi_{s,G}(u) &= \begin{cases} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} & \text{if } 0 < s < 1 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\nabla u(x)|) dx & \text{if } s = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

These spaces are endowed with the so-called Luxemburg norms that are defined as

$$\|u\|_G = \|u\|_{L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \Phi_G\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{s,G} = \|u\|_{W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|u\|_G + [u]_{s,G},$$

where

$$[u]_{s,G} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \Phi_{s,G}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For $0 < s < 1$, the term $[\cdot]_{s,G}$ will be called the (s, G) –Gagliardo seminorm.

We begin by recalling some well known properties of the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For a comprehensive study of these spaces, we refer to the monograph [1] where more general Orlicz functions are considered.

Proposition 2.10 ([1], Chapter 8). *Let G be an Orlicz function according to Definition 2.1.*

Then the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are reflexive, separable Banach spaces. Moreover, the dual space of $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with $L^{G^}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Finally, $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

It is fairly straightforward to see that the same functional properties hold for the fractional spaces $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We state the result for further references and make a sketch of the proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.11. *Let G be an Orlicz function according to Definition 2.1 and let $0 < s < 1$ be a fractional parameter.*

Then $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space. Moreover, $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. First observe that if we denote $d\mu = |x - y|^{-n} dx dy$, then $d\mu$ is a regular Borel measure on the set $\Omega \times \Omega$ and the space $L^G(d\mu)$ is also a reflexive and separable Banach space.

Next, observe that the map

$$\Psi: W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^G(d\mu), \quad u \mapsto \left(u, \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right)$$

is an isometry.

Therefore, the reflexivity and separability properties of $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are deduced from the ones of L^G .

Finally, the density result follows by the usual argument of truncation and regularization by convolution and uses Jensen's inequality. The details are analogous to that of the proof in the L^G case and are left to the reader. \square

Remark 2.12. Let G be an Orlicz function according to Definition 2.1. Observe that if we denote by $W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the (topological) dual space of $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This is a consequence of the trivial inclusion $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, given $f \in L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this inclusion is given by

$$\langle f, u \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f u \, dx,$$

for any $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.3. Some technical lemmas. In this subsection we analyze how the modular of a function is affected by regularization by convolution and by truncation. These facts will play a key role in the sequel.

As usual, we denote by $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the standard mollifier with $\text{supp}(\rho) = B_1(0)$ and $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ is the approximation of the identity. It follows that $\{\rho_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is a family of positive functions satisfying

$$\rho_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{supp}(\rho_\varepsilon) = B_\varepsilon(0), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\varepsilon \, dx = 1.$$

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the regularized functions $u_\varepsilon \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$(2.7) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = u * \rho_\varepsilon(x).$$

In this context we prove the following useful estimate on regularized functions.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be the family defined in (2.7). Then*

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u_\varepsilon) \leq \Phi_{s,G}(u)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < s < 1$.

Proof. By Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} G(|u_\varepsilon(x+h) - u_\varepsilon(x)| |h|^{-s}) &= G\left(\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x+h-y) - u(x-y)) \rho_\varepsilon(y) |h|^{-s} dy\right|\right) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x+h-y) - u(x-y)| |h|^{-s}) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last inequality over the whole \mathbb{R}^n we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|x-y|^n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_\varepsilon(x+h) - u_\varepsilon(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h-y) - u(x-y)|}{|h|^s}\right) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \right\} \frac{dx}{|h|^n} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h-y) - u(x-y)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} \right\} \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{1}{|h|^n} dx \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the invariance of the norm with respect to translations and the $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho dx = 1$. Finally, since

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_\varepsilon(x+h) - u_\varepsilon(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx dh}{|h|^n}$$

the lemma follows just by integrating (2.8) respect to h . \square

We also need estimates on modulars of truncated functions. We use the following notations: Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\eta = 1$ in $B_1(0)$, $\text{supp}(\eta) = B_2(0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \leq 2$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\eta_k(x) = \eta(\frac{x}{k})$. Observe that $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$0 \leq \eta_k \leq 1, \quad \eta_k = 1 \text{ in } B_k(0), \quad \text{supp}(\eta_k) = B_{2k}(0), \quad |\nabla \eta_k| \leq \frac{2}{k}.$$

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the truncated functions u_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$(2.9) \quad u_k = \eta_k u.$$

In the next lemma we analyze the behavior of the modular of truncated functions.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the functions defined in (2.9). Then*

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) \leq \Phi_{s,G}(u) + \frac{\mathbf{C}^2}{2} n \omega_n \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{k(1-s)} \right) \Phi_G(u),$$

where \mathbf{C} is the doubling constant defined in (H₂) and ω_n is the measure of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. From (P₄) and since $\eta_k \leq 1$ we have

$$G\left(\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2} G\left(\frac{|u(x)| |\eta_k(x) - \eta_k(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} &\leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2} \Phi_{s,G}(u) + \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|\eta_k(x) - \eta_k(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

The integral above can be split as follows.

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|<1} \right) G\left(\frac{|u(x)|\eta_k(x) - \eta_k(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} := I_1 + I_2.$$

The monotonicity of G and (P_5) allow us to bound I_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} G(2|u(x)|) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &= n\omega_n \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(2|u(x)|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We deal now with I_2 . Observe that, since $|\nabla\eta_k| \leq \frac{2}{k}$ and (P_5) holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y|<1} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|\eta_k(x) - \eta_k(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|x - y|^n} &\leq \int_{|x-y|\leq 1} G\left(\frac{2}{k} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x - y|^{s-1}}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &\leq \frac{n\omega_n \mathbf{C}}{k} \int_0^1 G(|u(x)|) \frac{dr}{r^s} \\ &= \frac{n\omega_n \mathbf{C}}{k(1-s)} G(|u(x)|), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (H_2) in the last inequality.

