Some relationships between the geometry of the tangent bundle and the geometry of the Riemannian base manifold

Guillermo HENRY^{*} and Guillermo KEILHAUER Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Abstract. We compute the curvature tensor of the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a natural metric and we get some relationships between the geometry of the base manifold and the geometry of the tangent bundle.

Keywords: Natural tensor fields · Tangent bundle · Riemannian manifolds **Mathematics Subject Clasification (2000):** 53C20 · 53B21 · 53A55

1 Introduction

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\pi : TM \longrightarrow M$ and $P: O(M) \longrightarrow M$ be the tangent and the orthonormal bundle over M respectively. In this paper we deal with certain class of Riemannian metrics on TM. A metric G belongs to this class if the canonical projection $\pi: (TM, G) \longrightarrow (M, q)$ is a Riemannian submersion, the horizontal distribution induced by the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) is orthogonal to the vertical distribution and G is the image by a natural operator of order two of the metric g. The Sasaki metric and the Cheeger-Gromoll metric are well known examples of these class of metrics, and there were extensively studied by Kowalski [7], Aso [2], Sekizawa [11], Musso and Tricerri [9], Gudmundsson and Kappos [4] among others. The notion of *natural* tensor on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold as a tensor that is the image by a natural operator of order two of the base manifold metric, was introduced and characterized by Kowalski and Sekizawa in [8]. In [3], Calvo and the second author showed that for a given Riemannian manifold (M, g), any (0, 2) tensor field on TM admits a global matrix representation. Using this one to one relationship, they defined and characterized, without making use of the theory of differential invariants, what they also called *natural tensor*. In the symmetric case this concept coincide with the one defined by Kowalski and Sekizawa. In [5], the first author gives a new approach of the concept of naturality, introducing the notion of s-space and λ -naturality. This approach avoids jets and natural operators theory and generalized the one given in [3] and [8].

^{*}G. Henry was supported by a doctoral fellowship of CONICET.

In section 2, we introduce natural metrics on TM by means of [3]. For any $q \in M$, let M_q be the tangent space of M at q. Let $\psi : N := O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow TM$ be the projection defined by

$$\psi(q, u, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi^{i} u_{i} \tag{1}$$

where $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is an orthonormal basis for M_q and $\xi = (\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known (see [9]), that for a fixed Riemannian metric G on TM a suitable Riemannian metric G^* on N can be defined such that $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$ is a Riemannian submersion. Based on this fact and the O'Neill formula, in Section 3, we compute the curvature tensor of (TM, G), when G is a natural metric. As an application, we get in Section 4 some relationships between the geometry of TM and the geometry of M. In [1] Abbassi and Sarih studied some relationships between the geometry of TM and the geometry of M, when TM is endowed with a g – natural metric. For example (Theorem 0.1) states that if (TM, G) is flat, then (M, g) is flat. Since in this paper we deal with a subclass of g – natural metrics we get Corollary 4.2 as a converse of this theorem. Throughout, all geometric objects are assumed to be differentiable, i.e. C^{∞} .

2 Preliminaries.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of g and $K: TTM \longrightarrow TM$ be the connection map induced by ∇ . For any $q \in M$ and $v \in M_q$, let $\pi_{*v}: (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q$ be the differential map of π at v, and $K_v: (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q$ be the restriction of K to $(TM)_v$.

Since the linear map $\pi_{*_v} \times K_v : (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q \times M_q$ defined by $(\pi_{*_v} \times K_v)(b) = (\pi_{*_v}(b), K_v(b))$ is an isomorphism that maps the horizontal subspace $(TM)_v^h = \ker K_v$ onto $M_q \times \{0_q\}$ and the vertical subspace $(TM)_v^v = \ker \pi_{*_v}$ onto $\{0_q\} \times M_q$, where 0_q denotes the zero vector, we define differentiable mappings $e_i, e_{n+i} : N = O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow TTM$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$ by

$$e_i(q, u, \xi) = (\pi_{*_v} \times K_v)^{-1}(u_i, 0_q),$$

$$e_{n+i}(q, u, \xi) = (\pi_{*_v} \times K_v)^{-1}(0_q, u_i).$$
(2)

The action of the orthonormal group O(n) of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ on N is given by the family of maps $R_a: N \longrightarrow N, a \in O(n), R_a(q, u, \xi) = (q, u.a, \xi.a)$ where $u.a = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_1^i u_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^i u_i)$ and $\xi.a = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_1^i \xi^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^i \xi^i)$. Clearly, $\psi \circ R_a = \psi$. It follows from (2) that

$$e_j(R_a(p, u, \xi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(p, u, \xi) a_j^i$$
 for $j = 1, \dots, n$

and

$$e_{n+j}(R_a(p, u, \xi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{n+i}(p, u, \xi)a_j^i$$
 for $j = 1, \dots, n$

