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Abstract. For each $n \geq 1$ we determine the minimum number of points in a poset with cyclic automorphism group of order $n$.

## 1. Introduction

In 1938 R. Frucht [7] proved that any finite group can be realized as the automorphism group of a graph. Moreover, the graph can be taken with $3 d|G|$ vertices, where $d$ is the cardinality of any generator set of $G$ ([8, Theorems 3.2, 4.2]). In 1959 G. Sabidussi [11] showed that in fact $O(|G| \log (d))$ vertices suffice. In 1974 L . Babai proved that the number of generators is not relevant, and with exception of the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$, the graph can be taken with just $2|G|$ vertices. Sabbidussi claims in [11] that he was able to compute the smallest number of vertices $\alpha(G)$ in a graph with automorphism group $G$ in the case that $G$ is cyclic of prime power order. Also, he asserts that for $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, $\alpha\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}\right)$. Unfortunately both his computations for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}}$ and the assertion are wrong. In [10] R.L. Meriwether rectifies these errors and correctly determines $\alpha\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for any $n \geq 1$. However, he commits similar mistakes when trying to extend this computation to arbitrary finite abelian groups. In [1, 2] W. Arlinghaus provides a complete calculation of $\alpha(G)$ for $G$ finite abelian. The proof follows these steps. First compute $\alpha(G)$ for $G$ cyclic of prime power order, then for arbitrary finite cyclic groups, then for abelian $p$-groups and finally, the general case.

In parallel, the analogous problem was studied for partially ordered sets. In 1946 G. Birkhoff [6] proved that for any finite group $G$ there is a poset of $|G|(|G|+1)$ points and automorphism group isomorphic to $G$. Then Frucht [9] improved this to $(d+2)|G|$ points. In 1980 Babai [4] proved that $3|G|$ points are enough. However, the smallest number $\beta(G)$ of points of a poset with an arbitrary finite abelian group $G$ of automorphisms has not yet been determined. In this paper we compute $\beta(G)$ for every finite cyclic group $G$.

Corollary 12. Let $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, where the $p_{i}$ are different primes and $r_{i} \geq 1$ for every $i$. Then the minimum number $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ of points in a poset with cyclic automorphism group of order $n$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}-1$ if $3|n, 4| n, 9 \nmid n$ and $8 \nmid n$, and it is $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ otherwise. Here $b(2)=1, b(3)=b(4)=b(5)=b(7)=3$, and $b\left(p^{r}\right)=2$ for any other prime power.

[^0]This result was first announced in [5]. In [5] we computed first $\beta(G)$ for $G$ cyclic of prime power order, then for arbitrary finite cyclic and for finite abelian $p$-groups with $p \geq 11$, following the steps of the proof of the graph case exposed by Arlinghaus. The calculation of $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ in this paper is more direct than the original we gave in [5]. Just as in graphs, the bound $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}\right)$ holds for $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, but not the equality, in general. For instance $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{12}\right)=\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)+\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)-1$. The case of $p$-groups will not be addressed in this article.

In Section 2 we construct explicit examples which provide an upper bound for $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. In Section 3 we prove some lemmas concerning the cyclic structure of a generator of Aut $(P)$ for a poset $P$ with cyclic automorphism group. In the last section we introduce the notion of weight of a prime power in a cycle, which we use in the proof of the lower bound.

## 2. Construction of the examples

A poset is a set with a partial order $\leq$. The elements of the underlying set of a poset are called points. All posets are assumed to be finite, that is, their underlying set is finite. If $P$ is a poset and $x, y \in P$, we write $x<y$ if $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$. We say that $y$ covers $x$ if $x<y$ and there is no $x<z<y$. The edges of $P$ are the pairs $(x, y)$ such that $y$ covers $x$. The Hasse diagram of $P$ is the digraph whose vertices are the points of $P$ and the edges are the edges of $P$. If the orientation of an arrow is not indicated in the graphical representation of the Hasse diagram, we assume it points upwards. A morphism $P \rightarrow Q$ of posets is an order-preserving map, i.e. a function $f$ between the underlying sets such that for every pair $x, y \in P$ with $x \leq y$ we have $f(x) \leq f(y)$. If $P$ is a poset, since it is finite, an automorphism of $P$ is just a permutation of the underlying set which is a morphism. A subposet of a poset $P$ is a subset of the underlying set with the inherited order. Given an automorphism $g$ of a poset $P$, we say that a subset $A$ of the underlying set of $P$ is invariant or $g$-invariant if $g(A)=A$. In this case, $g$ induces an automorphism on the subposet with underlying set $A$.

Definition 1. Define $b(1)=0, b(2)=1, b(3)=b(4)=b(5)=b(7)=3$. For any other prime power $p^{r}$, define $b\left(p^{r}\right)=2$.