From these estimates the conclusion of the lemma follows. \square

2.4. Asymptotic behavior. When analyzing the asymptotic behavior of $[\cdot]_{s,G}$ when $s \uparrow 1$ one needs to understand the asymptotic behavior of some integral quantities involving the Orlicz function G . This is the content of this subsection.

Let us begin with the following observation.

Remark 2.15. Given $a > 0$, from the monotonicity of G and (P_2) it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|z_n|r^{1-s}) dS_z \frac{dr}{r} &\leq n\omega_n \int_0^1 \frac{G(ar^{1-s})}{r} dr \\ &\leq n\omega_n G(a) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r^s} dr = \frac{n\omega_n G(a)}{1-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Then, we may define the bounded functions $\tilde{G}^\pm: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{G}^+(a) := \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|z_n|r^{1-s}) dS_z \frac{dr}{r},$$

and $\tilde{G}^-(a)$ is defined analogously by changing \limsup by \liminf .

When both $\tilde{G}^\pm(a)$ coincide, we define

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{G}(a) := \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|z_n|r^{1-s}) dS_z \frac{dr}{r}.$$

The following proposition shows that \tilde{G}^\pm are Orlicz functions and that they are both equivalent to G . Hence, the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^{\tilde{G}^\pm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are, in fact, equivalents.

Proposition 2.16. *The functions \tilde{G}^\pm defined in (2.11) are Orlicz functions. Moreover, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$c_1 G(a) \leq \tilde{G}^\pm(a) \leq c_2 G(a) \quad \text{for every } a > 0.$$

Proof. We prove the proposition for \tilde{G}^+ . The proof for \tilde{G}^- is completely analogous.

First let us see that \tilde{G}^+ is an Orlicz function. Hypotheses (H_1) and (H_2) are trivial consequences of the fact that G verifies those hypotheses.

Finally, (H_3) is an immediate consequence of the facts that G verifies (H_3) and of (2.10).

It remains to show that \tilde{G}^+ is equivalent to G .

Observe that (2.10) gives $\tilde{G}^+(a) \leq n\omega_n G(a)$.

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.7, there exists $q > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|z_n|r^{1-s}) dS_z \frac{dr}{r} &\geq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (|z_n|r^{1-s})^{2q} G(a) dS_z \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= G(a) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |z_n|^{2q} dS_z \right) \left(\int_0^1 r^{2q(1-s)-1} dr \right) \\ &= \frac{c(n, q)}{1-s} G(a). \end{aligned}$$

The result is complete. \square

We end this subsection by computing explicitly \tilde{G} for some of the Orlicz functions given in Example 2.2. We will denote by $K_{n,p} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |w_n|^p dS_w$.

Example 2.17. (1) Given $p > 1$, we consider $G(t) = t^p$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since

$$\int_{|w|=1} G(a|w_n|r^{1-s}) dS_w = a^p r^{(1-s)p} K_{n,p}$$

we arrive at the expression

$$\tilde{G}(a) = \frac{a^p}{p} K_{n,p}.$$

(2) Given $p > 1$ we consider $G(t) = t^p |\log t|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In this case we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|w_n|r^{1-s}) dS_w = a^p r^{(1-s)p} (K_{n,p} |\log a| + K_{\log, n, p} - (1-s)K_{n,p} \log r).$$

where $K_{\log, n, p}$ is a positive constant given by

$$K_{\log, n, p} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |w_n|^p |\log |w_n|| dS_w.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\tilde{G}(a) = \frac{a^p}{p} \left(K_{n,p} |\log a| + K_{\log,n,p} + \frac{K_{n,p}}{p} \right).$$

- (3) If G_1, \dots, G_m are Orlicz functions and $c_1, \dots, c_m \geq 0$, then if we denote $G = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k G_k$ we easily obtain

$$\tilde{G}^\pm = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \tilde{G}_k^\pm.$$

- (4) If $1 < q < p$ and $G(t) = \max\{t^p, t^q\}$, then, after some computations we arrive at

$$\tilde{G}(a) = \begin{cases} K_{n,q} \frac{a^q}{q} & \text{if } a \leq 1 \\ \frac{a^q}{q} \int_{|w_n| \leq \frac{1}{a}} |w_n|^q dS_w + \frac{a^p}{p} \int_{|w_n| > \frac{1}{a}} |w_n|^p dS_w \\ + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \int_{|w_n| > \frac{1}{a}} dS_w & \text{if } a > 1. \end{cases}$$

3. THE RELICH-KONDRACHOV THEOREM FOR $W^{s,G}$ SPACES.

The aim of this section is to prove the compactness of the immersion $W^{s,G}$ into L^G .

The spirit of the proof lies in proving an equi-continuity estimate in order to apply a variant of the well-known Fréchet-Kolmogorov Compactness Theorem.

The main result in this section reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and G an Orlicz function. Then for every $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a bounded sequence, i.e., $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\Phi_{s,G}(u_n) + \Phi_G(u_n)) < \infty$, there exists $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^G_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The following technical lemma provides the equi-continuity of modulars.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and G be an Orlicz function. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi_G(\tau_h u - u) \leq C |h|^s \Phi_{s,G}(u),$$

for every $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $0 < |h| < \frac{1}{2}$, where $\tau_h u(x) = u(x+h)$.