For any (0,2) tensor field T on TM we define the differentiable function ${}^{g}T : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ as follows: If $(q, u, \xi) \in N$ and $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$, let ${}^{g}T(q, u, \xi)$ be the matrix of the bilinear form $T_v : (TM)_v \times (TM)_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced by T on $(TM)_v$ with respect to the basis $\{e_1(q, u, \xi), \ldots, e_{2n}(q, u, \xi)\}$. One sees easily that ${}^{g}T$ satisfies the following invariance property:

$${}^{g}T \circ R_{a} = (L(a))^{t} \cdot {}^{g}T \cdot L(a)$$

$$\tag{3}$$

where $L: O(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ is the map defined by

$$L(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, there is a one to one correspondence between the (0, 2) tensor fields on TM and differentiable maps ${}^{g}T$ satisfying (3).

A tensor field T on TM will be call *natural with respect to* g if ${}^{g}T$ depends only on the parameter ξ , (see [3]). In the sense of [5], the collection $\lambda = (N, \psi, O(n), \tilde{R}, \{e_i\})$ is a s-space over TM, with base change morphism L; and the natural tensors with respect to gare the λ -natural tensors with respect to TM.

Writing ^gT in the block form ^gT = $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_4 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A_i : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; it follows from Lemma 3.1 of [3], that T is natural if there exist differentiable functions $\alpha_i, \beta_i : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), such that

$$A_{i}(p, u, \xi) = \alpha_{i}(|\xi|^{2})Id_{n \times n} + \beta_{i}(|\xi|^{2})\xi^{t}.\xi$$

where $|\xi|$ denotes the norm of ξ induced by the canonical inner product of \mathbb{R}^n . In that case T is said to be a g – natural metric if in addition T is a Riemannian metric.

It is easy to check that a (0,2)- tensor field T on TM is a g-natural metric if and only if T is natural, $A_2 = A_4$, $\alpha_3(t) > 0$, $\alpha_1(t) \cdot \alpha_3(t) - \alpha_2^2(t) > 0$, $\phi_3(t) > 0$ and $\phi_1(t)\phi_3(t) - \phi_2^2(t) > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$; where $\phi_i(t) = \alpha_i(t) + t\beta_i(t)$ for i = 1, 2, 3.

In this paper we will call G a natural metric on TM if:

- 1. G is a Riemannian metric such that $\pi : (TM, G) \longrightarrow (M, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion.
- 2. For $v \in TM$, the subspaces $(TM)_v^v$ and $(TM)_v^h$ are orthogonals.
- 3. G is natural with respect to g.

It follows that G is a natural metric on TM if

$${}^{g}G(p,u,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n \times n} & 0\\ 0 & \alpha(|\xi|^{2}).Id_{n \times n} + \beta(|\xi|^{2})(\xi)^{t}.\xi \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

where $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable functions satisfying $\alpha(t) > 0$, and $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Remark 2.1 The Sasaki metric G_s corresponds to the case $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$; and the Cheeger-Gromoll metric G_{ch} to the case $\alpha(t) = \beta(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$.

3 Curvature equations.

In this section we compute the curvature tensor of TM endowed with a natural metric. Since this computation involves well known objects defined on N, we shall begin to describe them briefly using the connection map.

3.1 Canonical constructions on N.

Let θ^i , ω^i_j be the canonical 1-forms on O(M), which in terms of the connection map are defined as follows:

$$\theta^i(q,u)(b) = g_q\left(P_{*(q,u)}(b), u_i\right) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\omega_j^i(q, u)(b) = g_q\Big(K((\pi_j)_{*(q, u)}(b)), u_i\Big)$$
(6)

where $\pi_j: O(M) \longrightarrow TM$ is the j^{th} projection, i.e. $\pi_j(q, u) = u_j$ and $1 \le i, j \le n$.

From now on, let θ^i , ω^i_j , $d\xi^i$ be the pull backs of the canonical 1-forms on O(M) and the usual 1-forms on \mathbb{R}^n by the projections $P_1: N \longrightarrow O(M)$ and $P_2: N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively.

For any $z \in N$ let us denote by $V_z = \ker \psi_{*_z}$ and $H_z := \{b \in N_z : \omega_j^i(z)(b) = 0, 1 \le i < j \le n\}$ the vertical and the horizontal subspace of N_z respectively. By letting (see [9])

$$\theta^{n+i} = d\xi^i + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi^j . \omega_j^i \tag{7}$$

we get that for any $z \in N$, $\{\theta^1(z), \ldots, \theta^{2n}(z), \{\omega_j^i(z)\}\}$ is a basis for N_z^* and $V_z := \{b \in N_z : \theta^l(z)(b) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le l \le 2n\}.$

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n}, \{V_m^l\}_{1 \le l < m \le n}$ be the dual frame of $\{\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^{2n}, \{\omega_j^i\}\}$. These vector fields were constructed as follow: If $z = (q, u, \xi)$, let c_i be the geodesic that satisfies $c_i(0) = q$ and $\dot{c}_i(0) = u_i$. Let E_1^i, \ldots, E_n^i be the parallel vector fields along c_i such that $E_l^i(0) = u_l$. If we define $\gamma_i(t) = (c_i(t), E_1^i(t), \ldots, E_n^i(t), \xi)$, then

$$H_i(z) = \dot{\gamma}_i(z) \tag{8}$$

and

$$H_{n+i}(z) = (i_{(q,u)})_{*\xi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i}|_{\xi}\right) \tag{9}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $i_{(q,u)} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow N$ is the inclusion map given by $i_{(q,u)}(\xi) = (q, u, \xi)$.

