A Tutorial on Compressive Sensing

Simon Foucart Drexel University / University of Georgia

CIMPA13 New Trends in Applied Harmonic Analysis Mar del Plata, Argentina, 5-16 August 2013

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

This minicourse acts as an invitation to the elegant theory of Compressive Sensing. It aims at giving a solid overview of the fundamental mathematical aspects. Its content is partly based on a recent textbook coauthored with Holger Rauhut:



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Part 1: The Standard Problem and First Algorithms

The lecture introduces the question of sparse recovery, establishes its theoretical limits, and presents an algorithm achieving these limits in an idealized situation. In order to treat more realistic situations, other algorithms are necessary. Basis Pursuit (that is, ℓ_1 -minimization) and Orthogonal Matching Pursuit make their first appearance. Their success is proved using the concept of coherence of a matrix.

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E りへぐ</p>

Sparsity Essential

 Sparsity Essential

 Randomness
Nothing better so far (measurement process)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 Sparsity Essential

 Randomness
Nothing better so far (measurement process)

Optimization

Preferred, but competitive alternatives are available (reconstruction process)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

 \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N

 \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

y : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 \boldsymbol{s} : sparsity of \boldsymbol{x}

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,

s : sparsity of
$$\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,

s : sparsity of
$$\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols,

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,
- s : sparsity of $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols, i.e., find

• measurement matrices $A : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m$

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,
- s : sparsity of $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols, i.e., find

- measurement matrices $A : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m$
- reconstruction maps $\Delta: \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m \mapsto \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,
- s : sparsity of $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols, i.e., find

- measurement matrices $A : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m$
- ▶ reconstruction maps $\Delta : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m \mapsto \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$

such that

$$\Delta(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$$
 for any *s*-sparse vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$.

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,
- s : sparsity of $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols, i.e., find

- measurement matrices $A : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m$
- ▶ reconstruction maps $\Delta : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m \mapsto \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$

such that

 $\Delta(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for any *s*-sparse vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$.

In realistic situations, two issues to consider:

- \mathbf{x} : unknown signal of interest in \mathbb{K}^N
- **y** : measurement vector in \mathbb{K}^m with $m \ll N$,
- s : sparsity of $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{card} \{ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0 \}.$

Find concrete sensing/recovery protocols, i.e., find

- measurement matrices $A : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m$
- ▶ reconstruction maps $\Delta : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^m \mapsto \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$

such that

 $\Delta(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for any *s*-sparse vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$.

In realistic situations, two issues to consider:

Stability: \mathbf{x} not sparse but compressible,Robustness:measurement error in $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$.

(4日) (個) (目) (目) (目) (の)

Magnetic resonance imaging



Figure: Left: traditional MRI reconstruction; Right: compressive sensing reconstruction (courtesy of M. Lustig and S. Vasanawala)

- Magnetic resonance imaging
- Sampling theory



Figure: Time-domain signal with 16 samples.

Magnetic resonance imaging

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Sampling theory
- Error correction

Magnetic resonance imaging

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Sampling theory
- Error correction
- and many more...

Since



Since

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_{j}|^{p} \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_{j} \neq 0\}},$$

the notation $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0$ [sic] has become usual for

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 := \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \text{where } \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \in [N] : x_j \neq 0\}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Since

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\rho}^{p} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_{j}|^{p} \xrightarrow[\rho \to 0]{} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_{j} \neq 0\}},$$

the notation $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_0$ [sic] has become usual for

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 := \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \text{where } \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \in [N] : x_j \neq 0\}.$

For an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$, observe the equivalence of

Since

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_{j}|^{p} \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_{j} \neq 0\}},$$

the notation $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0$ [sic] has become usual for

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{0}} := \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \text{where } \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \in [N] : x_j \neq \mathbf{0}\}.$

For an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$, observe the equivalence of

x is the unique *s*-sparse solution of Az = y with y = Ax,

Since

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_{j}|^{p} \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_{j} \neq 0\}},$$

the notation $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0$ [sic] has become usual for