Proof. The Δ_2 condition (H_2) gives that

$$G(|u(x+h) - u(x)|) \leq C [G(|u(x+h) - u(y)|) + G(|u(y) - u(x)|)]$$

for all $y \in B_{|h|}(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_G(\tau_h u - u) &= \frac{1}{|B_{|h|}(x)|} \int_{B_{|h|}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x+h) - u(x)|) dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|h|^n \omega_n} \int_{B_{|h|}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x+h) - u(y)|) dx dy \\
(3.1) \quad &+ \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|h|^n \omega_n} \int_{B_{|h|}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(y) - u(x)|) dx dy \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|h|^n \omega_n} (I_1 + I_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in B_{|h|}(x)$ we have that

$$|x - y| \leq |h|, \quad |x + h - y| \leq |x - y| + |h| \leq 2|h|.$$

Then, the integral I_1 can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{B_{|h|}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(y)|}{|x+h-y|^s} |x+h-y|^s\right) |x+h-y|^n \frac{dx dy}{|x+h-y|^n} \\
&\leq \int_{B_{|h|}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(y)|}{|x+h-y|^s} 2^s |h|^s\right) 2^n |h|^n \frac{dx dy}{|x+h-y|^n} \\
&\leq 2^{n+s} |h|^{n+s} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n} \\
&= \mathbf{C} |h|^{n+s} \Phi_{s,G}(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the monotonicity of G and property (P_5) . Analogously,

$$I_2 \leq C |h|^{n+s} \Phi_{s,G}(u).$$

Finally, inserting the two upper bounds found above in (3.1) we obtain that

$$\Phi_G(\tau_h u - u) \leq C |h|^s \Phi_{s,G}(u)$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First observe that if $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then is also bounded in $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us denote by $M = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) + \Phi_G(u_k)) < \infty$. Then Lemma 3.2 gives that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_G(\tau_h u_k - u_k) \leq CM |h|^s.$$

Now, applying a variant of the Fréchet-Kolmogorov Theorem (see [15, Theorem 11.5]) we are able to claim the existence of a function $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a subsequence, that we still denote by $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^G_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, up to a subsequence, $u_k \rightarrow u$ a.e. \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$0 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) = G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore, Fatou's Lemma together with the lower semicontinuity of G gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{s,G}(u) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{s,G}(u_k) \leq M < \infty\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

4. THE MAIN RESULT

This section is aimed at proving the natural extension to fractional Orlicz spaces of a celebrated theorem of Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu [4], namely:

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be an Orlicz function such that the limit in (2.12) exists. Then, given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 < s < 1$ it holds that*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1 - s) \Phi_{s,G}(u) = \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(\nabla u),$$

where \tilde{G} is defined in (2.12).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 will be a consequence of the following couple of lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for $0 < s < 1$ it holds that*

$$\Phi_{s,G}(u) \leq \frac{n\omega_n}{1-s} \Phi_G(|\nabla u|) + \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s} \Phi_G(u)$$

where \mathbf{C} is the doubling constant defined in (H₂).

Proof. Let us first assume that $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We split the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &= \left(\int_{B_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \int_{B_1^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right) G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx dh}{|h|^n} \\ &:= I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let us bound I_1 . Given $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, observe that for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we can write

$$u(x+h) - u(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} u(x+th) dt = \int_0^1 \nabla u(x+th) \cdot h dt.$$

Dividing by $|h|^s$ and using the monotonicity and convexity of G we get

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned}G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) &\leq G\left(\int_0^1 |\nabla u(x+th)| |h|^{1-s} dt\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(x+th)| |h|^{1-s}) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Expression (4.2) together with (P₅) allow us to bound I_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq \int_{B_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(x+th)||h|^{1-s}) dt dx \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \\
&\leq \int_{B_1} |h|^{1-s-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(x+th)|) dt dx dh \\
&\leq \int_{B_1} |h|^{1-s-n} dh \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\nabla u(x)|) dx \\
&= n\omega_n \int_0^1 r^{-s} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\nabla u(x)|) dx \\
&= \frac{n\omega_n}{1-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\nabla u(x)|) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral I_2 can be bounded using (P₅) (since $|h|^{-s} < 1$ when $h \in B_1^c$). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq \int_{B_1^c} h^{-s-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x+h) - u(x)|) dx dh \\
&\leq \mathbf{C} \int_{B_1^c} h^{-s-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x+h)|) + G(|u(x)|) dx dh \\
&= 2\mathbf{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx n\omega_n \int_1^\infty r^{-s-1} dr \\
&= \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the property (P₄).

In order to prove the Lemma for any $u \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we take a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_k \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Observe that this implies that

$$G\left(\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \rightarrow G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.10 and Fatou's Lemma, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{s,G}(u) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{s,G}(u_k) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{n\omega_n}{1-s} \Phi_G(|\nabla u_k|) + \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s} \Phi_G(u_k) \right] \\
&= \frac{n\omega_n}{1-s} \Phi_G(|\nabla u|) + \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s} \Phi_G(u).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete. \square

The following lemma is key in the proof of the main result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be an Orlicz function such that the limit in (2.12) exists. Let $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that*

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^n} = \tilde{G}(|\nabla u(x)|)$$

where \tilde{G} is defined in (2.12).

Proof. For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we split the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} &= \int_{|x-y|<1} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &\quad + \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \neq y$, property (P_1) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) - G\left(\left|\nabla u(x) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^s}\right|\right) \right| &\leq L \frac{|u(x) - u(y) - \nabla u(x) \cdot (x - y)|}{|x - y|^s} \\ &\leq C|x - y|^{2-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where L is the Lipschitz constant of G on the interval $[0, \|\nabla u\|_\infty]$ and C depends on the C^2 -norm of u .