Let $\sigma_z : O(n) \longrightarrow N$ be the map defined by $\sigma_z(a) = R_a(z) = z.a$. Since $V_z = \ker(\psi_{*z}) = (\sigma_z)_{*Id}(\mathfrak{o}(n))$, where \mathfrak{o} is the space of skew symmetric matrices of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let

$$V_m^l(z) = (\sigma_z)_{*_{id}}(A_m^l)$$
(10)

where $[A_m^l]_m^l = 1$, $[A_m^l]_l^m = -1$ and $[A_m^l]_j^i = 0$ otherwise. Hence,

$$\psi_{*z}(V_m^l(z)) = 0. \tag{11}$$

An easy check shows that

$$\psi_{*z}(H_i(z)) = e_i(z) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\psi_{*z}(H_{n+i}(z)) = e_{n+i}(z). \tag{13}$$

Let $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega^i_j \otimes \omega^i_j,$ if G is a Riemannian metric on TM then

$$G^* = \psi^*(G) + \omega \tag{14}$$

is also a Riemannian metric on N. It follows easily that $V_z \perp_{G^*} H_z$ and $\psi_{*z} : H_z \longrightarrow (TM)_{\psi(z)}$ is an isometry, therefore $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$ is a Riemannian submersion. We shall use this fact to compute the curvature tensor of (TM, G) when G is a natural metric.

Remark 3.1 Let X be a vector field on TM, the horizontal lift of X is a vector field X^h on N such that $X^h(z) \in H_z$ and $\psi_{*_z}(X^h(z)) = X(\psi(z))$. If $X(\psi(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x^i(z)e_i(z)$, from (11), (12) and (13) it follows that $X^h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x^i(z)H_i(z)$.

Proposition 3.2 For $1 \leq i, j, l, m \leq n$ let $R_{ijlm} : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the maps defined by $R_{ijlm}(q, u, \xi) = g(R(u_i, u_j)u_l, u_m)$, where R is the curvature tensor of (M, g). The Lie bracket on vertical and horizontal vector fields on N satisfies:

- a) $[H_i, H_j] = \sum_{l,m=1}^n R_{ijlm} \xi^m H_{n+l} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m=1}^n R_{ijlm} V_m^l.$
- b) $[H_i, H_{n+j}] = 0.$
- c) $[H_i, V_m^l] = \delta_{il} H_m \delta_{im} H_l.$
- d) $[H_{n+i}, H_{n+j}] = 0.$
- e) $[H_{n+i}, V_m^l] = \delta_{il}H_{n+m} \delta_{im}H_{n+l}.$
- $f) \ [V_j^i, V_m^l] = \delta_{il} V_{mj} + \delta_{jl} V_{im} + \delta_{im} V_{jl} + \delta_{jm} V_{li}.$
- g) If $f: N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function that depends only on the parameter ξ , then $H_i(f) = 0$ and $V_i^i(f) = \xi^i H_{n+j}(f) - \xi^j H_{n+i}(f)$.
- h) If X and Y are tangent vector fields on TM and $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$ then $[X^h, Y^h]^v|_{(q,u,\xi)} = \sum_{1 \le l < m \le n} g_q(R(\pi_*(X(v)), \pi_*(Y(v)))u_l, u_m)V_m^l(q, u, \xi).$

The proof is straightforward and follows by taking local coordinates in M and the induced one in TM and evaluating the forms θ^i , θ^{n+i} , ω^i_j on the fields $[H_r, H_s]$, $[H_r, V^l_m]$ and $[V^l_m, V^{l'}_{m'}]$ for $1 \leq r, s \leq 2n, 1 \leq l < m \leq n$ and $1 \leq l' < m' \leq n$.

