

The Baum-Connes conjecture for the dual of $SU_q(2)$

Christian Voigt

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster
cvoigt@math.uni-muenster.de
www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/cvoigt

VASBI 2006 Valladolid
September 6, 2006

The Baum-Connes conjecture

The Baum-Connes conjecture

Let G be a second countable locally compact group. The *Baum-Connes conjecture* asserts that the assembly map

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

Let G be a second countable locally compact group. The *Baum-Connes conjecture* asserts that the assembly map

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here

$$K_*^{\text{top}}(G) = K_*^G(\mathcal{E}G)$$

is the equivariant K -homology of the universal proper G -space.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

Let G be a second countable locally compact group. The *Baum-Connes conjecture* asserts that the assembly map

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here

$$K_*^{\text{top}}(G) = K_*^G(\mathcal{E}G)$$

is the equivariant K -homology of the universal proper G -space.

The conjecture is true in many cases - no counterexample is known.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

Let G be a second countable locally compact group. The *Baum-Connes conjecture* asserts that the assembly map

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here

$$K_*^{\text{top}}(G) = K_*^G(\mathcal{E}G)$$

is the equivariant K -homology of the universal proper G -space.

The conjecture is true in many cases - no counterexample is known.

What happens if G is a locally compact *quantum* group?

Recapitulation and motivation

Recapitulation and motivation

Meyer and Nest have reformulated the Baum-Connes conjecture using the language of triangulated categories and derived functors. This yields

Recapitulation and motivation

Meyer and Nest have reformulated the Baum-Connes conjecture using the language of triangulated categories and derived functors. This yields

- ▶ a better understanding of the (classical) conjecture
- ▶ a framework to define and investigate assembly maps in other situations

Recapitulation and motivation

Meyer and Nest have reformulated the Baum-Connes conjecture using the language of triangulated categories and derived functors. This yields

- ▶ a better understanding of the (classical) conjecture
- ▶ a framework to define and investigate assembly maps in other situations

In particular, Meyer and Nest formulate and prove a generalization of the Baum-Connes conjecture for duals of compact groups.

Recapitulation and motivation

Recapitulation and motivation

In this talk, we explain how to prove the corresponding conjecture for the dual of the quantum $SU(2)$ -group of Woronowicz.

Recapitulation and motivation

In this talk, we explain how to prove the corresponding conjecture for the dual of the quantum $SU(2)$ -group of Woronowicz.

This is a *true* quantum group - no classical algebraic topology is available anymore.

Recapitulation and motivation

In this talk, we explain how to prove the corresponding conjecture for the dual of the quantum $SU(2)$ -group of Woronowicz.

This is a *true* quantum group - no classical algebraic topology is available anymore.

Why is one interested in such a result?

- ▶ serves as an interesting "test case" for the machinery of Meyer-Nest
- ▶ yields a conceptual approach to compute certain K -theory groups
- ▶ might lead to new insights in the theory of quantum groups

Locally compact quantum groups

Locally compact quantum groups

If G is a locally compact *abelian* group, then the dual of G is the group \widehat{G} of all continuous group homomorphisms $\chi : G \rightarrow U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}$.

If G is a locally compact *abelian* group, then the dual of G is the group \hat{G} of all continuous group homomorphisms $\chi : G \rightarrow U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (Pontrjagin duality)

The dual group of \hat{G} is canonically isomorphic to G .

If G is a locally compact *abelian* group, then the dual of G is the group \hat{G} of all continuous group homomorphisms $\chi : G \rightarrow U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (Pontrjagin duality)

The dual group of \hat{G} is canonically isomorphic to G .

Historically, the operator algebra approach to quantum groups grew out of attempts to generalize the Pontrjagin duality theorem to non-abelian locally compact groups.