Now, since

$$\int_{|x-y|<1} |x - y|^{2-s-n} dy = \frac{n\omega_n}{2-s},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{|x-y|<1} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{|x-y|<1} G\left(\left|\nabla u(x) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^s}\right|\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y|<1} G\left(\left|\nabla u(x) \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^s}\right|\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(|\nabla u(x) \cdot w| r^{1-s}) dS_w \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(|\nabla u(x)| |w_n| r^{1-s}) dS_w \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have performed a rotation such that $\nabla u(x) = |\nabla u(x)|e_n$.

Therefore

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)I_1 = \tilde{G}(|\nabla u(x)|).$$

Let us deal with I_2 . Since G is increasing and (P_5) holds, I_2 is bounded. In fact,

$$I_2 \leq \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} \frac{G(2\|u\|_\infty)}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dy = G(2\|u\|_\infty)n\omega_n \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1+s}} dr = \frac{n\omega_n}{s} G(2\|u\|_\infty),$$

from where we can derive that

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)I_2 = 0.$$

Finally, from (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain (4.3). \square

At this point we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Given $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp}(u) \subset B_R(0)$, in view of Theorem 5.3 it only remains to show the existence of an integrable majorant for $(1-s)F_s$, where F_s is given by

$$F_s(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $R > 1$.

First, we analyze the behavior of $F_s(x)$ for small values of x . When $|x| < 2R$ we can write

$$(4.6) \quad |F_s(x)| = \left(\int_{B_1(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1(x)} \right) G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n} := I_1 + I_2.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 we obtain that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{|h| < 1} |h|^{1-s-n} \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(x + th)|) dt dh \\ &\leq n\omega_n G(\|\nabla u\|_\infty) \int_0^1 r^{-s} dr \\ &= G(\|\nabla u\|_\infty) \frac{n\omega_n}{1-s}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{|h| \geq 1} |h|^{-s-n} (G(|u(x+h)|) + |u(x)|) dh \\ &\leq n\omega_n \int_1^\infty r^{-s-1} G(2\|u\|_\infty) dr \\ &= \frac{n\omega_n}{s} G(2\|u\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

When $|x| \geq 2R$ the function u vanishes and we have that

$$F_s(x) = \int_{B_R(0)} G\left(\frac{|u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}.$$

Since $|x - y| \geq |x| - R \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|$, from the monotonicity of G , Δ_2 condition and (P₅) (since $|x| \geq 2$) we get

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |F_s(x)| &\leq \frac{2^n}{|x|^n} \int_{B_R(0)} G\left(\frac{2^s |u(y)|}{|x|^s}\right) dy \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|x|^n} \int_{B_R(0)} G\left(\frac{|u(y)|}{|x|^s}\right) dy \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|x|^{n+s}} \int_{B_R(0)} G(|u(y)|) dy \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{|x|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} G(|u(y)|) dy, \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

From (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) we obtain that

$$(1-s)|F_s(x)| \leq C \left(\chi_{B_R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{|x|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{B_R(0)^c}(x) \right) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $C > 0$ depends on n, p and u but it is independent of s .

Then, from Theorem 5.3 and the Dominated Convergence Theorem the result follows for any $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us extend the result for any $u \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to Proposition 2.10, let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(\nabla u)| &\leq (1-s)|\Phi_{s,G}(u) - \Phi_{s,G}(u_k)| \\ &\quad + |(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u_k|)| \\ &\quad + |\Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u_k|) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u|)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. From Proposition 2.10, there exists k_0 such that for $k \geq k_0$,

$$|\Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u_k|) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u|)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and from Lemma 2.6 one can take $\delta > 0$ (to be fixed) such that

$$(4.11) \quad (1-s)|\Phi_{s,G}(u) - \Phi_{s,G}(u_k)| \leq (1-s)\delta\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) + (1-s)C_\delta\Phi_{s,G}(u - u_k).$$

Observe that from Lemma 4.2 we have that $(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) \leq K$ for some positive constant K . Moreover, again from Lemma 4.2, there is some k_1 such that for $k \geq k_1$ it holds that $(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u - u_k) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4C_\delta}$. Consequently, it follows that (4.11) can be bounded as

$$(1-s)|\Phi_{s,G}(u) - \Phi_{s,G}(u_k)| \leq \delta K + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for $k \geq k_1$. Hence, choosing $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{4K}$ we find that (4.10) is upper bounded as

$$|(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(\nabla u)| \leq \varepsilon + |(1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u_k) - \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u_k|)|$$

for all $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$. Finally, the desired result follows by fixing a value of $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$ and taking limit as $s \uparrow 1$.

To finish the proof, let us see that if $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u) < \infty,$$

then $u \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$, according to Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14, if we define the approximating family

$$u_{k,\varepsilon} = \rho_\varepsilon * (u\eta_k) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

it satisfies

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u_{k,\varepsilon}) < C,$$

with C independent on $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The first part of this theorem gives that

$$\Phi_{\tilde{G}}(\nabla u_{k,\varepsilon}) = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u_{k,\varepsilon}) < C,$$

then, from Proposition 2.16, $\{u_{k,\varepsilon}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently, from Proposition 2.10, there exists a sequence $u_j = u_{k_j, \varepsilon_j}$ with $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon_j \downarrow 0$ and $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_j \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ weakly in $W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, since $u_{k,\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we can conclude that $\tilde{u} = u \in W^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as required. \square

