#### Myung-Sin Song

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville

14 December, 2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Joint work with Dorin E. Dukay, and Gabriel Picioroaga

### **Motivation**

- D.E. Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, "Wavelets on fractals," Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 22(1):131-180, 2006.
- J. D'Andrea, K. D. Merrill, and J. Packer, "Fractal wavelets of Dutkay-Jorgensen type for the Sierpinski gasket space," Frames and operator theory in analysis and signal processing, vol. 451 Contemp. Math.: 69–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Example with complex coefficients ( $\lambda = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ ).

$$\begin{array}{lll} \chi_{\mathcal{S}} & \vec{v}_{0} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) \\ \psi_{1} &= D(T_{(1,1)}\chi_{\mathcal{S}}) \text{ 'gap' wavelet} & \vec{v}_{1} &= (0,0,0,1) \\ \psi_{2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D(\chi_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda T_{(1,0)}\chi_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda^{2}T_{(0,1)}\chi_{\mathcal{S}}) & \vec{v}_{2} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) \\ \psi_{3} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D(\chi_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda^{2}T_{(1,0)}\chi_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda T_{(0,1)}\chi_{\mathcal{S}}) & \vec{v}_{3} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) \end{array}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ●

### reconstruction using 30% of transform coefficients



original

Haar filter

### reconstruction using 30% of transform coefficients



original

real SG filter

### reconstruction using 10% of transform coefficients



Haar filter

real SG filter

### reconstruction using 3% of transform coefficients



Haar filter

real SG filter

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

### reconstruction using 0.1% of transform coefficients



### real SG filter same as original



Haar filter

▲日 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ● ◎ ● ● ●

### Introduction

The Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ , is the *C*<sup>\*</sup>-algebra generated by *N* isometries  $S_i$ , i = 0, ..., N - 1 with the properties:

$$S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij}, \ i, j = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i S_i^* = I.$$
 (0.1)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Orthonormal wavelet bases are constructed from various choices of quadrature mirror filters (QMF). These filters are in one-to-one correspondence with certain representations of the Cuntz algebra.

### Introduction

- The proposition shows representations of the Cuntz algebra are obtained from a choice of a quadrature mirror filter (QMF) basis (Definition 4).
- We then show how QMF bases can be constructed using some unitary matrix valued functions (Theorem 7). This gives us a large variety of representations of the Cuntz algebras, which we use to construct various orthonormal bases.
- We present a general criterion for a Cuntz algebra representation to generate an orthonormal basis.
   Specifically when applied to some affine iterated function systems, we obtain a construction of piecewise exponential bases on some Cantor fractal measures which extends a result of Dutkay and Jorgensen. In particular, we obtain piecewise exponential orthonormal bases on the middle third Cantor set which is known not to have any orthonormal bases of exponential functions.

#### Definition

A *quadrature mirror filter (QMF)* for *r* is a function  $m_0$  in  $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  with the property that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{r(w)=z}|m_0(w)|^2=1, \quad (z\in X)$$
 (0.2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Theorem

Let  $m_0$  be a QMF for r. Then there exists a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a representation  $\pi$  of  $L^{\infty}(X)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , a unitary operator U on  $\mathcal{H}$  and a vector  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### 1. (Covariance)

$$U\pi(f)U^* = \pi(f \circ r), \quad (f \in L^{\infty}(X))$$
(0.3)

2. (Scaling equation)

$$U\varphi = \pi(m_0)\varphi \tag{0.4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

3. (Orthogonality)

$$\langle \pi(f)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \int f \, d\mu, \quad (f \in L^{\infty}(X))$$
 (0.5)

4. (Density)

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{U^{-n}\pi(f)\varphi: f\in L^{\infty}(X), n\geq 0\right\}=\mathcal{H} \tag{0.6}$$

### Definition

The system  $(\mathcal{H}, U, \pi, \varphi)$  in Theorem 2 is called *the wavelet* representation associated to the QMF  $m_0$ .

To construct a multiresolution, for a wavelet representation, one needs a QMF basis.

#### Definition

A *QMF* basis is a set of *N* QMF's  $m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{N-1}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{r(w)=z}m_i(w)\overline{m_j}(w)=\delta_{ij},\quad (i,j\in\{0,\ldots,N-1\},z\in X) \ (0.7)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Next, we show how a QMF basis induces a representation of the Cuntz algebra.