Locally compact quantum groups

- ▶ *Duality for compact groups* - Tannaka (1938)
- ▶ *Kac algebras* - Kac-Vainerman, Enock-Schwartz (1973)
- ▶ $SU_q(2)$ - Woronowicz (1987)
- ▶ *examples of locally compact quantum groups* - Woronowicz

- ▶ *Duality for compact groups* - Tannaka (1938)
- ▶ *Kac algebras* - Kac-Vainerman, Enock-Schwartz (1973)
- ▶ $SU_q(2)$ - Woronowicz (1987)
- ▶ *examples of locally compact quantum groups* - Woronowicz

Definition (Kustermans-Vaes (1999))

A *locally compact quantum group* is a C^* -algebra H together with a comultiplication $\Delta : H \rightarrow M(H \otimes H)$ and left and right Haar integrals.

Locally compact quantum groups

Examples

- ▶ A basic example is the algebra $C_0(G)$ of functions on a locally compact group G . The comultiplication $\Delta : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_b(G \times G)$ is given by

$$\Delta(f)(s, t) = f(st)$$

and the integrals are given by left/right Haar measure.

Examples

- ▶ A basic example is the algebra $C_0(G)$ of functions on a locally compact group G . The comultiplication $\Delta : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_b(G \times G)$ is given by

$$\Delta(f)(s, t) = f(st)$$

and the integrals are given by left/right Haar measure.

- ▶ Another basic example is the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ of G . The comultiplication is given by

$$\Delta(\lambda_t) = \lambda_t \otimes \lambda_t$$

and the left and right Haar integral ϕ satisfies $\phi(f) = f(e)$ where $f \in C_c(G) \subset C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ and $e \in G$ is the identity.

Pontrjagin duality

Pontrjagin duality

For every locally compact quantum group H one can construct a *dual quantum group* \hat{H} .

Pontrjagin duality

For every locally compact quantum group H one can construct a *dual quantum group* \hat{H} .

Theorem (Pontrjagin duality)

The dual quantum group of \hat{H} is canonically isomorphic to H .

Pontrjagin duality

For every locally compact quantum group H one can construct a *dual quantum group* \hat{H} .

Theorem (Pontrjagin duality)

The dual quantum group of \hat{H} is canonically isomorphic to H .

Remark

If G is a locally compact group then the quantum groups $C_0(G)$ and $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ are dual to each other.

If in addition G is abelian then $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \cong C_0(\hat{G})$ where \hat{G} is the dual group.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is a deformation of the compact Lie group $SU(2)$.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is a deformation of the compact Lie group $SU(2)$.

We will work with

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is a deformation of the compact Lie group $SU(2)$.

We will work with

- ▶ its algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ of polynomial functions

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ is a deformation of the compact Lie group $SU(2)$.

We will work with

- ▶ its algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ of polynomial functions
- ▶ its C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ of continuous functions

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

Definition

Fix $q \in (0, 1]$. The unital $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ (over \mathbb{C}) is generated by elements α and γ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\gamma &= q\gamma\alpha, & \alpha\gamma^* &= q\gamma^*\alpha, & \gamma\gamma^* &= \gamma^*\gamma, \\ \alpha^*\alpha + \gamma^*\gamma &= \mathbf{1}, & \alpha\alpha^* + q^2\gamma\gamma^* &= \mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}$$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

Definition

Fix $q \in (0, 1]$. The unital $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ (over \mathbb{C}) is generated by elements α and γ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\gamma &= q\gamma\alpha, & \alpha\gamma^* &= q\gamma^*\alpha, & \gamma\gamma^* &= \gamma^*\gamma, \\ \alpha^*\alpha + \gamma^*\gamma &= 1, & \alpha\alpha^* + q^2\gamma\gamma^* &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

These relations are equivalent to saying that the fundamental matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The *comultiplication* $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ is defined by

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The *comultiplication* $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ is defined by

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

The *counit* ϵ and the *antipode* S of $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ are defined by the formulas

$$\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* & \gamma^* \\ -q\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

on generators.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The *comultiplication* $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ is defined by

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

The *counit* ϵ and the *antipode* S of $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ are defined by the formulas

$$\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* & \gamma^* \\ -q\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

on generators.

In this way $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ becomes a *Hopf- $*$ -algebra*.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ can be completed uniquely to a C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$. This yields a (locally) compact quantum group.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ can be completed uniquely to a C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$. This yields a (locally) compact quantum group.