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{0}} := \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \text{where } \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \in [N] : x_j \neq \mathbf{0}\}.$

For an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$, observe the equivalence of

x is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$,

x can be reconstructed as the unique solution of

$$(\mathsf{P}_0) \qquad \underset{\mathsf{z}\in\mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize }} \|\mathsf{z}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to } A\mathsf{z}=\mathsf{y}.$$

Since

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_{j}|^{p} \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_{j} \neq 0\}},$$

the notation $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0$ [sic] has become usual for

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{0}} := \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \text{where } \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \in [N] : x_j \neq \mathbf{0}\}.$

For an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^N$, observe the equivalence of

- **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$,
- **x** can be reconstructed as the unique solution of

$$(\mathsf{P}_0) \qquad \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize } \|\mathbf{z}\|_0} \quad \text{subject to } A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}.$$

This is a combinatorial problem, NP-hard in general.

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$, 2. ker $A \cap {\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N : ||\mathbf{z}||_0 \le 2s} = {\mathbf{0}},$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$,
- 2. ker $A \cap \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N : \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \le 2s\} = \{\mathbf{0}\},\$
- 3. For any $S \subset [N]$ with card $(S) \leq 2s$, the matrix A_S is injective,

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$,
- 2. ker $A \cap \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N : \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \le 2s \} = \{ \mathbf{0} \}$,
- 3. For any $S \subset [N]$ with card $(S) \leq 2s$, the matrix A_S is injective,

4. Every set of 2s columns of A is linearly independent.
Minimal Number of Measurements

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$,
- 2. ker $A \cap \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N : \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \le 2s\} = \{\mathbf{0}\},\$
- 3. For any $S \subset [N]$ with card $(S) \leq 2s$, the matrix A_S is injective,
- 4. Every set of 2s columns of A is linearly independent.

As a consequence, exact recovery of every s-sparse vector forces

 $m \geq 2s$.

Minimal Number of Measurements

Given $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times N}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. Every *s*-sparse **x** is the unique *s*-sparse solution of $A\mathbf{z} = A\mathbf{x}$,
- 2. ker $A \cap \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N : \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \le 2s\} = \{\mathbf{0}\},\$
- 3. For any $S \subset [N]$ with card $(S) \leq 2s$, the matrix A_S is injective,
- 4. Every set of 2s columns of A is linearly independent.

As a consequence, exact recovery of every *s*-sparse vector forces

$m \geq 2s$.

This can be achieved using partial Vandermonde matrices.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Identify an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function *x* on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support *S*, card(*S*) = *s*.

Identify an *s*-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function *x* on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support *S*, card(*S*) = *s*. Consider the 2*s* Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \quad 0 \le j \le 2s-1.$$

Identify an s-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function x on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support S, card(S) = s. Consider the 2s Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \qquad 0 \le j \le 2s-1.$$

Consider a trigonometric polynomial vanishing exactly on S, i.e.,

$$p(t) := \prod_{k \in S} \left(1 - e^{-i2\pi k/N} e^{i2\pi t/N}\right).$$

Identify an s-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function x on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support S, card(S) = s. Consider the 2s Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \qquad 0 \le j \le 2s - 1.$$

Consider a trigonometric polynomial vanishing exactly on S, i.e.,

$$p(t) := \prod_{k\in S} \left(1 - e^{-i2\pi k/N} e^{i2\pi t/N}\right).$$

Since $p \cdot x \equiv 0$, discrete convolution gives

$$0 = (\hat{p} * \hat{x})(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{p}(k)\hat{x}(j-k), \qquad 0 \le j \le N-1.$$

Identify an s-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function x on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support S, card(S) = s. Consider the 2s Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \qquad 0 \le j \le 2s - 1.$$

Consider a trigonometric polynomial vanishing exactly on S, i.e.,

$$p(t) := \prod_{k \in S} \left(1 - e^{-i2\pi k/N} e^{i2\pi t/N} \right).$$

Since $p \cdot x \equiv 0$, discrete convolution gives

$$0 = (\hat{p} * \hat{x})(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{p}(k)\hat{x}(j-k), \qquad 0 \le j \le N-1.$$

Note that $\hat{p}(0) = 1$ and that $\hat{p}(k) = 0$ for k > s.