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ the additive group of integers modulo $n$.
Proposition 2. Let $n=p^{r}$, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime and $r \geq 0$. Then there exists a poset $P$ with $b(n) n$ points and automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
Proof. For $n=1$ we take the empty poset and for $n=2$ we take the discrete poset on 2 points. By discrete we mean an antichain, i.e. a poset of pairwise incomparable elements. If $n=3,4,5,7$ we use the following well-known general construction [9]: $P=\mathbb{Z}_{n} \times\{0,1,2\}$ with the order $(i, 2)>(i, 1)>(i, 0)<(i+1,2)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. It is easy to see that such poset satisfies $\operatorname{Aut}(P) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Suppose then that $n \geq 8$. We take two copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ : $A=\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $A^{\prime}=\left\{0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, \ldots,(n-1)^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $S=\{0,1,2,4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. For $i \in A$ and $j^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ we set $i<j^{\prime}$ if $j-i \in S$. Any two elements in the same copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ are not comparable (see Figure 1). We will prove that the automorphism group of this poset $P$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. It is clear that $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ acts regularly on each copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ by addition, and this gives a faithful action $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ on $P$. So $G$ can be seen as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. Since each automorphism of $P$ maps $0 \in A$ to another minimal element of $P$, the order of
the $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$-orbit of $0 \in P$ is $n$. If we prove that the $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$-stabilizer of $0 \in P$ is trivial, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=n$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ is isomorphic to $G$. Let $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ be such that $h(0)=0$.


Figure 1. The Hasse diagram of $P$ for $n=8$.

We define the double neighborhood $B(i)$ of $i \in A$ as the set of those $j \in A$ such that $\#\left(P_{>i} \cap P_{>j}\right) \geq 2$, that is, there are at least two points in $A^{\prime}$ greater than both, $i$ and $j$. The reduced double neighborhood of $i \in A$ is $\hat{B}(i)=B(i) \backslash\{i\}$. Since $h$ is an automorphism, $B(h(i))=h(B(i))$ and $\hat{B}(h(i))=h(\hat{B}(i))$. Given $k \geq 1$, we say that two points $i, j \in A$ are $k$-adjacent if $\#(B(i) \cap B(j))=k$, and they are reduced $k$-adjacent if $\#(\hat{B}(i) \cap \hat{B}(j))=k$. Clearly, $h$ preserves $k$-adjacency and reduced $k$-adjacency. Suppose first that $n \geq 9$. Then for each $i \in A, B(i)=\{i-2, i-1, i, i+1, i+2\}$. It is easy to see that $i, j$ are 4 -adjacent if and only if $i-j= \pm 1$. Thus, $h$ induces an automorphism of the cyclic graph on $A$ with edges given by 4 -adjacency. Since $h(0)=0, h$ is either the identity $1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}}$ or $-1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}}$. The second case cannot occur as $\{0,2,3,4\}$ has an upper bound while $\{0,-2,-3,-4\}$ does not. Thus every point of $A$ is fixed by $h$. If $j^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$, then $j^{\prime}$ is the unique upper bound of $\{j, j-1, j-2, j-4\}$. Thus $h\left(j^{\prime}\right)=j^{\prime}$. This proves that $h=1_{P}$.

Finally, suppose $n=8$. Given $i \in A$, we have now $\hat{B}(i)=\{i-2, i-1, i+1, i+2, i+4\}$ and $i, j \in A$ are reduced 4 -adjacent if and only if $i-j= \pm 3$. Thus, $h$ induces an automorphism in the cyclic graph on $A$ with edges given by reduced 4 -adjacency. Then $h=1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}}$ or $-1_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}}$. The second case cannot occur for the same reason as before. Since each point in $A^{\prime}$ is determined by the set of smaller points, $h=1_{P}$.

Example 3. There exists a poset $P$ with 20 points and automorphism group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.

Take two copies $A=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}, A^{\prime}=\left\{0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and two copies $B=\left\{0^{\prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}\right\}, B^{\prime}=\left\{0^{\prime \prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. The underlying set of $P$ is the union of these four sets. Let $S=\{0,1,3\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{6}, T=\{0,1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Define the following order in $P$ : $i<j^{\prime}$ if $j-i \in S, i^{\prime \prime}<j^{\prime \prime \prime}$ if $j-i \in T, i^{\prime \prime \prime}<j^{\prime}$ if $j-i$ is even, $i^{\prime \prime}<j$ if $j-i$ is even, $i^{\prime \prime}<j^{\prime}$ for every $i, j$ (see Figure 2).

It is clear that $G=\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ acts in each copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ by addition. This induces a faithful action of $G$ on $P$. If $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(P), h\left(0^{\prime \prime}\right)$ must be a minimal point $i^{\prime \prime}$ and $h\left(0^{\prime}\right)$ must be a maximal point $j^{\prime}$. However $i, j$ cannot have different parity. Indeed, among the points $0,2,4,0^{\prime \prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ which cover $0^{\prime \prime}$, there are just two $0,0^{\prime \prime \prime}$ smaller than $0^{\prime}$. However, if $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ have different parity, among the points covering $i^{\prime \prime}(k \in A$ with $k \equiv i(2)$ and $\left.i^{\prime \prime \prime},(i+1)^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ there are three smaller than $j^{\prime}$ : both $j-1, j-3$, and one of $i^{\prime \prime \prime},(i+1)^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Thus $i \equiv j(2)$, which implies that the $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$-orbit of the set $\left\{0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ has at most 12 elements. If we prove that the $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$-stabilizer of $\left\{0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is trivial, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)| \leq 12=|G|$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ is isomorphic to $G$. Let $h$ be an automorphism of $P$ which fixes $0^{\prime}$ and $0^{\prime \prime}$.


Figure 2. A poset $P$ of 20 points and $\operatorname{Aut}(P) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{12}$.