5. THE CASE OF A SEQUENCE

Our second main result in this paper is the following limit result for sequences of functions.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be an Orlicz function. Let $0 \leq s_k \uparrow 1$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - s_k) \Phi_{s_k, G}(u_k) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_G(u_k) < \infty.$$

Then there exists $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $u_{k_j} \rightarrow u$ in $L^G_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if G is such that the limit in (2.12) exists, then $u \in W^{1, G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the following estimate holds

$$\Phi_{\tilde{G}}(\nabla u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_k) \Phi_{s_k, G}(u_k).$$

The proof of the above result will be a direct consequence of the following useful estimate:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1$ and $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$(1 - s_1) \Phi_{s_1, G}(u) \leq 2^{1-s_1} (1 - s_2) \Phi_{s_2, G}(u) + \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n(1 - s_1)}{s_1} \Phi_G(u).$$

The key point in proving Theorem 5.2 is the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $g, h : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ measurable functions. Suppose that for some constant $c > 0$ it holds that $g(t) \leq cg(\frac{t}{2})$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and that h is decreasing. Then, given $r > -1$,*

$$\int_0^1 t^r g(t) h(t) dt \geq \frac{r+1}{2^{r+1}} \int_0^1 t^r g(t) dt \int_0^1 t^r h(t) dt.$$

We omit the proof Lemma 5.3 since it follows with a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 2 in [4], which is stated with $c = 1$.

Now we proceed with the proof of the estimate.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define for $t > 0$ and $0 < s < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_s(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+tw) - u(x)|}{t^s}\right) dx dS_w \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \int_{|h|=t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) dx dS_h. \end{aligned}$$

From the Δ_2 property and (P₅) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G\left(\frac{|u(x+2tw) - u(x)|}{2^s t^s}\right) &= G\left(\frac{|u(x+2tw) - u(x+tw)| + |u(x+tw) - u(x)|}{2^s t^s}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{2^s} \left\{ G\left(\frac{|u(x+2tw) - u(x+tw)|}{t^s}\right) + G\left(\frac{|u(x+tw) - u(x)|}{t^s}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

integrating over \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and using the invariance of the integral we get that

$$F_s(2t) \leq 2^{1-s} \mathbf{C} F_s(t).$$

Now, if we consider the function $g_s(t) = \frac{F_s(t)}{t^{1-s}}$ we obtain that

$$g_s(2t) = \frac{F_s(2t)}{2^{1-s}t^{1-s}} \leq \mathbf{C} \frac{F_s(t)}{t^{1-s}} = \mathbf{C}g_s(t).$$

Then, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & \int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h)-u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} dh \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{|h|=t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h)-u(x)|}{|h|^s}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} dS_h dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{F_s(t)}{t} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{g_s(t)}{t^s} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now $0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1$. Therefore, using (P_5) , for any $0 < t < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{s_1}(t) &= \frac{F_{s_1}(t)}{t^{1-s_1}} = \frac{1}{t^{1-s_1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+tw)-u(x)|}{t^{s_1}}\right) dx dS_w \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-s_1}} \frac{1}{t^{s_1-s_2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+tw)-u(x)|}{t^{s_2}}\right) dx dS_w \\ &= \frac{F_{s_2}(t)}{t^{1-s_2}} = g_{s_2}(t) \end{aligned}$$

from where we obtain that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{g_{s_2}(t)}{t^{s_2}} dt \geq \int_0^1 \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_2}} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^{s_2-s_1}} \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_1}} dt.$$

Now, from Lemma 5.3 with $r = -s_1$ and $h(t) = t^{-(s_2-s_1)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^{s_2-s_1}} \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_1}} dt &\geq \frac{1-s_1}{2^{1-s_1}} \int_0^1 t^{-s_1} g_{s_1}(t) dt \int_0^1 t^{-s_2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{1-s_1}} \frac{1-s_1}{1-s_2} \int_0^1 \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_1}} dt \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad & \int_0^1 \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_1}} dt \leq 2^{1-s_1} \frac{1-s_2}{1-s_1} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^{s_2-s_1}} \frac{g_{s_1}(t)}{t^{s_1}} dt \\ &\leq 2^{1-s_1} \frac{1-s_2}{1-s_1} \int_0^1 \frac{g_{s_2}(t)}{t^{s_2}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Mixing up (5.1) and (5.2) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1-s_1}{2^{1-s_1}} \int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h)-u(x)|}{|h|^{s_1}}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} dS_h \\ &\leq (1-s_2) \int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h)-u(x)|}{|h|^{s_2}}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} dS_h. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|h| \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{s_1}}\right) \frac{dx}{|h|^n} dS_h \\
& \leq \mathbf{C} \int_{|h| \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (G(|u(x+h)|) + G(|u(x)|)) \frac{dx}{|h|^{s_1+n}} dS_h \\
& \leq 2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n \Phi_G(u) \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{s_1+1}} dr \\
& = \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n}{s_1} \Phi_G(u)
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from the last two inequalities. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $0 < s_k \uparrow 1$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{s_k, G}(u_k) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_G(u_k) := M < \infty.$$

Take $0 < t < 1$ be fixed and, from Theorem 5.2, we obtain that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{t, G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded. Hence, by Theorem 3.1 there exists a subsequence (still denoted by u_k) and a function $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^G_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_k \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .

From Fatou's Lemma we get

$$\Phi_{t, G}(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{t, G}(u_k),$$

and from Theorem 5.2 we obtain that

$$(1-t)\Phi_{t, G}(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{1-t}(1-s_k)\Phi_{s_k, G}(u_k) + \frac{2\mathbf{C}n\omega_n(1-t)}{t} M.$$

Finally, taking limit as $t \uparrow 1$ and invoking Theorem 4.1, the result follows. \square

6. SOME CONSEQUENCES AND APPLICATIONS

In this final section, we show some immediate consequences of our main theorems, Theorems 4.1 and 5.1.