3.2 The main result.

From now on, let \overline{R} and R^* be the curvature tensors of (TM, G) and (N, G^*) respectively. For simplicity we denote by \langle , \rangle the metrics G and G^* . Since $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$ is a Riemannian submersion, by the O'Neill formula (see [10]) we have that

$$<\bar{R}(X,Y)Z,W>\circ\psi =< R^{*}(X^{h},Y^{h})Z^{h},W^{h}>+\frac{1}{4}<[Y^{h},Z^{h}]^{v},[X^{h},W^{h}]^{v}>$$

$$(15)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}<[X^{h},Z^{h}]^{v},[Y^{h},W^{h}]^{v}>-\frac{1}{2}<[Z^{h},W^{h}]^{v},[X^{h},Y^{h}]^{v}>.$$

If $Y^{h}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y^{j}(z) H_{i}(z), \ Z^{h}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} z^{k}(z) H_{i}(z)$ and $W^{h}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} w^{l}(z) H_{i}(z)$, then the first term of the right side of equality (15) is

$$< R^*(X^h, Y^h)Z^h, W^h > = \sum_{ijkl=1}^{2n} x^i y^j z^k w^l < R^*(H_i, H_j)H_k, H_l > N$$

On the other hand, if $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$, it follows from Proposition 3.2 (part h) that

$$< [X^{h}, Y^{h}]^{v}, [Z^{h}, W^{h}]^{v} > |_{(q, u, \xi)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r, s=1}^{n} < R(\pi_{*}(X(v)), \pi_{*}(Y(v)))u_{r}, u_{s} > . < R(\pi_{*}(Z(v)), \pi_{*}(W(v)))u_{r}, u_{s} > .$$
(16)

Remark 3.3 In order to compute $\langle \overline{R}(X(v), Y(v))Z(v), W(v) \rangle$ it is sufficient to evaluate the right side of (15) on points of N of the form z = (q, u, t, 0, ..., 0) such that $v = \psi(z)$, where t = |v|, and where |v| is the norm induced by the metric g.

Let $f: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable map, from now on, let us denote by $\dot{f}(t)$ the derivate of f at t.

Theorem 3.4 Let G be a natural metric on TM. Let α and β be the functions that characterizes G. If $1 \le i, j, k, l \le n$ and $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a) &< R^*(H_i(z), H_j(z))H_k(z), H_l(z)) > = \\ & t^2 \alpha(t^2) \sum_{r=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R_{ijr1}(z)R_{klr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{ilr1}(z)R_{kjr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{jlr1}(z)R_{ikr1}(z) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{1 \le r < s \le n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R_{ijr1}(z)R_{klrs}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{ilr1}(z)R_{kjrs}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{jlr1}(z)R_{ikrs}(z) \right\} + R_{ijkl}(z). \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $\epsilon_{ijkl} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}$, then

b.1) If no index is equal to one, then

$$< R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+l}(z) >= \epsilon_{ijkl}F(t^2)$$

where $F: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow {\rm I\!R}$ is defined by

$$F(t) = \frac{\alpha(t)\beta(t) - t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 - 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)}.$$
(17)

b.2) If some index equals one, for example l = 1, then

$$< R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+1}(z) >= \epsilon_{ijk1}H(t^2)$$

where $H:[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow {\rm I\!R}$ is defined by

$$H(t) = \phi(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln(\alpha \Delta)|_t - 2\dot{\phi}(t)$$
(18)

and
$$\phi(t) = \alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t), \ \Delta(t) = \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)$$

$$\begin{split} c) &< R^*(H_i(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+l}(z) >= 0. \\ d) &< R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_k(z), H_l(z) >= \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha(t^2) + (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})\beta(t^2)t^2)R_{ijkl}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i1}(\beta(t^2) - 2\dot{\alpha}(t^2))t^2R_{klj1}(z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j1}(2\dot{\alpha}(t^2) - \beta(t^2))t^2R_{kli1}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4}\sum_{r=1}^n \{R_{krj1}(z)R_{rli1}(z) - R_{kri1}(z)R_{rlj1}(z)\}. \\ e) &< R^*(H_i(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_k(z), H_{n+l}(z) >= \\ &\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t^2)R_{kilj}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4}\sum_{r=1}^n R_{krj1}(z)R_{ril1}(z) + \frac{t^2}{2}(\delta_{j1} + \delta_{l1})\dot{\alpha}(t^2)(R_{kil1}(z) - R_{kij1}(z)). \\ f) &< R^*(H_i(z), H_j(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_l(z)) >= \\ &\frac{\alpha(t^2)t}{2}\{<\nabla_D R(E_j^i(s), E_j^l(s))E_j^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 > - <\nabla_D R(E_i^j(s), E_i^l(s))E_i^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 > \} \end{split}$$

The proof follows from the Koszul formula and Proposition 3.2 and it involves a lot of calculation. For more details we refer the reader to [6] pages 132-151.