Note that $2^{\prime \prime}$ is the unique minimal point different from $0^{\prime \prime}$ which is covered by three points that cover $0^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $h\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)=2^{\prime \prime}$. Now, the points of $B^{\prime}$ are the unique points of $P$ which cover exactly one of $0^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $B^{\prime}$ is invariant. This implies that $h$ restricts to an automorphism of the subposet $R$ with underlying set $B \cup B^{\prime}$ and of the subposet $Q$ with set $A \cup A^{\prime}$. Since $R$ is a cycle, there are only two automorphisms of $R$ fixing $0^{\prime \prime}$. One is the identity and the other maps $0^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $1^{\prime \prime \prime}$. However, $0^{\prime \prime \prime}<0^{\prime}$ while $1^{\prime \prime \prime} \nless 0^{\prime}$. Thus $0^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is fixed by $h$ and then $h$ is the identity of $R$.

Suppose that $i^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ is a fixed point. Among the points $i, i-1, i-3$ in $A$ covered by $i^{\prime}$, only $i-1$ and $i-3$ share a lower bound. Thus $h(i)=i$. Similarly, among the points $(i-4)^{\prime},(i-2)^{\prime},(i-1)^{\prime}$ of $A^{\prime}$ not covering $i$, only $(i-4)^{\prime}$ and $(i-2)^{\prime}$ share a lower bound in $B^{\prime}$. Thus $(i-1)^{\prime}$ is fixed. In conclusion, we showed that $i^{\prime}$ fixed implies that both $i$ and $(i-1)^{\prime}$ are fixed. Since $0^{\prime}$ is fixed, this implies that every point of $A$ and of $A^{\prime}$ is fixed. Thus $h=1_{P}$.

We say that a prime power $p^{r}(r \geq 1)$ exactly divides an integer $n$, and write $p^{r} \| n$, if $p^{r} \mid n$ and $p^{r+1} \nmid n$.

Theorem 4. Let $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$ where the $p_{i}$ are different primes and $r_{i} \geq 1$ for every $i$. Then there exists a poset with automorphism group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}-1$ points if $3 \| n$ and $4 \| n$, and with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ points otherwise.

Proof. By Proposition 2, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exists a poset $P_{i}$ with $b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ points and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{i}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}$. The non-Hausdorff join or ordinal sum $P=P_{1} \oplus P_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus P_{k}$ is constructed by taking a copy of each poset and keeping the given ordering in each copy, while setting $x<y$ for each $x \in P_{i}$ and $y \in P_{j}$ if $i<j$. Since each automorphism of $P$ preserves heights (the maximum length of a chain with a given maximum element), it restricts to automorphisms of each $P_{i}$. Thus $\operatorname{Aut}(P)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{2}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. If $p_{i}^{r_{i}}=3$ and $p_{j}^{r_{j}}=4$, instead of $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ we take the poset in Example 3 of $20=$ $b(3) 3+b(4) 4-1$ points and automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.

## 3. Lemmas

Let $X$ be a finite set, $n \geq 1$ and $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ pairwise different elements of $X$. The cycle $\alpha=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is the permutation which maps $x_{i}$ to $x_{i+1}$ (indices considered modulo $n$ ) and fixes every other point of $X$. The number $n$ is the order or length of the cycle, which we denote by $|\alpha|$. A cycle of order $n$ is also called an $n$-cycle. A cycle $\alpha$ is non-trivial if $|\alpha| \geq 2$. The representation $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ of a non-trivial $n$-cycle is unique up to cyclic permutation of the $n$-tuple $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. The underlying set of a non-trivial cycle $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$. Many times we will identify a non-trivial cycle with its underlying set. Two non-trivial cycles are disjoint if their underlying sets are. Any permutation $g$ of $X$ can be written as a composition $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{k}$ of disjoint non-trivial cycles. This representation is unique up to reordering of the cycles. If a cycle $\alpha$ appears in the factorization of $g$, we say that $\alpha$ is contained in $g$ and write $\alpha \in g$. The orbits of $g$, or of the action of the cyclic group $\langle g\rangle$ on $X$, are the underlying sets of the cycles in $g$ and the singletons consisting of fixed points. Disjoint non-trivial cycles commute. Thus, if $g$ is a composition $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{k}$ of disjoint non-trivial cycles and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $g^{m}=\alpha_{1}^{m} \alpha_{2}^{m} \ldots \alpha_{k}^{m}$. If $\alpha$ is a cycle of length $n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the permutation $\alpha^{m}$ is a composition of $(n, m)=\operatorname{gcd}\{n, m\}$ cycles of length $\frac{n}{(n, m)}$. In particular, $\alpha^{m}$ is a cycle with the same underlying set as $\alpha$ if $n$ and $m$ are coprime. Moreover, the order of $g$ is the least common multiple of the lengths of its cycles and if a cycle of $g$ has order $n$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $g^{m}$ fixes every point of the cycle if $n \mid m$, and fixes no point of the cycle otherwise.

If $g$ is an automorphism of a poset $P$, then each orbit of $g$ is discrete, as $a<b$ would imply that $a<g^{k}(a)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and then $\left\{g^{n k}(a)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ would be an infinite chain. If $A$ and $B$ are two different orbits of $g$ we cannot have an element $a \in A$ smaller than another $b \in B$ and at the same time an element $b^{\prime} \in B$ smaller than another $a^{\prime} \in A$, as this would imply that $a<b=g^{k}\left(b^{\prime}\right)<g^{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, contradicting the fact that $A$ is discrete, or the antisymmetry of the order.

Remark 5. Let $P$ be a poset and let $g$ be an automorphism of $P$. Let $Q$ be the subposet of points which are not fixed by $g$. Let $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ be the orbits of the automorphism induced by $g$ on $Q$. If $h$ is an automorphism of $Q$ such that $h\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i}$ for every $i$, then it extends to an automorphism of $P$ which fixes every element not in $Q$.