Identify an s-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function x on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support S, card(S) = s. Consider the 2s Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \qquad 0 \le j \le 2s - 1.$$

Consider a trigonometric polynomial vanishing exactly on S, i.e.,

$$p(t) := \prod_{k \in S} \left(1 - e^{-i2\pi k/N} e^{i2\pi t/N} \right).$$

Since $p \cdot x \equiv 0$, discrete convolution gives

$$0 = (\hat{p} * \hat{x})(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{p}(k)\hat{x}(j-k), \qquad 0 \le j \le N-1.$$

Note that $\hat{p}(0) = 1$ and that $\hat{p}(k) = 0$ for k > s. The equations $s, \ldots, 2s - 1$ translate into a Toeplitz system with unknowns $\hat{p}(1), \ldots, \hat{p}(s)$.

Identify an s-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with a function x on $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with support S, card(S) = s. Consider the 2s Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) e^{-i2\pi jk/N}, \qquad 0 \le j \le 2s - 1.$$

Consider a trigonometric polynomial vanishing exactly on S, i.e.,

$$p(t) := \prod_{k \in S} \left(1 - e^{-i2\pi k/N} e^{i2\pi t/N} \right).$$

Since $p \cdot x \equiv 0$, discrete convolution gives

$$0 = (\hat{p} * \hat{x})(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{p}(k)\hat{x}(j-k), \qquad 0 \le j \le N-1.$$

Note that $\hat{p}(0) = 1$ and that $\hat{p}(k) = 0$ for k > s. The equations $s, \ldots, 2s - 1$ translate into a Toeplitz system with unknowns $\hat{p}(1), \ldots, \hat{p}(s)$. This determines \hat{p} , hence p, then S_{a} and finally \mathbf{x}_{a} .

Optimization and Greedy Strategies

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ▲ ● ● ●

Replace (P₀) by $(P_1) \qquad \underset{\textbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize } \|\textbf{z}\|_1} \quad \text{subject to } A\textbf{z} = \textbf{y}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Replace (P₀) by $(\mathsf{P}_1) \qquad \underset{\textbf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize }} \|\textbf{z}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to } A\textbf{z} = \textbf{y}.$

Geometric intuition

ℓ₁-Minimization (Basis Pursuit)

Replace (P₀) by $(\mathsf{P}_1) \qquad \underset{\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize }} \|\mathsf{z}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to } A\mathsf{z} = \mathsf{y}.$

- Geometric intuition
- Unique ℓ_1 -minimizers are at most *m*-sparse (when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$)

ℓ₁-Minimization (Basis Pursuit)

Replace (P_0) by

 $(\mathsf{P}_1) \qquad \underset{\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize } \| \mathsf{z} \|_1} \quad \text{subject to } A\mathsf{z} = \mathsf{y}.$

- Geometric intuition
- Unique ℓ_1 -minimizers are at most *m*-sparse (when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$)

Convex optimization program, hence solvable in practice

ℓ₁-Minimization (Basis Pursuit)

Replace (P_0) by

 $(\mathsf{P}_1) \qquad \underset{\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize } \| \mathsf{z} \|_1} \quad \text{subject to } A\mathsf{z} = \mathsf{y}.$

- Geometric intuition
- Unique ℓ_1 -minimizers are at most *m*-sparse (when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$)
- Convex optimization program, hence solvable in practice
- In the real setting, recast as the linear optimization program

$$\underset{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^N}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \quad \text{ subject to } A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} \text{ and } -c_j \leq z_j \leq c_j.$$

Replace (P_0) by

 $(\mathsf{P}_1) \qquad \underset{\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{K}^N}{\text{minimize } \| \mathsf{z} \|_1} \quad \text{subject to } A\mathsf{z} = \mathsf{y}.$

- Geometric intuition
- Unique ℓ_1 -minimizers are at most *m*-sparse (when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$)
- Convex optimization program, hence solvable in practice
- In the real setting, recast as the linear optimization program

$$\underset{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \quad \text{ subject to } A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} \text{ and } -c_j \leq z_j \leq c_j.$$