Theorem 3.5 The curvature tensor \overline{R} evaluated on $e_i(z)$, $e_{n+i}(z)$ satisfies:

$$a) < \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_k(z), e_l(z) > = t^2 \alpha(t^2) \sum_{r=1}^n \{\frac{1}{2}R_{ijr1}(z)R_{klr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4}R_{ilr1}(z)R_{kjr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4}R_{jlr1}(z)R_{ikr1}(z)\} + R_{ijkl}(z).$$

b) b.1) If no index is equal to one, then

$$<\bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z)>=\epsilon_{ijkl}.F(t^2).$$
 (19)

b.2) If some index equals one, for example l = 1, then

$$<\bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+1}(z)>=\epsilon_{ijk1}.H(t^2).$$
 (20)

$$\begin{split} c) &< \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z) >= 0. \\ d) &< \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_k(z), e_l(z) >= \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\Big(2\alpha(t^2) + (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})\beta(t^2)t^2\Big)R_{ijkl}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i1}\Big(\beta(t^2) - 2\dot{\alpha}(t^2)\Big)t^2R_{klj1}(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j1}\Big(2\dot{\alpha}(t^2) - \beta(t^2)\Big)t^2R_{kli1}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4}\sum_{r=1}^n\{R_{krj1}(z)R_{rli1}(z) - R_{kri1}(z)R_{rlj1}(z)\}. \\ e) &< \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_k(z), e_{n+l}(z) >= \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t^2)R_{kilj}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4}\sum_{r=1}^n R_{krj1}(z)R_{ril1}(z) + \frac{t^2}{2}(\delta_{j1} + \delta_{l1})\dot{\alpha}(t^2)(R_{kil1}(z) - R_{kij1}(z)). \\ f) &< \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_l(z)) >= \\ &\quad \frac{\alpha(t^2)t}{2}\{<\nabla_D R(E_j^i(s), E_j^l(s))E_j^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 > - <\nabla_D R(E_i^j(s), E_i^l(s))E_i^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 > \} \end{split}$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and follows form Theorem 3.4 and equality (15). \Box

The functions F and H satisfy the following proposition:

Proposition 3.6 Let $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions such that $\alpha(t) > 0$ and $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$. If F is the zero function, then:

- $i) \ \beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}.$
- *ii)* $\alpha(t)(\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)) = (t\dot{\alpha}(t) + \alpha(t))^2$.
- *iii)* $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0.$
- iv) H(t) = 0 for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof. Assertion i) follows from equality (17) and ii) is a consequence of i). Equality ii) shows that $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and since $\alpha(0) + 0.\dot{\alpha}(0) = \alpha(0) > 0$, then we get iii). Equality ii) says that $\alpha.\Delta = \phi^2$, and assertion iii) says that $\phi > 0$. Therefore, from equality (18) we get that H = 0.

Corollary 3.7 Let $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions such that $\alpha(t) > 0$, $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0$ and $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$ if $t \ge 0$. If H is the zero function, then it is also F.

Proof. Since $\phi > 0$ and H = 0, the equality (18) implies that $\ln(\alpha \Delta) = \ln(\phi^2) + C$ for some constant C. In particular $2\ln(\alpha(0)) = 2\ln(\alpha(0)) + C$, hence C = 0. Since $\alpha \Delta = \phi^2$, we obtain that F = 0.

4 Geometric consequences of curvature equations.

In this section the Riemannian metric G on TM is assumed natural. As throughout all the paper, G is characterized by the functions α and β . As in Remark 3.3, if $v \in TM$, let $z = (q, u, t, 0, ..., 0) \in N$ such that $\psi(z) = v$ and t = |v|. From Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 we get inmediatly

Corollary 4.1 (Theorem 0.1, [1]) If (TM, G) is flat then (M, g) is flat.

Proof. It follows from part a) of Theorem 3.5 by setting t = 0.

Corollary 4.2 If dim $M \ge 3$, (TM, G) is flat if and only if (M, g) is flat and

$$\beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}$$

Proof. Assume that (TM, G) is flat. From Theorem 3.5 part b.1) and $1 < i < j \le n$ we have that

$$<\bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z),e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+i}(z),e_{n+j}(z)>=-F(t^2)$$

Therefore F = 0, and the desired equality on β follows from Proposition 3.6 part i).

Assuming that (M,g) is flat and $\beta(t) = \left(t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)\right)/\alpha(t)$, we only need to show that

$$<\bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z)>=0$$
(21)

for $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2n$. The other cases also satisfies (21) because R = 0. Equality on β implies that F = 0, therefore by Proposition 3.6 part iv) we have that H = 0, and equality (21) is satisfied.

We get also the following result:

Corollary 4.3 If dim M = 2, (TM, G) is flat if and only if (M, g) is flat and H = 0.

Remark 4.4 Let $\alpha(t) > 0$ be a differentiable function that satisfies $t\dot{\alpha}(t) + \alpha(t) > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ and define $\beta(t) = (t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)/\alpha(t))$. If we consider the natural metric G induced by α and β , then (TM, G) is flat if (M, g) is flat.

Remark 4.5 The above Corollaries generalizes the well known fact that (TM, G_s) is flat if and only if (M, g) if flat (Kowalski [7], Aso [2]). This fact follows from the Corollaries taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$.