Throughout this section G will be an Orlicz function such that the limit in (2.12) exists.

When working on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (bounded or not) it is useful to introduce the following notations.

The space $W_0^{1, G}(\Omega)$ denotes, as usual, the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{1, G}$ -norm.

In the fractional setting, we use the following definitions

$$W_0^{s, G}(\Omega) := \{u \in W^{s, G}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\}.$$

Alternatively, one can consider

$$\widetilde{W}^{s, G}(\Omega) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{s, G}}.$$

In the classical case, i.e. when $G(t) = t^p$, these spaces $W_0^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{W}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ are known to coincide when $s < \frac{1}{p}$ or when $0 < s < 1$ and Ω has Lipschitz boundary. See [8].

In this paper, we shall not investigate the cases where these spaces $W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{W}^{s,G}(\Omega)$ coincide and use the space $W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ to illustrate our applications.

In what follows, every function $u \in L^G(\Omega)$ it will be assumed to be extended by 0 to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$.

Finally, observe that the inclusions

$$W_0^{s,G}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

imply

$$L^{G^*}(\Omega) \subset L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{-s,G^*}(\Omega),$$

where $W^{-s,G^*}(\Omega)$ denotes the (topological) dual space of $W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$.

6.1. Poincaré's inequality. A first consequence that we get is Poincaré's inequality.

Let us first recall Poincaré's inequality for functions in $W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ whose proof can be found, for instance, in [11, Lemma 2.4].

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} G(|u|) dx \leq A \int_{\Omega} G(|\nabla u|) dx$$

for every $u \in W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be the optimal constant in Poincaré's inequality (6.1). Then, given $\delta > 0$ there exists $0 < s_0 < 1$ such that*

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} G(|u|) dx \leq \left(\frac{A}{c_1} + \delta \right) (1-s) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n},$$

for every $s_0 \leq s < 1$ and every $u \in L^G(\Omega)$. The constant c_1 is the one given in Proposition 2.16.

Proof. The argument in this proof is taken from [24].

The proof follows by contradiction. Assume the result is false, therefore there exists a constant $C > \frac{A}{c_1}$, a sequence $s_j \uparrow 1$ and $u_j \in W_0^{s_j,G}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\Phi_G(u_j) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1-s_j)\Phi_{s_j,G}(u_j) \leq \frac{1}{C}.$$

Now, from Theorem 5.1, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have the existence of a function $u \in W^{1,\tilde{G}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\Phi_G(u - u_j) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_j \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, $u \in W_0^{1,\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$, $\Phi_G(u) = 1$ and, again by Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.16,

$$c_1 \Phi_G(|\nabla u|) \leq \Phi_{\tilde{G}}(|\nabla u|) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_j)\Phi_{s_j,G}(u_j) \leq \frac{1}{C}.$$

This last inequality contradicts the optimality of the constant A in (6.1). \square

We immediately obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on n , G and Ω such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} G(|u|) dx \leq C(1-s) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n},$$

for every $0 < s < 1$ and $u \in L^G(\Omega)$.

Proof. To conclude the Corollary, we only need to prove Poincaré's inequality for any fixed $0 < s < 1$. To this end, let $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$. Thus, from Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} &\geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{|x - y| \geq d+1} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} G(|u(x)|) \left(\int_{|x - y| \geq d+1} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+2qs}} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{n\omega_n}{2qs(d+1)^{2qs}} \int_{\Omega} G(|u(x)|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with Theorem 6.1 the conclusion of the Corollary follows. \square

6.2. Γ -convergence. Let us recall the definition of Γ -convergence.

Definition 6.3. Let X be a metric space and $F, F_j: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that F_j Γ -converges to F if for every $u \in X$ the following conditions are valid.

(i) (lim inf inequality) For every sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X ,

$$F(u) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_j(u_j).$$

(ii) (lim sup inequality). For every $u \in X$, there is a sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ converging to u such that

$$F(u) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_j(u_j).$$

The functional F is called the Γ -limit of the sequence $\{F_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and it is denoted by $F_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$ and

$$F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_j.$$

Remark 6.4. In the case where the functions are indexed by a continuous parameter, $\{F_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$, we say that

$$F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F_\varepsilon,$$

if and only if for every sequence $\varepsilon_j \downarrow 0$, it follows that $F_{\varepsilon_j} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$.

Now, let us fix $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, and an Orlicz function G .

For any $0 < s < 1$, we define the functional $\mathcal{J}_s: L^G(\Omega) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_s(u) = \begin{cases} (1-s)\Phi_{s,G}(u) & \text{if } u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the limit functional $\mathcal{J}: L^G(\Omega) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\bar{G}}(|\nabla u|) & \text{if } u \in W_0^{1,\bar{G}}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.5. *With the previous notation we have that*

$$\mathcal{J} = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{s \uparrow 1} \mathcal{J}_s.$$

The proof of Theorem 6.5 is a direct consequence of our previous results. Indeed, the limsup inequality follows just by choosing the constant sequence, whilst the liminf inequality follows from Theorem 5.1.

The main feature of the Γ -convergence is that it implies the convergence of minima.

Theorem 6.6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $F, F_j: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that F_j Γ -converges to F . Assume that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $u_j \in X$ such that $F_j(u_j) = \inf_X F_j$ and suppose that the sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is precompact.*

Then every accumulation point of $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimum of F and

$$\inf_X F = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf_X F_j.$$

The proof of Theorem 6.6 is elementary. For a comprehensive study of Gamma convergence and its properties, see [6].