Proposition

Let  $(m_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  be a QMF basis. Define the operators on  $L^2(X, \mu)$ 

$$S_i(f) = m_i f \circ r, \quad i = 0, ..., N-1$$
 (0.8)

Then the operators  $S_i$  are isometries and they form a representation of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ , i.e.

$$S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, N-1, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i S_i^* = I$$
 (0.9)

The adjoint of  $S_i$  is given by the formula

$$S_{i}^{*}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r(w)=z} \overline{m_{i}}(w) f(w) \qquad (0.10)$$

#### Proof.

We compute the adjoint: take *f*, *g* in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ . We use the strong invariance of  $\mu$ .

$$\langle S_i^* f, g \rangle = \int f \overline{m}_i \overline{g \circ r} d\mu = \int \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r(w)=z} \overline{m}_i(w) f(w) \overline{g}(z) d\mu(z)$$

Then (0.10) follows. The Cuntz relations in (0.9) are then easily checked with Proposition.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Every QMF basis generates a multiresolution for the wavelet representation associated to  $m_0$ . Since the ideas are simple and they are the same as in the classical wavelet theory.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

#### Proposition

Let  $(m_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  be a QMF basis. Let  $(\mathcal{H}, U, \pi, \varphi)$  be the wavelet representation associated to  $m_0$ . Define

$$V_0 := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \left\{ \pi(f) \varphi : f \in L^{\infty}(X) \right\}, \qquad V_n = U^{-n} V_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(0.11)$$

$$\psi_i = U^{-1} \pi(m_i) \varphi, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (0.12)

$$W_i := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \left\{ \pi(f) \psi_i : f \in L^{\infty}(X) \right\}$$
(0.13)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Then

1.  $\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n} = \mathcal{H}$ 2.  $V_1 = V_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{N-1}$ 3. If  $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n = \{0\}$  then  $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}U^n(W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{N-1}) = \mathcal{H}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

If *B* is a finite set and  $R^{-1}B$  has spectrum  $\Lambda$ , then the set  $\{e_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a QMF basis, by Proposition. Then, with Proposition 5, the operators  $S_{\lambda}f = e_{\lambda}f \circ r$  form a representation of the Cuntz algebra. Such representations were studied in.

#### Theorem

Fix  $(m_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  a QMF basis. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the following two sets:

- 1. *QMF* bases  $(m'_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$
- 2. Unitary valued maps  $A: X \to U_N(\mathbb{C})$

Given a QMF basis  $(m'_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  the matrix A with entries

(1) 
$$A_{ij}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r(w)=z} m'_i(w) \overline{m}_j(w), \quad (z \in X, i, j = 0, \dots, N-1)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

is unitary.

Given a unitary-valued map  $A : X \to U_N(\mathbb{C})$ , the functions form a QMF basis

(2) 
$$m'_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A_{ij}(r(z))m_j(z), \quad (z \in X, i = 0, ..., N-1)$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

These correspondences are inverse to each other.

#### Proof.

The result requires some simple computations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A_{ij}(z) \overline{A_{i'j}(z)} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_j \sum_{r(w)=z} m'_i(w) \overline{m_j(z)} \cdot \sum_{r(w')=z} \overline{m'_{i'}(w')} \overline{m_j(w')}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{w,w'} m'_i(w) \overline{m'_{i'}(w')} \cdot \sum_j \overline{m_j(w)} m_j(w')$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w,w'} m'_i(w) \overline{m'_{i'}(w')} \delta_{w,w'} = \delta_{ij'}$$

Note that we used the equality

$$\sum_{j} \overline{m_{j}(w)} m_{j}(w') = \delta_{ww'}$$

which follows from the fact that the matrix

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ m_i(w) \right]_{w \in r^{-1}(z)}^{i=0,\dots,N-1}$$

is unitary, which, in turn, is a consequence of the QMF property. Hence *A* is unitary.

If A is unitary, we check the QMF relations:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{r(w)=z} m'_{i}(w) \overline{m'_{j}(w)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w} \sum_{k} A_{ik}(r(w)) m_{k}(w) \sum_{l} \overline{A_{jl}(r(w))} m_{l}(w) =$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l} A_{ik}(z) \overline{A_{jl}(z)} \sum_{w} m_{k}(w) \overline{m_{l}(w)}$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} A_{jk}(z) \overline{A_{jl}(z)} \delta_{kl} = \delta_{ij}$$

Hence  $(m'_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  is a QMF basis. The fact that the two correspondences are inverse to each other follows from the next computation:

$$\sum_{j} A_{ij}(r(z))m_{j}(z) = \sum_{j} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{r(w)=r(z)} m'_{i}(w)\overline{m_{j}}(w)\right) m_{j}(z)$$
$$= \sum_{r(w)=r(z)} m'_{i}(w) \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \overline{m_{j}}(w)m_{j}(z)$$
$$= \sum_{r(w)=r(z)} m'_{i}(w)\delta_{wz} = m'_{i}(z)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

A general criterion for a family generated by the Cuntz isometries an orthonormal basis.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$  be a representation of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an orthonormal set in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $f: X \to \mathcal{H}$  a norm continuous function on a topological space X with the following properties:

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

1. 
$$\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i \mathcal{E}$$
.  
2.  $\overline{\text{span}} \{ f(t) : t \in X \} = \mathcal{H} \text{ and } ||f(t)|| = 1, \text{ for all } t \in X$ .  
3. There exist functions  $\mathfrak{m}_i : X \to \mathbb{C}, g_i : X \to X, i = 0, \dots, N-1$  such that

$$S_i^*f(t) = \mathfrak{m}_i(t)f(g_i(t)), \quad t \in X.$$
 (0.14)

- 4. There exist  $c_0 \in X$  such that  $f(c_0) \in \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{E}$ .
- 5. The only function  $h \in C(X)$  with  $h \ge 0$ , h(c) = 1,  $\forall c \in \{x \in X : f(x) \in \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{E}\}$ , and

$$h(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |\mathfrak{m}_i(t)|^2 h(g_i(t)), \quad t \in X$$
 (0.15)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

are the constant functions.

Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### Piecewise exponential bases on fractals

We consider affine iterated function systems with no overlap. Let *R* be a  $d \times d$  expansive real matrix, i.e., all the eigenvalues of *R* have absolute value strictly greater than 1.Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a finite set such that N = |B|. Define the affine iterated function system

$$\tau_b(x) = R^{-1}(x+b) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in B)$$
(0.16)

There exists a unique compact subset  $X_B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which satisfies the invariance equation

$$X_B = \cup_{b \in B} \tau_b(X_B) \tag{0.17}$$

### Piecewise exponential bases on fractals-cont'd

 $X_B$  is called the attractor of the iterated function system  $(\tau_b)_{b\in B}$ . Moreover  $X_B$  is given by

$$X_B = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} b_k : b_k \in B \text{ for all } k \ge 1 \right\}$$
(0.18)

There is a unique probability measure  $\mu_B$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying the invariance equation

$$\int f d\mu_B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b \in B} \int f \circ \tau_b d\mu_B \tag{0.19}$$

for all continuous compactly supported functions f on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We call  $\mu_B$  the invariant measure for the iterated function system (IFS)  $(\tau_b)_{b\in B}$ .  $\mu_B$  is supported on the attractor  $X_B$ .

### Piecewise exponential bases on fractals-cont'd

We say that the IFS has no overlap if  $\mu_B(\tau_b(X_B) \cap \tau'_b(X_B)) = \emptyset$  for all  $b \neq b'$  in *B*. Assume that the IFS  $(\tau_b)_{b \in B}$  has no overlap. Define the map  $r : X_B \to X_B$ 

$$r(x) = \tau_b^{-1}(x), \text{ if } x \in \tau_b(X_B)$$
 (0.20)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Then *r* is an *N*-to-1 onto map and  $\mu_B$  is strongly invariant for *r*. Note that  $r^{-1}(x) = \{\tau_b(x) : b \in B\}$  for  $\mu_B$ .a.e.  $x \in X_B$ .

### Piecewise exponential bases on fractals-cont'd

#### Definition

Let *L* in  $\mathbb{R}$ , |L| = N, R > 1 such that *L* is a spectrum for the set  $\frac{1}{R}B$ . We say that  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is an *extreme cycle point* for (B, L) if there exists  $l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{p-1}$  in *L* such that, if  $c_0 = c$ ,

 $c_1 = \frac{c_0 + l_0}{R}, c_2 = \frac{c_1 + l_1}{R} \dots c_{p-1} = \frac{c_{p-2} + l_{p-2}}{R}$  then  $\frac{c_{p-1} + l_{p-1}}{R} = c_0$ , and  $|m_B(c_i)| = 1$  for  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$  where

$$m_B(x) = rac{1}{N}\sum_{b\in B}e^{2\pi i b x} \quad x\in \mathbb{R}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Definition

We denote by  $L^*$  the set of all finite words with digits in L, including the empty word. For  $I \in L$  let  $S_I$  be given as in (0.8) where  $m_I$  is replaced by the exponential  $e_I$ . If  $w = l_1 l_2 \dots l_n \in L^*$ then by  $S_w$  we denote the composition  $S_{l_1} S_{l_2} \dots S_{l_n}$ .

#### Definition

We denote by  $L^*$  the set of all finite words with digits in L, including the empty word. For  $I \in L$  let  $S_I$  be given as in (0.8) where  $m_I$  is replaced by the exponential  $e_I$ . If  $w = l_1 l_2 \dots l_n \in L^*$ then by  $S_w$  we denote the composition  $S_{l_1} S_{l_2} \dots S_{l_n}$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \in B$ , |B| = N, R > 1 and let  $\mu_B$  be the invariant measure associated to the IFS  $\tau_b(x) = R^{-1}(x+b)$ ,  $b \in B$ . Assume that the IFS has no overlap and that the set  $\frac{1}{R}B$  has a spectrum  $L \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \in L$ . Then the set

 $\mathcal{E}(L) = \{S_w e_{-c} : c \text{ is an extreme cycle point for } (B, L), w \in L^*\}$ 

is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mu_B)$ . Some of the vectors in  $\mathcal{E}(L)$  are repeated but we count them only once.