Indeed, if $x \in P \backslash Q, y \in A_{i}$ and $x<y$, then $h(y) \in A_{i}$, so there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $g^{r}(y)=h(y)$. Then $x=g^{r}(x)<g^{r}(y)=h(y)$. Similarly, if $x>y$, then $x>h(y)$.
Lemma 6. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $p^{r} \neq 2$ be a prime power which exactly divides $n$. Let $P$ be a poset with Aut $(P)$ cyclic of order $n$, and let $g$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. Then $g$ contains at least two cycles of length divisible by $p^{r}$.

Proof. Since $g$ has order $n$, it contains at least one cycle $\alpha$ of length divisible by $p^{r}$. Assume there is no other cycle of length divisible by $p^{r}$. The automorphism $g^{\frac{n}{p}}$ fixes then every point not in $\alpha$. Let $x$ be an element of $\alpha$ and let $\tau$ be the transposition of the underlying set of $\alpha$ which permutes $x$ and $g^{\frac{n}{p}}(x) \neq x$. By Remark $5, \tau$ extends to an automorphism $h$ of $P$ which is a transposition. But any power of $g$ either fixes each point in $\alpha$ or fixes no point of $\alpha$. Since the order of $\alpha$ is at least $p^{r}>2, h \notin\langle g\rangle=\operatorname{Aut}(P)$, a contradiction.

If a group $G$ acts on a poset $P$, an automorphism of $P$ is said to be induced by the action if it is in the image of the homomorphism $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(P)$.

Lemma 7. Let $p=3,5$ or 7 . Let $P$ be a poset on which $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ acts with exactly two orbits, both of order $p$. Then there exists an automorphism of $P$ not induced by the action for which each orbit of the action is invariant.

Proof. Let $g=\alpha \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ be the automorphism induced by a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, where $\alpha=(0,1, \ldots, p-1)$ and $\beta=\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, \ldots,(p-1)^{\prime}\right)$. If no element of $\alpha$ is comparable with an element of $\beta$, then the transposition $(0,1)$ is an automorphism which is different to $g^{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, not induced by the action.

Without loss of generality we can assume then that 0 and $0^{\prime}$ are comparable, and moreover, that $0<0^{\prime}$. Then no element in $\beta$ can be smaller than another in $\alpha$. Since $g$ is an automorphism, $i<i^{\prime}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. If no other pair of elements are comparable, then $(0,1)\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}\right)$ is an automorphism not induced by the action (it has order 2 , for example). If $i<j^{\prime}$ for every $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$, then $(0,1)$ satisfies the desired property. This completes the proof of the case $p=3$ by the following argument. The case we did not analyze is when $P$ has exactly 6 edges. In that case, let $P^{c}$ be the complement of $P$, defined as the poset $P^{c}$ with the same underlying set and setting $i<j^{\prime}$ if and only if $i \nless j^{\prime}$ in $P$, while $i, j$ are not comparable and $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ are not comparable for every $i \neq j$. Since $P$ and $P^{c}$ are non-discrete, they have the same automorphisms. As $P^{c}$ has only 3 edges, there is an automorphism of $P^{c}$ not induced by the action, so this is the required automorphism of $P$.

For $p=5$ we need to consider the case that $P$ has 10 edges. By the complement argument, this will complete the $p=5$ case. So, suppose $0<k^{\prime}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 4$ (and then $i<(i+k)^{\prime}$ for every $i$, where $i+k$ is considered modulo 5). Note that $g^{k}$ is induced by another generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and it maps $i^{\prime}$ to $(i+k)^{\prime}$. Thus, for each $0 \leq i \leq 4, i<i^{\prime}$ and $i<g^{k}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore we can assume that $k=1$. We have then the "symmetry about the axis $03^{\prime \prime \prime}$, which maps $i$ to $-i$ and $j^{\prime}$ to $(1-j)^{\prime}$ (see Figure 3). This is an automorphism of $P$ which is different to any power of $g$ (it has order 2 ).


Figure 3. The underlying undirected graph of a poset with 10 points and edges $i^{\prime}>i<(i+1)^{\prime}$, and the axis $03^{\prime}$.

For $p=7$, if $P$ has 14 edges, then by the argument above we can assume $i^{\prime}>i<(i+1)^{\prime}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq 6$ and there is then a symmetry about $04^{\prime}$. By the complement argument it only remains to analyze the case that $P$ has exactly 21 edges. Here $i<i^{\prime},(i+k)^{\prime},(i+l)^{\prime}$ for certain $1 \leq k \neq l \leq 6$ and again we can assume $k=1$ by replacing $g$ by $g^{k}$. Finally, by replacing $g$ by $g^{-1}$, it suffices to consider the cases $l=2,3$ and 4 (Figure 4).


Figure 4. Posets with two $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$-regular orbits and $S=\{0,1, l\}$ for $l=2,3,4$.

For $l=2$ we have the involution that maps $i$ to $-i$ and $j^{\prime}$ to $(2-j)^{\prime}$. For $l=3$ we have the following automorphism of order 3: (142)(356)(0 $\left.0^{\prime} 3^{\prime} 1^{\prime}\right)\left(2^{\prime} 4^{\prime} 5^{\prime}\right)$ (see Figure 5). For $l=4$, there is again the symmetry about $04^{\prime}$.


Figure 5. The underlying graph of the poset $P$ of 14 points and edges $i<i^{\prime},(i+1)^{\prime},(i+3)^{\prime}$. An automorphism of order 3 is given by a rotation of angle $\frac{2 \pi}{3}$.