We will denote by K and \overline{K} the sectional curvatures of (M, g) and (TM, G) respectively.

Theorem 4.6 Let $v \in TM$ and $z = (q, u, t, 0, ..., 0) \in N$ such that $\psi(z) = v$ (t = |v|). We have the following expression for the sectional curvature of (TM, G):

a) For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$:

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha(t^2)|R(u_i, u_j)v|^2.$$

b) b.1) If $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$

$$\bar{K}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{F(t^2)}{(\alpha(t^2))^2}.$$

b.2) If
$$2 \le i \le n$$

$$\bar{K}(e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{H(t^2)}{\alpha(t^2)(\alpha(t^2) + t^2\beta(t^2))}.$$

c) For
$$1 \le i, j \le n$$
:

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{\alpha(t^2)}{4} |R(u_j, v)u_i|^2.$$

In particular $\overline{K}(e_i, e_{n+1}) = 0$ if $1 \le i \le n$ because $v = tu_1$.

Proof. From equality (4) we get that $\{e_1(z), \ldots, e_{2n}(z)\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $(TM)_v$ such that $\langle e_i(z), e_j(z) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ if $1 \leq i, j \leq n, \langle e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+1}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2) + t^2\beta(t^2)$ and $\langle e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2)$ if $2 \leq i \leq n$. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$. By setting k = j and l = i in equation a) of Theorem 3.5 we have that

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = -\langle \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_j(z), e_i(z) \rangle = R_{ijji}(z) - \frac{3}{4}t^2\alpha(t^2)\sum_{r=1}^n R_{ij1r}^2(z)$$

Since $K(u_i, u_j) = R_{ijji}(z)$ and $v = tu_1$, we can write

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha(t^2)|R(u_i, u_j)v|^2.$$

Part b) follows directly from equations b.1) and b.2) of Theorem 3.5.

Since $|e_i(z)| = 1$ and $\langle e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z) \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, from Theorem 3.5 equation e), we see that

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = -\frac{(\alpha(|v|^2))^2 |v|^2}{4(\alpha(|v|^2) + \delta_{j1}\beta(|v|^2)|v|^2)} \sum_{r=1}^n R_{irj1}(z) R_{rij1}(z)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n \left[g(R(u_j, u_1|v|)u_i, u_r) \right]^2 = \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4} |R(u_j, v)u_i|^2.$$

Corollary 4.7

- i) (TM,G) is never a manifold with negative sectional curvature.
- ii) If \overline{K} is constant, then (TM, G) and (M, g) are flat.
- iii) If \overline{K} is bounded and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} t\alpha(t) = +\infty$, then (M,g) is flat.
- iv) If $c \leq \overline{K} \leq C$ (possibly $c = -\infty$ and $C = +\infty$), then $c \leq K \leq C$.

Proof. Assertions i), ii) and ii) follow from Theorem 4.6 part c). Let $q \in M$ and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ be an orthonormal basis for M_q . Then, if we consider $z = (q, u, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $v = 0_q$, from Theorem 4.6 part a) we have that $\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j)$ and part iv) holds. Also ii) follows from Theorem 3.5) part a) taking t = 0.

Corollary 4.8 Let (M, g) be a manifold of constant sectional curvature K_0 and TM endowed with a natural metric G, then we have for z = (q, u, t, 0, ..., 0) and $\psi(z) = v$ that

a)
$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K_0 - \frac{3}{4}(K_0)^2 \alpha(|v|^2)(\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})|v|^2$$
 with $i \neq j$.
b) $\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4}K_0|v|^2(\delta_{ij} + \delta_{i1}).$

The vertical case $\bar{K}(e_{n+i}, e_{n+j})$ is as Theorem 4.6 part b).

From Theorem 4.6 we get the following result

Corollary 4.9 Let G_1 and G_2 be two natural metrics on TM such that are characterized by the functions $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,2}$ and $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1,2}$ respectively. If $\bar{K}_1(u)(V,W) = \bar{K}_2(u)(V,W)$ for all $u \in TM$ and $V, W \in (TM)_u$ and (M, g) is not flat, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Remark 4.10 Let $G_{+\exp}$ and $G_{-\exp}$ be the natural metrics on TM defined by

$${}^{g}G_{+\exp}(q,u,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n\times n} & 0\\ 0 & A^{+}(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \quad and \quad {}^{g}G_{-\exp}(q,u,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n\times n} & 0\\ 0 & A^{-}(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A^+(\xi) = e^{|\xi|^2} (Id_{n \times n} + \xi^t . \xi)$ and $A^-(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2} (Id_{n \times n} + \xi^t . \xi)$. We call $G_{+\exp}$ and $G_{-\exp}$ the positive and negative exponential metric.

It is known ([11]) that TM endowed with the Cheeger-Gromoll metric is never a manifold of constant sectional curvature. Theorem 4.6 applied to $G_{+\exp}$ and $G_{-\exp}$ shows that these metrics satisfy the same property.