- ▶ For $q = 1$ one obtains in this way the algebras $\mathcal{O}(SU(2))$ and $C(SU(2))$ of polynomial and continuous functions on $SU(2)$, respectively.

The quantum group $SU_q(2)$

The $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ can be completed uniquely to a C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$. This yields a (locally) compact quantum group.

- ▶ For $q = 1$ one obtains in this way the algebras $\mathcal{O}(SU(2))$ and $C(SU(2))$ of polynomial and continuous functions on $SU(2)$, respectively.
- ▶ The antipode does not extend to $C(SU_q(2))$ for $q \neq 1$

In addition to $SU_q(2)$ we need...

The Podleś sphere

The Podleś sphere

The *maximal torus* $T = S^1 \subset SU_q(2)$ is given by the projection $\pi : C(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow C(T)$ given by

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Podleś sphere

The *maximal torus* $T = S^1 \subset SU_q(2)$ is given by the projection $\pi : C(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow C(T)$ given by

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The (standard) *Podleś sphere* is the homogenous space $SU_q(2)/T$ given by the algebra of coinvariants

$$C(SU_q(2)/T) = \{x \in C(SU_q(2)) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi)\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1\}$$

under right translations.

The Podleś sphere

The *maximal torus* $T = S^1 \subset SU_q(2)$ is given by the projection $\pi : C(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow C(T)$ given by

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The (standard) *Podleś sphere* is the homogenous space $SU_q(2)/T$ given by the algebra of coinvariants

$$C(SU_q(2)/T) = \{x \in C(SU_q(2)) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi)\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1\}$$

under right translations.

We remark that for $q \in (0, 1)$ one has $C(SU_q(2)/T) \cong \mathbb{K}^+$. There is an algebraic version $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)/T)$ as well.

...coming back to the Baum-Connes conjecture

...coming back to the Baum-Connes conjecture

The formulation of a Baum-Connes conjecture for quantum groups is based on the work of Meyer and Nest.

...coming back to the Baum-Connes conjecture

The formulation of a Baum-Connes conjecture for quantum groups is based on the work of Meyer and Nest.

We are interested in the *dual quantum group* \hat{G} for $G = SU_q(2)$.

...coming back to the Baum-Connes conjecture

The formulation of a Baum-Connes conjecture for quantum groups is based on the work of Meyer and Nest.

We are interested in the *dual quantum group* \hat{G} for $G = SU_q(2)$.

...what is the Baum-Connes conjecture in this situation?

The equivariant Kasparov category

The equivariant Kasparov category

The *equivariant Kasparov category* KK^H of a (second countable, regular) locally compact quantum group H is the following triangulated category.

The equivariant Kasparov category

The *equivariant Kasparov category* KK^H of a (second countable, regular) locally compact quantum group H is the following triangulated category.

- ▶ objects in KK^H are all separable H - C^* -algebras.

The equivariant Kasparov category

The *equivariant Kasparov category* KK^H of a (second countable, regular) locally compact quantum group H is the following triangulated category.

- ▶ objects in KK^H are all separable H - C^* -algebras.
- ▶ morphism sets are the bivariant Kasparov K -groups $KK^H(A, B)$, and composition of morphisms is given by Kasparov product.

The equivariant Kasparov category

The *equivariant Kasparov category* KK^H of a (second countable, regular) locally compact quantum group H is the following triangulated category.

- ▶ objects in KK^H are all separable H - C^* -algebras.
- ▶ morphism sets are the bivariant Kasparov K -groups $KK^H(A, B)$, and composition of morphisms is given by Kasparov product.
- ▶ The (inverse of the) *suspension* $\Sigma(A) = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A$ yields the translation functor.

The equivariant Kasparov category

The *equivariant Kasparov category* KK^H of a (second countable, regular) locally compact quantum group H is the following triangulated category.