Lemma 8. Let $P$ be a poset on which $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ acts with exactly two orbits of order 4 or exactly three orbits: two of order 4 and one of order 2 . Then there exists an automorphism of $P$ not induced by the action for which each orbit of the action is invariant.
Proof. Let $g$ be an automorphism induced by a generator of the action and suppose first that $g=(0,1,2,3)\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}\right)$. If $P$ is discrete, $(0,1)$ satisfies the required conditions. If $P$ has exactly 4 edges, then as in the proof of Lemma 7 we can assume $i<i^{\prime}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq 3$, and $(0,1)\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}\right)$ works. By the complement argument we can assume $P$ has exactly 8 edges and that it is determined by the relations $i^{\prime}>i<(i+k)^{\prime}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 3$. The case $k=3$ reduces to the case $k=1$ by replacing $g$ by $g^{3}$. If $k=1$, the symmetry $(1,3)\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}\right)\left(2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}\right)$ about 02 satisfies the required conditions. If $k=2$, then $(0,2)$ works.

Suppose then that $g=\alpha \beta \gamma$ with $\alpha=(0,1,2,3), \beta=\left(0^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}\right), \gamma=\left(0^{\prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $Q$ be the subposet of points in $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Since $g^{2}=(0,2)(1,3)\left(0^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}\right)\left(1^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}\right)$, every automorphism of the poset $Q$ which has $\{0,2\},\{1,3\},\left\{0^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}\right\},\left\{1^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}\right\}$ as invariant sets, extends to $P$ by Remark 5. If $Q$ is discrete or if $Q$ has 16 edges, then $(0,2)$ is an automorphism of $Q$ which extends to $P$ and this extension is not induced by the action. If $Q$ has exactly 4 edges, we may assume $i<i^{\prime}$ for every $i$ and then $(0,2)\left(0^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}\right)$ extends to an automorphism of $P$ different to any power of $g$. If $Q$ has exactly 12 edges, the complement argument can be used. Suppose then $Q$ has exactly 8 edges. By relabelling we can assume the relations are (a) $i<j^{\prime}$ for $i \equiv j(2)$ or (b) $i^{\prime}>i<(i+1)^{\prime}$ for every $i$. In case (a), $(0,2)$ is again an automorphism which has every nontrivial orbit of $g^{2}$ as an invariant set. In the rest of the proof we assume we are in case (b).

If the points of $\gamma$ are not comparable with any point of $Q$, then the symmetry about 02 which maps $i$ to $-i$ and $j^{\prime}$ to $(1-j)^{\prime}$, is an automorphism of $Q$ which extends to $P$, and this extension satisfies the required conditions.

By considering the opposite order, we can assume a point of $\gamma$ is comparable with a point of $\alpha$. Moreover, by relabelling if needed we can assume $0^{\prime \prime}$ is comparable with 0 . Suppose first that $0^{\prime \prime}<0$. Since $g$ is an automorphism, then $0^{\prime \prime}<2$ and $1^{\prime \prime}<1,3$. If $0^{\prime \prime} \nless 1$, then $0^{\prime \prime} \nless 3$ and $1^{\prime \prime} \nless 0,2$. If $0^{\prime \prime}<1$, then $0^{\prime \prime}<3$ and $1^{\prime \prime}<0,2$. In either case, the symmetry of $Q$ about 02 extends by the identity to an automorphim of $P$ which is not induced by the action, even though this automorphism of $Q$ does not have the orbits of $g^{2}$ as invariant sets. Finally suppose $0^{\prime \prime}>0$. Then $0^{\prime \prime}>2$ and $1^{\prime \prime}>1,3$. We can assume no element in $\beta$ is smaller than an element in $\gamma$, by the previous case and the duality argument. Also, we cannot have an element of $\gamma$ being smaller than another $j^{\prime}$ of $\beta$, since this would imply that $i<j^{\prime}>i+2$, modulo 4 , for certain $0 \leq i \leq 3$, which is absurd. In any case, if $0^{\prime \prime} \ngtr 1$ or if $0^{\prime \prime}>1$, we have that the symmetry of $Q$ about 02 extends to an automorphism of $P$.
Lemma 9. Let $p=3,5$ or 7 . Let $P$ be a poset with cyclic automorphism group of order $n \geq 1$, and let $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ be a generator. Suppose $g$ contains a $p$-cycle $\alpha$ and a $p k$-cycle $\beta \neq \alpha$ for some $p \nmid k \geq 1$. Then it contains a third cycle whose length is divisible by $p$.

Proof. Suppose $\beta=(0,1, \ldots, p k-1)$. Let $Q$ be the subposet of $P$ whose points are those of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Assume that there is no other cycle in $g$ whose length is divisible by $p$. In particular $p \| n$. Since the order of any cycle of $g$ different from $\alpha$ and $\beta$ divides $\frac{n}{p}$, the automorphism $g^{\frac{n}{p}}$ fixes every point not in $Q$. Moreover $g^{\frac{n}{p}}$ has $k+1$ orbits of order $p$, which are the underlying set of $\alpha$ and $A_{i}=\{0 \leq j \leq p k-1 \mid j \equiv i(k)\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. In particular, by Remark 5 every automorphism of $Q$ for which these sets are invariant extends to an automorphism of $P$.

Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the subposet of $Q$ whose points are those of $\alpha$ and $A_{0}$. Since $g^{k}$ induces an automorphism of $Q^{\prime}$ with two orbits of order $p$, by Lemma 7 there is an automorphism $h$ of $Q^{\prime}$ not induced by a power of $g^{k}$ for which the underlying set of $\alpha$ and $A_{0}$ are invariant. We extend $h$ to an automorphism $\bar{h}$ of $Q$ as follows. Let $j$ be a point of $\beta, 0 \leq j \leq k p-1$. Let $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ be such that $j \in A_{i}$. Since $p \nmid k$, there exists a unique $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ such that $k \mid j+t p$, in other words $j+t p$, considered modulo $k p$, lies in $A_{0}$. Then $h(j+t p) \in A_{0}$. Define $\bar{h}(j)=h(j+t p)-t p \in A_{i}$. We claim that $\bar{h}$ is an automorphism of $Q$. It is clearly bijective. Two different points of $\beta$ cannot be comparable as they are in the same orbit. Suppose $j$ in $\beta$ and $a$ in $\alpha$ are comparable, say $a<j$. Let $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ be such that $k \mid j+t p$. Then $a=g^{t p}(a)<g^{t p}(j)=j+t p$. Since $h$ is a morphism, $h(a)<h(j+t p)$. Thus
$\bar{h}(a)=h(a)=g^{-t p}(h(a))<g^{-t p}(h(j+t p))=h(j+t p)-t p=\bar{h}(j)$. Since the underlying set of $\alpha$ and each $A_{i}$ are $\bar{h}$-invariant, $\bar{h}$ extends to an automorphism of $P$, which must be a power $g^{r}$ of $g$. Since $g^{r}$ leaves $A_{0}$ invariant, in particular $r=g^{r}(0) \in A_{0}$, so $k \mid r$ and $h$ is then induced by a power of $g^{k}$, a contradiction.

Lemma 10. Let $P$ be a poset with cyclic automorphism group of order $n \geq 1$, and let $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ be a generator. Suppose that $g$ contains two 4 -cycles $\alpha, \beta$. Then it contains a third cycle of length divisible by 4 or two more cycles of even length.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 9 , so we omit details. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the unique two cycles of even length in $g$, then by Lemma 8 there is an automorphism $h$ of the poset of points of these two cycles which is not induced by a power of $g$, and moreover has the underlying sets of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as invariant sets. Since the non-trivial orbits of $g^{\frac{n}{4}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ are the underlying sets of $\alpha$ and $\beta, h$ extends to an automorphism of $P$, a contradiction.

Suppose then there exists a third cycle $\gamma=(1,2, \ldots, 2 k)$ in $g$ with $k$ odd, and that there is no other cycle of even length. We define $Q$ to be the subposet whose points are those of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$. Then $g^{\frac{n}{4}}$ fixes every point not in $Q$. The other orbits of $g^{\frac{n}{4}}$ are the underlying sets of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and $A_{i}=\{i, k+i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the subposet whose points are those of $\alpha, \beta$ and $A_{0}$. Then $g^{k}$ induces an automorphism of $Q^{\prime}$ and by Lemma 8 there is an automorphism $h$ of $Q^{\prime}$ which is not induced by a power of $g^{k}$, and for which the underlying sets of $\alpha, \beta$ and $A_{0}$ are invariant. We extend it to an automorphism $\bar{h}$ of $Q$ by defining $\bar{h}(j)=h(j+4 t)-4 t$, where $t$ is such that $k \mid j+4 t$. Then $\bar{h}$ is bijective, it is a morphism and leaves each $A_{i}$ invariant. It extends to an automorphism of $P$, say $g^{r}$. Since $g^{r}$ leaves $A_{0}$ invariant, then $k \mid r$, which implies that $h$ is induced by a power of $g^{k}$, a contradiction.

## 4. Weights and the lower bound

Let $g$ be a permutation of order $n$ of a finite set $X$. Let $\alpha$ be a cycle in $g$ of length $l=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, where the $p_{i}$ are distinct prime integers, $r_{i} \geq 1$ for every $i$. For each prime power $p^{r}$ we will define a weight $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which depends on $p^{r}, l$ and $n$, in such a way that $\sum_{p^{r}} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) p^{r}=l$, where the sum is taken over all prime powers dividing $n$. In particular $\# X \geq \sum_{p^{r} \| n}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha)\right) p^{r}$. For each $l \geq 2$ we will assign the weight of every prime power $p^{r}$ in a cycle $\alpha$ of length $|\alpha|=l$ according to a series of rules. In every case, if the weight $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha)$ is not explicitly defined for some prime power, we assume it is 0 .

Exception 6. Suppose $l=6$. If $3 \| n$ then $w_{3}(\alpha)=2$. If $3 \nVdash n$ and $2 \| n$, then $w_{2}(\alpha)=3$. If $3 \nVdash n$ and $2 \nVdash n$, then $w_{4}(\alpha)=\frac{3}{2}$.
Exception 12. Suppose $l=12$. If $3 \| n$ then $w_{3}(\alpha)=4$. If $3 \nVdash n$, then $w_{4}(\alpha)=3$.
Exception 10-14. Suppose $l=2 p$ for $p=5$ or 7 . If $2 \| n, w_{2}(\alpha)=1$. Otherwise $w_{4}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}$. In any case $w_{p}(\alpha)=\frac{2(p-1)}{p}$.
General case. Suppose $l=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \neq 6,12,10,14$, where the $p_{i}$ are different primes and each $r_{i} \geq 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define $w_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j \neq i} r_{j}^{r_{j}}}{k}$, unless $p_{i}^{r_{i}}=2$ and $2 \nVdash n$.