Consider now $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega)$ and define the functionals $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s$ as

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_s(u) := \mathcal{J}_s(u) - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \quad \text{y} \quad \mathcal{F}(u) := \mathcal{J}(u) - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx.$$

Since $u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx$ is continuous in $L^G(\Omega)$, Theorem 6.5 implies that $\mathcal{F}_s \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{F}$. See [6, Proposition 6.21].

Let us apply Theorem 6.6 to the family \mathcal{F}_s . With this aim, let us verify that, given $0 < s_j \uparrow 1$, there exists a sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in L^G(\Omega)$ of minimizers of \mathcal{F}_{s_j} which is precompact in $L^G(\Omega)$.

The proof of the next lemma is standard. We state it for future references and leave the proof to the reader.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $0 < s < 1$, G be a uniformly convex Orlicz function and $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{F}_s(u) = \inf_{v \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_s(v).$$

Now, a simple consequence of Theorem 5.1 gives the compactness of the sequence of minima. Again, the details of the proof are left to the readers.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $0 < s_j \uparrow 1$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded subset. Given $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_j \in L^G(\Omega)$ be the minimum of \mathcal{F}_{s_j} . Then $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^G(\Omega)$ is precompact.*

As a corollary of Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8 and Theorem 6.6 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.9. *Let G be a uniformly convex Orlicz function, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded and let $u_s \in L^G(\Omega)$ be the minimum of \mathcal{F}_s . Then there exists $u \in L^G(\Omega)$ such that*

$$u = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} u_s \text{ in } L^G(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \min_{v \in L^G(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}(v).$$

6.3. The fractional g -laplacian operator. Let G be an Orlicz function and $0 < s < 1$ be a fractional parameter. We define the fractional g -laplacian operator as

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\Delta_g)^s u &:= \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G' \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \end{aligned}$$

where p.v. stands for *in principal value* and $g = G'$ which is well defined in view of (H₃).

Let us see that this operator is well defined between $W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual space $W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For that purpose, let us define the approximating operators as

$$(-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u(x) := \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n+s}}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.10. *Under the above notations and assumptions, there holds that if $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $(-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u \in L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(|(-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u(x)|) &\leq G^* \left(\int_{|h| \geq \varepsilon} g \left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s} \right) \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+s}} \right) \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon \int_{|h| \geq \varepsilon} G^* \left(g \left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s} \right) \right) \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+s}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_\varepsilon(p-1)}{\varepsilon^s} \int_{|h| \geq \varepsilon} G \left(\frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^s} \right) \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Jensen's inequality, the Δ_2 condition (H₂) and Lemma 2.9.

Integrating over \mathbb{R}^n we obtain

$$\Phi_{G^*}((-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u) \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon(p-1)}{\varepsilon^s} \Phi_{s,G}(u).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.11. Although it will not be used here, is not difficult to see that the constant C_ε in the former inequality is bounded independently of ε . So we get the estimate

$$\Phi_{G^*}((-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^s} \Phi_{s,G}(u).$$

It remains to see that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u$ exists in $W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 6.12. *Given $u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the limit $(-\Delta_g)^s u := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u$ exists in $W^{-s,G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover the following representation formula holds*

$$\langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^s} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n},$$

for any $v \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u, v \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} v(x) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} v(y) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle (-\Delta_g)_\varepsilon^s u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n}.$$

In order to pass to the limit we need to check that the integrand is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. But, by (2.5) and Lemma 2.9 it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} &\leq G^* \left(g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \right) + G \left(\frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \\ &\leq (p-1)G \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) + G \left(\frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence we conclude

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^n} \leq (p-1)\Phi_{s,G}(u) + \Phi_{s,G}(v).$$

The result follows. \square

Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega)$, using Theorem 6.12 we can provide for a notion of weak solution for the following Dirichlet type equation

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta_g)^s u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Definition 6.13. We say that $u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (6.5) if

$$(6.6) \quad \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv dx$$

for all $v \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$.

Remark 6.14. Observe that since $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$, it follows that a weak solution of (6.5) is a solution in the sense of distributions.

Now, as expected, we link every solution to (6.5) with minimum points of the associated functional (6.3).

Theorem 6.15. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded and let $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (6.5) if and only if

$$\mathcal{F}_s(u) = \inf_{v \in L^G(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_s(v),$$

where the functional $\mathcal{F}_s: L^G(\Omega) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by (6.3).

Proof. Let $u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of (6.5) and $v \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ be an arbitrary function. Then,

$$\langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx.$$

Rearranging the terms, applying (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, u \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx &= \langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, v \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G^* \left(g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} + \mathcal{F}_s(v). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\langle (-\Delta_g)^s u, u \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} g \left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n}.$$

So, using (2.6) we arrive at $\mathcal{F}_s(u) \leq \mathcal{F}_s(v)$.

Now, suppose that $u \in L^G(\Omega)$ is a minimum of \mathcal{F}_s . In particular, $\mathcal{F}_s(u) < \infty$ and then $u \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$.