4.1 Ricci tensor and scalar curvature.

Let Ricc and $\bar{R}icc$ be the Ricci tensor of (M, g) and (TM, G) respectively. We will denote by S and \bar{S} the scalar curvature of (M, g) and (TM, G).

Theorem 4.11 For $1 \le i, j \le n$ and z = (q, u, t, 0..., 0) we have the following expressions for $\overline{R}icc$:

a)
$$\bar{R}icc(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \le r, l \le n} R_{irl1}(z) R_{jrl1}(z) + Ricc(u_i, u_j).$$

b) $\bar{R}icc(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \le r \le n} \left\{ < \nabla_D R(E_r^i, E_r^r) E_r^j |_{s=0}, u_1 > - < \nabla_D R(E_i^r, E_i^r) E_i^j |_{s=0}, u_1 > \right\}.$

c) c.1) If $2 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\bar{R}icc(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z)) = \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{1 \le r, l \le n} R_{rli1}^2(z) + \frac{(n-2)}{\alpha(t^2)} F(t^2) + \frac{1}{\alpha(t^2) + t^2 \beta(t^2)} H(t^2).$$

c.2) If $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$, then

$$\bar{R}icc(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{1 \le r, l \le n} R_{rli1}(z) R_{rlj1}(z).$$

c.3) If $1 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$\bar{R}icc(e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{(n-1)}{\alpha(t^2)} H(t^2)\delta_{j1}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{e}_1(z), \ldots, \bar{e}_{2n}(z)$ be the orthonormal basis for $(TM)_v$ induced by the orthogonal basis $e_1(z), \ldots, e_{2n}(z)$, where $\psi(z) = v$. For $X, Y \in (TM)_v$ we have that

$$\bar{R}icc(X,Y) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} < \bar{R}(X,\bar{e}_l(z))\bar{e}_l(z), Y > .$$

Equalities a), b) and c) follow directly from Theorem 3.5 and the fact that $\langle e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+1}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2) + t^2\beta(t^2)$ and $\langle e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2)$ if $2 \leq i \leq n$. \Box

In [1], it is shown in the general g-Riemannian natural case that if (TM, G) is Einstein then (M, g) is Einstein. In our situation we have **Corollary 4.12** If (TM, G) is Einstein, then (M, g) and (TM, G) are flats.

Proof. Let c be a constant such that Ricc = cG. In order to prove that R = 0, it is enough to show that for any $q \in M$ and any orthonormal basis $u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ for M_q the following equalities are satisfied

$$< R(u_i, u_r)u_l, u_1 >= 0$$
 (22)

for $1 \leq i, r, l \leq n$. Let $v \in M_q$, $v \neq 0$ and $z = (q, u, t, 0, ..., 0) \in N$ such that $\psi(z) = tu_1 = v$. Since $G(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = \delta_{ij}$ if $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, from Theorem 4.11 part a) we have that

$$c\delta_{ij} = -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \le r, l \le n} R_{irl1}(z)R_{jrl1}(z) + Ricc(u_i, u_j).$$
(23)

Taking t = 0, we get that $Ricc(u_i, u_j) = c\delta_{ij}$. Replacing these values for i = j in (23) we obtain that

$$0 = -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \le r, l \le n} (\langle R(u_i, u_r)u_l, u_1 \rangle)^2$$

for $t \ge 0$ and equality (22) is satisfied. Since Ricc = c.g and R = 0, it follows that $\bar{R}icc = 0$. Using that (TM, G) is Ricci flat and R = 0, from Theorem 4.11 parts c.1) and c.3) one gets that H = F = 0. From Theorem 3.5 we have that $\bar{R} = 0$.

Remark 4.13 It is easy to see from Theorem 4.11 that if (M,g) is not flat or if not exists a constant k such that $H(t) = k\alpha(t)$ and $(n-2)[\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)]F(t) = \alpha(t)k\Big[(n-2)\alpha(t) + (n-1)t\beta(t)\Big]$, then $\bar{R}icc$ is not a λ – natural tensor (see [5]).

Corollary 4.14 Let $v \in TM$ and $z = (\pi(v), u_1, \ldots, u_n, t, 0, \ldots, 0) \in N$ such that $v = u_1 t$. The scalar curvature of (TM, G) at v is given by

$$\bar{S}(v) = S(\pi(v)) - \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{irl=1}^n R_{irl1}^2(z) + \frac{2(n-1)}{\alpha(t^2) \left(\alpha(t^2) + \beta(t^2)t^2\right)} H(t^2) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{(\alpha(t^2))^2} F(t^2).$$

Proof. Since $\{\bar{e}_1(z), \ldots, \bar{e}_{2n}(z)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $(TM)_v$ and the scalar curvature $\bar{S}(v) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} Ricc(\bar{e}_l(z), \bar{e}_l(z))$, the expression for \bar{S} follows straightforward from Theorem 4.11.