- ▶ objects in KK^H are all separable H - C^* -algebras.
- ▶ morphism sets are the bivariant Kasparov K -groups $KK^H(A, B)$, and composition of morphisms is given by Kasparov product.
- ▶ The (inverse of the) *suspension* $\Sigma(A) = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A$ yields the translation functor.
- ▶ Distinguished *triangles* are all triangles isomorphic to mapping cone triangles

$$\Sigma(B) \rightarrow C_f \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$$

for equivariant $*$ -homomorphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

The Baum-Connes conjecture

In the sequel we let $q \in (0, 1]$ and write $G = SU_q(2)$ as well as \hat{G} for its dual.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

In the sequel we let $q \in (0, 1]$ and write $G = SU_q(2)$ as well as \hat{G} for its dual.

The discrete quantum group \hat{G} is *torsion-free*. The *proper homogenous* \hat{G} -algebra corresponding to the trivial subgroup is $C^*(G)$. We write \mathcal{P} for the localizing subcategory of $KK^{\hat{G}}$ generated by algebras of the form $C^*(G) \otimes A$ where A is some C^* -algebra and the coaction inherited from $C^*(G)$.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

In the sequel we let $q \in (0, 1]$ and write $G = SU_q(2)$ as well as \hat{G} for its dual.

The discrete quantum group \hat{G} is *torsion-free*. The *proper homogenous* \hat{G} -algebra corresponding to the trivial subgroup is $C^*(G)$. We write \mathcal{P} for the localizing subcategory of $KK^{\hat{G}}$ generated by algebras of the form $C^*(G) \otimes A$ where A is some C^* -algebra and the coaction inherited from $C^*(G)$.

Definition

A torsion-free discrete quantum group Γ satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture if $\mathcal{P} = KK^{\Gamma}$.

The Baum-Connes conjecture

In the sequel we let $q \in (0, 1]$ and write $G = SU_q(2)$ as well as \hat{G} for its dual.

The discrete quantum group \hat{G} is *torsion-free*. The *proper homogenous* \hat{G} -algebra corresponding to the trivial subgroup is $C^*(G)$. We write \mathcal{P} for the localizing subcategory of $KK^{\hat{G}}$ generated by algebras of the form $C^*(G) \otimes A$ where A is some C^* -algebra and the coaction inherited from $C^*(G)$.

Definition

A torsion-free discrete quantum group Γ satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture if $\mathcal{P} = KK^{\Gamma}$.

Theorem

Let $G = SU_q(2)$. Then \hat{G} satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture.

Outline of the proof

Outline of the proof

The idea is to mimick the proof of Meyer-Nest in the case $q = 1$.

Outline of the proof

The idea is to mimick the proof of Meyer-Nest in the case $q = 1$.

Let us concentrate on the essential part of the argument.

Theorem

We have $\mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{P} \subset KK^{\hat{G}}$.

Outline of the proof

The idea is to mimick the proof of Meyer-Nest in the case $q = 1$.

Let us concentrate on the essential part of the argument.

Theorem

We have $\mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{P} \subset KK^{\hat{G}}$.

Theorem (Baaj-Skandalis)

The reduced crossed product functor $KK^{\hat{G}} \rightarrow KK^G$ is an equivalence of categories.

As a consequence, in order to prove $\mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{P}$ it suffices to show $C(G) \in \langle \mathbb{C} \rangle \in KK^G$.

Outline of the proof

Outline of the proof

We have $C(G) \in \langle C(G/T) \rangle$ in KK^G - this follows from (the validity of) the Baum-Connes conjecture for \hat{T} and induction. Hence it suffices to show

Outline of the proof

We have $C(G) \in \langle C(G/T) \rangle$ in KK^G - this follows from (the validity of) the Baum-Connes conjecture for \hat{T} and induction. Hence it suffices to show

Theorem

We have $C(G/T) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ in KK^G .

In the case $q = 1$ this follows from equivariant *Poincaré duality* for G/T . This duality result is no longer available for $q \neq 1$.

Outline of the proof

We have $C(G) \in \langle C(G/T) \rangle$ in KK^G - this follows from (the validity of) the Baum-Connes conjecture for \hat{T} and induction. Hence it suffices to show

Theorem

We have $C(G/T) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ in KK^G .

In the case $q = 1$ this follows from equivariant *Poincaré duality* for G/T . This duality result is no longer available for $q \neq 1$.