In that case, $w_{2}(\alpha)=0$, while $w_{4}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j \neq i} p_{j}^{r_{j}}}{2 k}$. In particular, if $l=p^{r} \geq 3$ is a prime power, $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha)=1$.

Note that, as we required, the sum $\sum_{p^{r} \mid n} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha)$ over all the prime powers dividing $n$ is the length $l$ of $\alpha$. Note also that if $l=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, then $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \neq 0$ only if $p^{r}=p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ or $p^{r}=4$.

Theorem 11. Let $n \geq 1$. Let $P$ be a poset with $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ cyclic of order $n$ generated by $g$. Let $p^{r}$ be a prime power which exactly divides $n$. If $p^{r} \neq 2,4$ then $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \geq b\left(p^{r}\right)$. If $3 \nVdash n$ and $p^{r}=2$ or $p^{r}=4, \sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \geq b\left(p^{r}\right)$ as well. If $3 \| n$ and $2 \| n, \sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(2 w_{2}(\alpha)+\right.$ $\left.3 w_{3}(\alpha)\right) \geq 2 b(2)+3 b(3)=11$. Finally, if $3 \| n$ and $4 \| n, \sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(4 w_{4}(\alpha)+3 w_{3}(\alpha)\right) \geq$ $4 b(4)+3 b(3)-1=20$.
Proof. If $p^{r} \neq 2,3,4,5,7$, by Lemma 6 , there are at least two cycles of length divisible by $p^{r}$. By hypothesis their lengths are not multiples of $p^{r+1}$. But if $\alpha$ is a cycle of $g$ whose length is a multiple of $p^{r}$, then $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \geq 1$. Indeed, the weights in $\alpha$ are assigned according to the General case. If the length of $\alpha$ is $l=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, we can assume $p^{r}=p_{1}^{r_{1}}$ and then $w_{p^{r}}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j=2}^{k} p_{j}^{r_{j}}}{k} \geq \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} \geq 1$. Thus, $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) \geq 2=b\left(p^{r}\right)$.

Suppose now $p^{r}=5$. If $\alpha$ is a cycle of $g$ of length $l=5$, then $w_{5}(\alpha)=1$. If $l=10$, then $w_{5}(\alpha)=\frac{8}{5} \geq \frac{3}{2}$ (Exception 10-14). If $l=5 s$ with $s=p_{2}^{r_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{3}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \geq 3$ not divisible by 5 , then either $k=2$, or $k \geq 3$. In the first case $w_{5}(\alpha)=\frac{s}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2}$, and in the second case $w_{5}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j=2}^{k} p_{j}^{r_{j}}}{k} \geq \frac{2^{k-2} \cdot 3}{k} \geq 2 \geq \frac{3}{2}$.

By Lemma 6, there are at least two cycles of length divisible by 5 (and not by $5^{2}$ ). Suppose first there exactly two such cycles, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$. None of them can be of length 5 by Lemma 9. Thus $w_{5}(\alpha)+w_{5}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2}=3=b(5)$. Finally, if there are at least three cycles in $g$ of length divisible by 5 , then $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{5}(\alpha) \geq 3=b(5)$.

The case $p^{r}=7$ is similar to the previous one, with the observation that for length $l=14, w_{7}(\alpha)=\frac{12}{7} \geq \frac{3}{2}$ (Exception 10-14). So, also in this case $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{7}(\alpha) \geq 3=b(7)$.

Let $p^{r}=3$. If the length of a cycle $\alpha$ in $g$ is $l=3, w_{3}(\alpha)=1$. If $l=6, w_{3}(\alpha)=2$ (Exception 6). If $l=12, w_{3}(\alpha)=4$ (Exception 12). If $l=3 s$ with $s=p_{2}^{r_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{3}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \geq 5$, then either $k=2$, or $k \geq 3$. In the first case $w_{3}(\alpha)=\frac{s}{2} \geq \frac{5}{2}$, and in the second case $w_{3}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j=2}^{k} p_{j}^{r_{j}}}{k} \geq \frac{2^{k-2} .3}{k} \geq 2$.

By Lemma 6 there are at least two cycles in $g$ of length divisible by 3 (and not by $3^{2}$ ). Suppose first there are exactly two such cycles $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$. None of them can have length 3 by Lemma 9 . Then $w_{3}(\alpha)+w_{3}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq 2.2=4 \geq 3=b(3)$. Finally, if there are at least three cycles in $g$ of length divisible by 3 , then $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 3=b(3)$. Note that $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 4$ unless there are exactly three cycles of length 3 and no other cycle of length divisible by 3.