#### Corollary

In the hypothesis of Theorem 8, if in addition B,  $L \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a set  $\Lambda$  such that  $\{e_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^{2}(\mu_{B})$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

#### Example

We consider the IFS that generates the middle third Cantor set: R = 3,  $B = \{0, 2\}$ . The set  $\frac{1}{3}\{0, 2\}$  has spectrum  $L = \{0, 3/4\}$ . We look for the extreme cycle points for (B, L). We need  $|m_B(-c)| = 1$  so  $|\frac{1+e^{2\pi/2c}}{2}| = 1$ , therefore  $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . Also c has to be a cycle for the IFS  $g_0(x) = x/3$ ,  $g_{3/4}(x) = \frac{x+3/4}{3}$  so  $0 \le c \le \frac{3/4}{3-1} = 3/8$ . Thus, the only extreme cycle is  $\{0\}$ . By Theorem 8  $\mathcal{E} = \{S_w 1 : w \in \{0, 3/4\}^*\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu_B)$ . Note also that the numbers  $e^{2\pi i\alpha(b,l,c)}$  are  $\pm 1$ because  $2\pi iB \cdot L \subset \pi i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Walsh Bases: We will focus on the unit interval, which can be regarded as the attractor of a simple IFS and we use step functions for the QMF basis to generate Walsh-type bases for  $L^2[0, 1]$ .

#### Example

The interval [0, 1] is the attractor of the IFS  $\tau_0 x = \frac{x}{2}$ ,  $\tau_1 x = \frac{x+1}{2}$ , and the invariant measure is the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1]. The map *r* defined is  $rx = 2x \mod 1$ . Let  $m_0 = 1$ ,  $m_1 = \chi_{[0,1/2)} - \chi_{[1/2,1)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\{m_0, m_1\}$  is a QMF basis. Therefore  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$  defined as in Proposition 5 form a representation of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \ge 2$ . Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $N \times N$  unitary matrix whose first row is constant  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ . Consider the IFS  $\tau_j x = \frac{x+j}{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , j = 0, ..., N - 1 with the attractor [0, 1] and invariant measure the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1]. Define

$$m_i(x) = \sqrt{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{ij} \chi_{[j/N,(j+1)/N]}(x)$$

Then  $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$  is a QMF basis. Consider the associated representation of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{E} := \{S_w 1 : w \in \{0, ... N - 1\}^*\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2[0, 1]$ .

#### Proof.

We check the conditions in Theorem 8. Let  $f(t) = e_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . To check (i) note that  $S_0 1 \equiv 1$ . (ii) is clear. For (iii) we compute:

$$S_k^* e_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{m_k}(\tau_j x) e_t(\tau_j x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{a_{kj}} e^{2\pi i t \cdot (x+j)/N}$$
$$= e^{2\pi i t \cdot x/N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{a_{kj}} e^{2\pi i t \cdot j/N}$$

So (iii) is true with  $\mathfrak{m}_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{a_{kj}} e^{2\pi i t \cdot j/N}$  and  $g_k(t) = \frac{t}{N}$ .

(iv) is true with  $c_0 = 0$ . For (v) take  $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $0 \le h \le 1$ , h(c) = 1 for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $e_c \in \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{E}$  (in particular h(0) = 1), and

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |\mathfrak{m}_k(t)|^2 h(t/N)$$
  
=  $h(t/N) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} |\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{kj} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot j/N}|^2$   
=  $h(t/N) \cdot \frac{1}{N} ||Av||^2$ 

where  $v = (e^{-2\pi i t \cdot j/N})_{j=0}^{N-1}$ . Since *A* is unitary,  $||Av||^2 = ||v||^2 = N$ . Then  $h(t) = h(t/N^n)$ . Letting  $n \to \infty$  and using the continuity of *h* we obtain that h(t) = 1 for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, Theorem 8 implies that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an orthonormal basis.

### Selected References

- D. E. Dutkay, G. Picioroaga and M.-S. Song, "Orthonomal Bases Generated by Cuntz Algebras," J. Math. Anal. Appl., Elsevier 409(2): 1128-1139, 2014.
- D.E. Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, "Wavelets on fractals," Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 22(1):131-180, 2006.
- J. D'Andrea, K. D. Merrill, and J. Packer, "Fractal wavelets of Dutkay-Jorgensen type for the Sierpinski gasket space," Frames and operator theory in analysis and signal processing, vol. 451 Contemp. Math.: 69–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Myung-Sin Song. Analysis of fractals, image compression, entropy encoding, Karhunen-Lo'eve transforms. Acta Appl. Math., 108(3):489-508, 2009.