In the complex setting, recast as a second order cone program

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めんの

 $\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for every vector \mathbf{x} supported on S if and only if

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{x} \text{ for every vector } \mathbf{x} \text{ supported on } S \text{ if and only if} \\ (\mathsf{NSP}) & \|\mathbf{u}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for every vector \mathbf{x} supported on S if and only if

 $(\mathsf{NSP}) \qquad \|\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{S}}\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

For real measurement matrices,

 $\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for every vector } \mathbf{x} \text{ supported on } S \text{ if and only if}$ $(\mathsf{NSP}) \qquad \|\mathbf{u}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

For real measurement matrices, real and complex NSPs read

$$\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for every vector } \mathbf{x} \text{ supported on } S \text{ if and only if}$$

$$(\mathsf{NSP}) \qquad \|\mathbf{u}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

For real measurement matrices, real and complex NSPs read

$$\sum_{j\in S} |u_j| < \sum_{\ell\in \overline{S}} |u_\ell|, \qquad \text{ all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker_{\mathbb{R}} A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

$$\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for every vector } \mathbf{x} \text{ supported on } S \text{ if and only if}$$

$$(\mathsf{NSP}) \qquad \|\mathbf{u}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

For real measurement matrices, real and complex NSPs read

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\in S} |u_j| &< \sum_{\ell\in\overline{S}} |u_\ell|, \qquad \text{ all } \mathbf{u}\in \ker_{\mathbb{R}}A\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}, \\ \sum_{j\in S} \sqrt{v_j^2 + w_j^2} &< \sum_{\ell\in\overline{S}} \sqrt{v_\ell^2 + w_\ell^2}, \quad \text{ all } (\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})\in (\ker_{\mathbb{R}}A)^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}. \end{split}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

$$\Delta_1(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ for every vector } \mathbf{x} \text{ supported on } S \text{ if and only if}$$

$$(\mathsf{NSP}) \qquad \|\mathbf{u}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{u}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \qquad \text{all } \mathbf{u} \in \ker A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

For real measurement matrices, real and complex NSPs read

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\in \mathcal{S}} |u_j| &< \sum_{\ell\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}} |u_\ell|, \qquad \text{ all } \mathbf{u}\in \ker_{\mathbb{R}} A\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}, \\ \sum_{j\in \mathcal{S}} \sqrt{v_j^2 + w_j^2} &< \sum_{\ell\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}} \sqrt{v_\ell^2 + w_\ell^2}, \quad \text{ all } (\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})\in (\ker_{\mathbb{R}} A)^2\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Real and complex NSPs are in fact equivalent.

Starting with $S^0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$, iterate (OMP₁) $S^{n+1} = S^n \cup \{j^{n+1} := \underset{j \in [N]}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ |(A^*(\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n))_j| \} \},$ (OMP₂) $\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ ||\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{z}||_2, \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq S^{n+1} \}.$

Starting with $S^0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$, iterate (OMP₁) $S^{n+1} = S^n \cup \{j^{n+1} := \underset{j \in [N]}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ |(A^*(\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n))_j| \} \},$ (OMP₂) $\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ ||\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{z}||_2, \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq S^{n+1} \}.$

The norm of the residual decreases according to

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^{n+1}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n\|_2^2 - |(A^*(\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n))_{j^{n+1}}|^2.$$

Starting with $S^0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$, iterate (OMP₁) $S^{n+1} = S^n \cup \{j^{n+1} := \underset{j \in [N]}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ |(A^*(\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n))_j| \} \},$ (OMP₂) $\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ ||\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{z}||_2, \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq S^{n+1} \}.$

The norm of the residual decreases according to

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^{n+1}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n\|_2^2 - |(A^*(\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}^n))_{j^{n+1}}|^2.$$