We analyze now the case that $3 \nVdash n$ and $p^{r}=2$ or 4 . In the first situation, there is at least one cycle $\alpha$ of even length $l$ (not divisible by 4). If $l=2, w_{2}(\alpha)=1$ (General case). If $l=6, w_{2}(\alpha)=3$ (Exception 6). If $l=10$ or $l=14$, then $w_{2}(\alpha)=1$ (Exception 10-14). If $l=2 s$ with $s=p_{2}^{r_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{3}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \neq 1,3,5,7$ (odd), then $w_{2}(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{j=2}^{k} p_{j}^{r_{j}}}{k} \geq \frac{3^{k-1}}{k} \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{2}(\alpha) \geq 1=b(2)$. We consider the second situation, $p^{r}=4$. If $\alpha$ has length $l=4$, then $w_{4}(\alpha)=1$. If $l=12, w_{4}(\alpha)=3$ (Exception 12). If $l=4 s$ with $s=p_{2}^{r_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{3}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \geq 5$ (odd), then $k=2$ or $k \geq 3$. For $k=2$ we have $w_{4}(\alpha)=\frac{s}{2} \geq \frac{5}{2}$. For $k \geq 3, w_{4}(\alpha) \geq \frac{3^{k-1}}{k} \geq 3$. By Lemma $6, g$ contains at least two cycles of lengths divisible by 4 (and not by 8). Suppose first there are exactly two such cycles, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$, of lengths $l, l^{\prime}$. If $l=l^{\prime}=4$, then by Lemma 10, there exists a third and a fourth cycle $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ of lengths $2 m$ and $2 m^{\prime}$ for some odd $m, m^{\prime}$. The weights $w_{4}(\beta)$ that we obtain for each $m$ are the halves of the weights that we obtained for 2 in cycles of the same length when $2 \| n$. Namely, if $m=1, w_{4}(\beta)=\frac{1}{2}$ (General case); if $m=3, w_{4}(\beta)=\frac{3}{2}$ (Exception 6); if $m=5,7, w_{4}(\beta)=\frac{1}{2}$ (Exception 10-14); if $m=p_{2}^{r_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{3}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}} \neq 1,3,5,7$ then $w_{4}(\beta) \geq \frac{3^{k-1}}{2 k} \geq \frac{3}{4}$ (General case).

The same happens with $\beta^{\prime}$. Thus $w_{4}(\alpha)+w_{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)+w_{4}(\beta)+w_{4}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \geq 1+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=$ $3=b(4)$. If instead $l=4$ and $l^{\prime}=12$, then $w_{4}(\alpha)+w_{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=1+3=4>3$. If $l=4$ and $l^{\prime}=4 s$ for some odd $s \geq 5$, then $w_{4}(\alpha)+w_{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq 1+\frac{5}{2}>3$. If both $l$ and $l^{\prime}$ are greater than 4 , then $w_{4}(\alpha)+w_{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{5}{2}+\frac{5}{2}>3$. Finally, if there are at least three cycles of length divisible by 4 , then $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha) \geq 3$. Thus, in any case $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha) \geq 3=b(4)$.

It only remains to analyze the case $3 \| n$ and $2 \| n$ and the case $3 \| n$ and $4 \| n$. If $3 \| n$ and $2 \| n$, recall that we have already proved that $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 4$ or there are exactly three cycles of length 3 and no other cycle of length divisible by 3 . In the first case $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(2 w_{2}(\alpha)+3 w_{3}(\alpha)\right) \geq \sum_{\alpha \in g} 3 w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 12$. In the second case, there exists a cycle $\beta$ in $g$ of even length $m \neq 6$, so $w_{2}(\beta) \geq 1$. Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(2 w_{2}(\alpha)+3 w_{3}(\alpha)\right) \geq 2.1+3.3=11$.

The last case is $3 \| n$ and $4 \| n$. Note that if there are no cycles of length 6 nor 12 in $g$, then the computation $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha) \geq 3$ remains valid as Exceptions 6 and 12 do not occur. Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(3 w_{3}(\alpha)+4 w_{4}(\alpha)\right) \geq 3.3+4.3=21>20$. If there are at least two 12 -cycles, then $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(3 w_{3}(\alpha)+4 w_{4}(\alpha)\right) \geq 2.3 .4=24>20$. If there is no 12 -cycle in $g$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha)<3$, then we must be in the case that there is a 6 -cycle. This already implies $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 4$, while the existence of two cycles of length divisible by 4 implies $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha) \geq 2$. Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(3 w_{3}(\alpha)+4 w_{4}(\alpha)\right) \geq 3.4+4.2=20$.

Thus we may assume $g$ has a unique 12 -cycle. By Lemma 6 there is another cycle of length divisible by 4 , so $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{4}(\alpha) \geq 1$. On the other hand, $\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{3}(\alpha) \geq 4+2=6$, as the weight of 3 in a 12 -cycle is 4 and by Lemmas 6 and 9 there are either two more cycles of lengths divisible by 3 or just one, but of length not 3 . Thus $\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(3 w_{3}(\alpha)+4 w_{4}(\alpha)\right) \geq$ $3.6+4.1=22>20$.

Corollary 12. Let $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{r_{k}}$, where the $p_{i}$ are different primes and $r_{i} \geq 1$ for every $i$. Then the minimum number $\beta\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ of points in a poset with cyclic automorphism group of order $n$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}-1$ if $3 \| n$ and $4 \| n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ otherwise.
Proof. If $P$ is a poset with $\operatorname{Aut}(P) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ generated by $g$, then the number of points in $P$ is at least $\sum_{\alpha \in g}|\alpha|=\sum_{\alpha \in g} \sum_{p^{r} \mid n} w_{p^{r}}(\alpha) p^{r} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}(\alpha)\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}$. If both 3 and 4 exactly divide $n$, by Theorem 11 this is $\sum_{p_{i}^{r_{i}} \neq 3,4}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in g} w_{p_{i}^{r_{i}}}(\alpha)\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}+\sum_{\alpha \in g}\left(3 w_{3}(\alpha)+4 w_{4}(\alpha)\right) \geq \sum_{p_{i}^{r_{i}} \neq 3,4} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}+$ $3 b(3)+4 b(4)-1=\sum_{i=1}^{k} b\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}}-1$. Otherwise, the bound is one more than this number. The bound is attained by Theorem 4 .
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