Fixed $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we define $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\phi(t) = \mathcal{F}_s(u + tv).$$

Hence $\phi(0) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(t)$, from where $\phi'(0) = 0$. It is straightforward to see that that condition implies that u satisfies (6.6). \square

Combining Theorem 6.15 and Lemma 6.7 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.16. *Let $0 < s < 1$, G be a uniformly convex Orlicz function and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded domain. Then, given $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique weak solution to (6.5).*

Combining this theorem with our Γ -convergence result, Theorem 6.9, we arrive at the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 6.17. *Let $0 < s < 1$, G be a uniformly convex Orlicz function and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded domain. Let $u_s \in W_0^{s,G}(\Omega)$ be the weak solution to (6.5). Then, there exists a function $u \in W_0^{1,\tilde{G}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_s \rightarrow u$ in $L^G(\Omega)$ and u is the weak solution to*

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\tilde{g}} u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_{\tilde{g}} u = \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{g}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right)$ and $\tilde{g} = \tilde{G}'$.

Proof. At this point the only thing that needs to be justified is that the whole sequence is convergent. But this fact follows from the uniqueness of solutions to (6.7). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is partially supported by grants UBACyT 20020130100283BA, CONICET PIP 11220150100032CO and ANPCyT PICT 2012-0153.

J. Fernández Bonder and A.M. Salort are members of CONICET

REFERENCES

1. Robert A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65. MR 0450957
2. Vedat Akgiray and G. Geoffrey Booth, *The stable-law model of stock returns*, Journal of Business & Economic Statistics **6** (1988), no. 1, 51–57.
3. Jean Bourgain, Haï m Brezis, and Petru Mironescu, *Limiting embedding theorems for $W^{s,p}$ when $s \uparrow 1$ and applications*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 77–101, Dedicated to the memory of Thomas H. Wolff. MR 1945278
4. Jean Bourgain, Haim Brezis, and Petru Mironescu, *Another look at sobolev spaces*, in Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations, 2001, pp. 439–455.
5. Peter Constantin, *Euler equations, Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence*, Mathematical foundation of turbulent viscous flows, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1871, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 1–43. MR 2196360
6. Gianni Dal Maso, *An introduction to Γ -convergence*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 8, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993. MR 1201152
7. Anne-Laure Dalibard and David Gérard-Varet, *On shape optimization problems involving the fractional Laplacian*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **19** (2013), no. 4, 976–1013. MR 3182677
8. Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573. MR 2944369
9. Qiang Du, Max Gunzburger, R. B. Lehoucq, and Kun Zhou, *Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints*, SIAM Rev. **54** (2012), no. 4, 667–696. MR 3023366
10. A. Cemal Eringen, *Nonlocal continuum field theories*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1918950
11. M. Fuchs and V. Osmolovski, *Variational integrals on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **17** (1998), no. 2, 393–415. MR 1632563
12. Giambattista Giacomin and Joel L. Lebowitz, *Phase segregation dynamics in particle systems with long range interactions. I. Macroscopic limits*, J. Statist. Phys. **87** (1997), no. 1-2, 37–61. MR 1453735
13. Guy Gilboa and Stanley Osher, *Nonlocal operators with applications to image processing*, Multiscale Model. Simul. **7** (2008), no. 3, 1005–1028. MR 2480109
14. Nicolas et al. Humphries, *Environmental context explains lévy and brownian movement patterns of marine predators*, Nature **465** (2010), 1066–1069.
15. M. A. Krasnosel’skiĭ and Ja. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961. MR 0126722
16. Nikolai Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), no. 4-6, 298–305. MR 1755089
17. Sergei Levendorski, *Pricing of the american put under lévy processes*, International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance **7** (2004), no. 03, 303–335.
18. Gary M. Lieberman, *The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Uraltseva for elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), no. 2-3, 311–361. MR 1104103
19. Sandra Martínez and Noemi Wolanski, *A minimum problem with free boundary in Orlicz spaces*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 6, 1914–1971. MR 2431665
20. A. Massaccesi and E. Valdinoci, *Is a nonlocal diffusion strategy convenient for biological populations in competition?*, ArXiv e-prints (2015).
21. V. Maz’ya and T. Shaposhnikova, *On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), no. 2, 230–238. MR 1940355
22. Ralf Metzler and Joseph Klafter, *The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. **339** (2000), no. 1, 77. MR 1809268
23. S. Mosconi and M. Squassina, *Recent progresses in the theory of nonlinear nonlocal problems*, ArXiv e-prints (2016).
24. Augusto C. Ponce, *An estimate in the spirit of Poincaré’s inequality*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **6** (2004), no. 1, 1–15. MR 2041005

25. ———, *A new approach to Sobolev spaces and connections to Γ -convergence*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **19** (2004), no. 3, 229–255. MR 2033060
26. A. M. Reynolds and C. J. Rhodes, *The fly flight paradigm: random search patterns and mechanisms*, Ecology **90** (2009), no. 4, 877–887.
27. Xavier Ros-Oton, *Nonlocal elliptic equations in bounded domains: a survey*, Publ. Mat. **60** (2016), no. 1, 3–26. MR 3447732
28. Wim Schoutens, *Lévy processes in finance: Pricing financial derivatives*, Willey Series in Probability and Statistics, Willey, New York, 2003.
29. Marco Squassina and Bruno Volzone, *Bourgain-Brézis-Mironescu formula for magnetic operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **354** (2016), no. 8, 825–831. MR 3528339
30. Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR 0290095
31. Kun Zhou and Qiang Du, *Mathematical and numerical analysis of linear peridynamic models with nonlocal boundary conditions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **48** (2010), no. 5, 1759–1780. MR 2733097

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES AND
IMAS - CONICET
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) AV. CANTILLO S/N.
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address, J. Fernández Bonder: jfbonder@dm.uba.ar

URL: <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~jfbonder>

E-mail address, A.M. Salort: asalort@dm.uba.ar

URL: <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~asalort>