We need two results concerning the equivariant K -theory and K -homology of the Podleś sphere G/T .

K -theory of the Podleś sphere

K -theory of the Podleś sphere

The group T acts on the homogenous space G/T from the left. We want to determine the T -equivariant K -groups of the algebra $C(G/T)$.

K -theory of the Podleś sphere

The group T acts on the homogenous space G/T from the left. We want to determine the T -equivariant K -groups of the algebra $C(G/T)$.

Natural elements in $K_0^T(C(G/T))$ are given by the $\mathcal{O}(G/T)$ -modules

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi)\Delta(x) = x \otimes z^{-k}\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows from *Hopf-Galois theory* that these modules are finitely generated and projective.

Geometrically, $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k)$ corresponds to an *induced bundle* on G/T .

K-theory of the Podleś sphere

Theorem

For $G = SU_q(2)$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(T) \otimes_{R(G)} R(T) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K_*^T(C(G/T)) \\ \downarrow E & & \downarrow i \\ \bigoplus_{w \in W} R(T) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}} & K_*^T(C(W)) \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map λ is an isomorphism.

Here $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the (classical) Weyl group. The map λ in this diagram is the left $R(T)$ -linear map defined by

$$\lambda(1 \otimes z^k) = \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k)$$

K-theory of the Podleś sphere

Theorem

For $G = SU_q(2)$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(T) \otimes_{R(G)} R(T) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K_*^T(C(G/T)) \\ \downarrow E & & \downarrow i \\ \bigoplus_{w \in W} R(T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_*^T(C(W)) \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map λ is an isomorphism.

Here $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the (classical) Weyl group. The map λ in this diagram is the left $R(T)$ -linear map defined by

$$\lambda(1 \otimes z^k) = \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k)$$

The proof is a (lengthy) computation involving the equivariant Chern character $ch_0^T : K_0^T \rightarrow HP_0^T$.

Remark

- ▶ It follows that the group $K_0^T(C(G/T))$ is a free $R(T)$ -module generated by $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_0)$ and $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{-1})$.

Remark

- ▶ It follows that the group $K_0^T(C(G/T))$ is a free $R(T)$ -module generated by $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_0)$ and $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{-1})$.
- ▶ The Weyl group W acts on $K_*^T(C(G/T))$. This action does not come from an action of W on the C^* -algebra $C(G/T)$.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

We want to describe a family $(\mathcal{E}_I, \phi_I, F_I)$ of even G -equivariant Fredholm modules over $C(G/T)$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

We want to describe a family $(\mathcal{E}_I, \phi_I, F_I)$ of even G -equivariant Fredholm modules over $C(G/T)$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- ▶ the Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}_I = \mathcal{E}_I^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}_I^-$ is the completion of

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{I-1}) \oplus \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{I+1})$$

with respect to the scalar product induced by $L^2(G)$.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

We want to describe a family $(\mathcal{E}_I, \phi_I, F_I)$ of even G -equivariant Fredholm modules over $C(G/T)$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- ▶ the Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}_I = \mathcal{E}_I^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}_I^-$ is the completion of

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{I-1}) \oplus \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{I+1})$$

with respect to the scalar product induced by $L^2(G)$.

- ▶ The representation ϕ_I of $C(G/T)$ is induced by left multiplication on the induced bundles.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

- ▶ According to *Frobenius reciprocity* one has

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_j) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_{|j|/2+m}$$

where V_j denotes the irreducible representation of $SU_q(2)$ of dimension $2j + 1$. The operator F_l is defined by the matrix

$$F_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S : \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{l+1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{l-1})$ is the natural isometry.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

- ▶ According to *Frobenius reciprocity* one has

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_j) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_{|j|/2+m}$$

where V_j denotes the irreducible representation of $SU_q(2)$ of dimension $2j + 1$. The operator F_l is defined by the matrix

$$F_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S : \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{l+1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{l-1})$ is the natural isometry.

Proposition

This data defines an equivariant Fredholm module $F_l = (\mathcal{E}_l, \phi_l, F_l)$ over $C(G/T)$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark

These Fredholm modules represent *twisted Dirac operators* on G/T . For $l = 0$ the corresponding Dirac operator has been defined and studied by Dabrowski-Sitarz.