Remark 4.15 Corollary 4.14 applied to $G_{+\exp}$ and $G_{-\exp}$ reads:

$$S_{+\exp}(v) = S(\pi(v)) - (n-1)e^{-|v|^2} \frac{\left[2 + (n-2)(1+|v|^2)\right]}{(1+|v|^2)}$$

$$-\frac{e^{|v|^2}}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^n |R(u_i, u_j)v|^2$$

and

$$S_{-\exp}(v) = S(\pi(v)) + \frac{(n-1)e^{|v|^2}}{1+|v|^2} \Big[(n-2)(3-|v|^2) + \frac{6+2|v|^2}{1+|v|^2} \Big] - \frac{e^{-|v|^2}}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |R(u_i, u_j)v|^2.$$

Proposition 4.16 If (M, g) is a manifold of constant sectional curvature K_0 , then

$$S_{+\exp}(v) = (n-1) \Big\{ K_0 \Big(n - \frac{K_0}{2} |v|^2 e^{|v|^2} \Big) - e^{-|v|^2} \frac{\Big[2 + (n-2)(1+|v|^2) \Big]}{(1+|v|^2)} \Big\}.$$

and

$$S_{-\exp}(v) = (n-1) \Big\{ K_0 \Big(n - \frac{K_0}{2} |v|^2 e^{-|v|^2} \Big) + \frac{e^{|v|^2}}{1+|v|^2} \Big[(n-2)(3-|v|^2) + \frac{6+2|v|^2}{1+|v|^2} \Big] \Big\}.$$

Corollary 4.17 Let (M, g) be a flat manifold, then we have that:

a) $S_{+\exp} < 0.$ b) If dim M = 2, then $S_{-\exp} > 0.$ c) If dim ≥ 3 , $S_{-\exp}(v) > 0$ if and only if $0 \le |v|^2 < \frac{(n-1)+\sqrt{4(n-2)n+1}}{n-2}.$ d) If dim ≥ 3 , $S_{-\exp}(v) = 0$ if and only if $|v|^2 = \frac{(n-1)+\sqrt{4(n-2)n+1}}{n-2}.$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.16.

Remark 4.18 In [1], it is shown (Theorem 0.3) that if G is a g-natural metric on TM and (TM, G) has constant scalar curvature, then (M, g) has constant scalar curvature. In our case, this property follows immediately from Corollary 4.14, taking t = 0. We can see that if (TM, G) has constant scalar curvature \overline{S} and F = 0, then (TM, G) is flat. If F = 0 by Proposition 3.6, H = 0, and by Corollary 4.14 it follows that R = 0. Finally, from Theorem 3.5 we get that (TM, G) is flat.

References

- Abbassi, M. T. and Sarih, M., On some hereditary properties of Riemannian g-natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl., 22, (2005), 1:19-47.
- [2] Aso, K., Notes on some properties of the sectional curvature of the tangent bundle, Yokohama Math. J., 29, (1981), 1-5.
- [3] Calvo, M.C. and Keilhauer, G.R.: Tensor field of type (0,2) on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold. Geometriae Dedicata 71, (1998), 209-219.
- [4] Gudmundsson S. and Kappos E., On the geometry of the tangent bundle with the Cheeger-Gromoll metric, Tokyo J. Math. 25, (2002), 1:75-83.
- [5] Henry, G., A new formalism for the study of natural tensors of type (0,2) on manifolds and fibrations., JP Journal of Geometry and Topology, (2011), 11 2:147-180. http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.2062
- [6] Henry, G., Tensores naturales sobre variedades Vfibraciones., Doctoral Thesis. Universidad de Buenos Aires (2009)(In Spanish). http://digital.bl.fcen.uba.ar/Download/Tesis/Tesis_4540_Henry.pdf
- [7] Kowalski O., Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold, J. Reine Angew.Math. 250, (1971), 124-129.
- [8] Kowalski, O. and Sekisawa, M., Natural transformation of Riemannian metrics on manifolds to metrics on tangent bundles- a classification. Bull Tokyo Gakugei. Univ. 4, (1988), 1-29.
- [9] Musso, E. and Tricerri, F., Riemannian metrics on the tangent bundles, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.(4), 150, (1988), 1-19.
- [10] O'Neill, B., The fundamental equations of a submersion. Michigan Math. J., 13, (1966), 459-469.
- [11] Sekizawa, M., Curvatures of the tangent bundles with Cheeger-Gromoll metric, Tokyo J. Math, 14 (1991), 2:407-417.

Present Addresses:

Guillermo Henry

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, BUENOS AIRES, C1428EHA, ARGENTINA, *e-mail address*: ghenry@dm.uba.ar

Guillermo Keilhauer

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, BUENOS AIRES, C1428EHA, ARGENTINA, *e-mail address*: wkeilh@dm.uba.ar