► Every vector x_{≠0} supported on S, card(S) = s, is recovered from y = Ax after at most s iterations of OMP if and only if A_S is injective and

$$(\mathsf{ERC}) \qquad \max_{j \in S} |(A^*\mathbf{r})_j| > \max_{\ell \in \overline{S}} |(A^*\mathbf{r})_\ell|$$

for all $\mathbf{r}_{\neq \mathbf{0}} \in \{A\mathbf{z}, \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq S\}.$

First Recovery Guarantees

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

For a matrix with ℓ_2 -normalized columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$, define

$$\mu := \max_{i \neq j} \left| \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \right|.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

For a matrix with ℓ_2 -normalized columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$, define

$$\mu := \max_{i \neq j} \left| \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \right|.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

As a rule, the smaller the coherence, the better.

For a matrix with ℓ_2 -normalized columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$, define

$$\mu := \max_{i \neq j} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \right\rangle \right|.$$

As a rule, the smaller the coherence, the better.

However, the Welch bound reads

$$\mu \geq \sqrt{\frac{N-m}{m(N-1)}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

For a matrix with ℓ_2 -normalized columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$, define

$$\mu := \max_{i \neq j} \left| \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \right|.$$

As a rule, the smaller the coherence, the better.

However, the Welch bound reads

$$\mu \geq \sqrt{\frac{N-m}{m(N-1)}}.$$

Welch bound achieved at and only at equiangular tight frames

For a matrix with ℓ_2 -normalized columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$, define

$$\mu := \max_{i \neq j} \left| \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \right|.$$

As a rule, the smaller the coherence, the better.

However, the Welch bound reads

$$\mu \geq \sqrt{\frac{N-m}{m(N-1)}}.$$

- Welch bound achieved at and only at equiangular tight frames
- Deterministic matrices with coherence $\mu \leq c/\sqrt{m}$ exist

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

► Every s-sparse x ∈ C^N is recovered from y = Ax ∈ C^m via at most s iterations of OMP provided

$$\mu < \frac{1}{2s-1}.$$

► Every s-sparse x ∈ C^N is recovered from y = Ax ∈ C^m via at most s iterations of OMP provided

$$\mu < \frac{1}{2s-1}.$$

► Every s-sparse x ∈ C^N is recovered from y = Ax ∈ C^m via Basis Pursuit provided

$$\mu < \frac{1}{2s-1}.$$

► Every s-sparse x ∈ C^N is recovered from y = Ax ∈ C^m via at most s iterations of OMP provided

$$\mu < \frac{1}{2s-1}.$$

► Every s-sparse x ∈ C^N is recovered from y = Ax ∈ C^m via Basis Pursuit provided

$$\mu < \frac{1}{2s-1}.$$

In fact, the Exact Recovery Condition can be rephrased as

$$\|A_{S}^{\dagger}A_{\overline{S}}\|_{1\to 1} < 1,$$

and this implies the Null Space Property.

The coherence conditions for s-sparse recovery are of the type

$$\mu \leq \frac{c}{s},$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The coherence conditions for s-sparse recovery are of the type

$$\mu \leq \frac{c}{s},$$

while the Welch bound (for $N \ge 2m$, say) reads

$$\mu \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

The coherence conditions for s-sparse recovery are of the type

$$\mu \leq \frac{c}{s},$$

while the Welch bound (for $N \ge 2m$, say) reads

$$\mu \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{m}}$$

Therefore, arguments based on coherence necessitate

$$m \ge c s^2$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The coherence conditions for s-sparse recovery are of the type

$$\mu \leq \frac{c}{s},$$

while the Welch bound (for $N \ge 2m$, say) reads

$$\mu \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

Therefore, arguments based on coherence necessitate

$$m \ge c s^2$$
.

This is far from the ideal linear scaling of m in s...

The coherence conditions for s-sparse recovery are of the type

$$\mu \leq \frac{c}{s},$$

while the Welch bound (for $N \ge 2m$, say) reads

$$\mu \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{m}}$$

Therefore, arguments based on coherence necessitate

$$m \ge c s^2$$
.

This is far from the ideal linear scaling of m in s...

Next, we will introduce new tools to break this *quadratic barrier* (with random matrices).