Equivariant Fredholm modules for the Podleś sphere

Remark

These Fredholm modules represent *twisted Dirac operators* on G/T . For $l = 0$ the corresponding Dirac operator has been defined and studied by Dabrowski-Sitarz.

Theorem

The Kasparov product $\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k) \circ F_l \in KK_0^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = R(G)$ is given by

$$\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_k) \circ F_l = \begin{cases} V_{(k+l-1)/2} & \text{for } k+l > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } k+l = 0 \\ -V_{-(k+l-1)/2} & \text{for } k+l < 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

... completing the proof of the main theorem

... completing the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ Define elements $\alpha \in KK_0^G(C(G/T), \mathbb{C}^{|W(G)|})$ by

$$\alpha = F_0 \oplus F_{-1}$$

and $\beta \in KK_0^G(\mathbb{C}^{|W(G)|}, C(G/T))$ by

$$\beta = \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_1) \oplus -\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_0)$$

... completing the proof of the main theorem

- ▶ Define elements $\alpha \in KK_0^G(C(G/T), \mathbb{C}^{|W(G)|})$ by

$$\alpha = F_0 \oplus F_{-1}$$

and $\beta \in KK_0^G(\mathbb{C}^{|W(G)|}, C(G/T))$ by

$$\beta = \Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_1) \oplus -\Gamma(G \times_T \mathbb{C}_0)$$

- ▶ Compute $\beta \circ \alpha = 1$ using the previous theorem and $\alpha \circ \beta = 1$ using in addition induction, UCT for KK^T and the description of $K_*^T(C(G/T))$ obtained before. \square

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

The K -theory of the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ was computed by Masuda-Nakagami-Watanabe.

Using the validity of the Baum-Connes conjecture for the dual of $SU_q(2)$ one reobtains their result easily.

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

The K -theory of the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ was computed by Masuda-Nakagami-Watanabe.

Using the validity of the Baum-Connes conjecture for the dual of $SU_q(2)$ one reobtains their result easily.

Theorem

Let $q \in (0, 1]$. For $G = SU_q(2)$ the K -theory of $C(G)$ is given by

$$K_0(C(G)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_1(C(G)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

The K -theory of the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ was computed by Masuda-Nakagami-Watanabe.

Using the validity of the Baum-Connes conjecture for the dual of $SU_q(2)$ one reobtains their result easily.

Theorem

Let $q \in (0, 1]$. For $G = SU_q(2)$ the K -theory of $C(G)$ is given by

$$K_0(C(G)) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_1(C(G)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. In $KK^{\mathbb{Z}}$ one has

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma C_0(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{i} & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{1-S} & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow D & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Sigma C_0(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{i} & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{1-S} & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

Applying the crossed product functor yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma C_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \Sigma \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma C(T) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{1-z} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

in KK^T .

We apply the induction functor ind_T^G and obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_1(C(G)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow K_0(C(G)) \longrightarrow 0$$

K-theory of $C(SU_q(2))$

Identify $K_0^T(C(G/T))$ with the free $R(T)$ -module generated by $1 \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes z$ in $R(T) \otimes_{R(G)} R(T)$. It follows that multiplication by z corresponds to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & z^{-1} + z \end{pmatrix}$$

in $M_2(R(T))$. Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

represents the map induced by $1 - z$ in $\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. One checks that $\ker(1 - z) = \{(k, -k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Coker}(1 - z) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Remarks

- ▶ The Baum-Connes conjecture for a torsion-free discrete group G implies the *Kadison-Kaplansky* conjecture: There are no nontrivial idempotents in $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$.
This is not true for discrete quantum groups, $C(SU_q(2))$ contains lots of nontrivial idempotents.

- ▶ The Baum-Connes conjecture for a torsion-free discrete group G implies the *Kadison-Kaplansky* conjecture: There are no nontrivial idempotents in $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$.
This is not true for discrete quantum groups, $C(SU_q(2))$ contains lots of nontrivial idempotents.

- ▶ The method of the above proof should also work for q -deformations of other classical Lie groups.