

# How analysis and topology interact in bivariant K-theory

Ralf Meyer

VASBI

ICM Satellite Conference on  
K-theory and Noncommutative Geometry  
5.8.2006

## The goal

- We will review some basic properties of bivariant K-theory for  $C^*$ -algebras, focussing on its universal property and universal coefficient theorems.
- We will see a general scheme for the study of *Assembly Maps* and *Universal Coefficient Theorems* in the context of  $C^*$ -algebra K-theory:
  - the original problem is replaced by a *localisation* that is purely topological;
  - the original problem and its localisation are related using analysis.

## 1 Triangulated categories of $C^*$ -algebras

### 1.1 Kasparov Theory and E-theory

- We want to view Kasparov Theory as a  $C^*$ -algebraic analogue of the derived category of *motives*.
- A functor  $F$  from the category  $C^*$  of (separable)  $C^*$ -algebras to an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  is called

**stable** if  $F(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\mathbb{K}(\ell^2\mathbb{N}) \otimes A)$ ;

**homotopy invariant** if  $F(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(C([0, 1], A))$ ;

**split-exact** if it is exact on *split* extensions;

**exact** if it is *half*-exact on all extensions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $C^* \rightarrow \mathbf{KK}$  and  $C^* \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  be the *universal* functors that are stable and **split-exact** or **exact**.

**Theorem 2** (Higson). *stable* & *split-exact*  $\implies$  *homotopy invariant*

*Question 3.* Do  $\mathbf{KK}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  exist? — Yes, obviously. Can we describe  $\mathbf{KK}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  explicitly?

## Some historic comments

**Atiyah** tried to construct *K-homology via elliptic differential operators*.

**Brown-Douglas-Fillmore** related *K-homology* to  $C^*$ -algebra extensions.

**Kasparov** defined *KK via generalised elliptic operators* and related it to extensions.

**Cuntz** described  $\text{KK}(A, B)$  as the set of homotopy classes of  $*$ -homomorphisms  $qA \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{K}$  or  $q(A \otimes \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow q(B \otimes \mathbb{K})$ , where  $qA = \ker(A \sqcup A \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \text{id})} A)$ , and almost stated its universal property.

**Higson** stated the *universal property* of  $\text{KK}$  and defined  $\text{E}$  via its universal property.

**Connes-Higson** realised  $\text{E}(A, B)$  using *asymptotic morphisms*

$$A \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}).$$

## Equivariant generalisations

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group.

**Definition 4.** A functor  $F$  on  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras is called *stable* if

$$A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \leftarrow A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

are isomorphisms for all  $G$ -Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 5.**  $\text{KK}^G$  and  $\text{E}^G$  are the universal stable (split) exact functors on the category of  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras.

## References

- [1] R. Meyer. Equivariant Kasparov theory and generalized homomorphisms. *K-theory* 21, 2000.

## Properties of $\text{KK}$ and $\text{E}$

- *Bott periodicity*
- $\text{KK}^G$  is exact for *certain* extensions.
- $\text{KK}_*(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong \text{K}_*(A)$  and  $\text{E}_*(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong \text{K}_*(A)$ .
- $\text{KK}^G$  and  $\text{E}^G$  are *triangulated* categories, with triangles defined by *mapping cone* triangles.
- $\text{KK}^G$  and  $\text{E}^G$  are *tensor* triangulated categories.
- The universal property yields a natural transformation  $\text{KK}_*^G(A, B) \rightarrow \text{E}_*^G(A, B)$ , which is an isomorphism if  $G$  is trivial and  $A$  is nuclear.

- *Universal Coefficient Theorem*: If  $A$  is KK-equivalent to a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, then there is a natural exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}(\mathbb{K}_*(A), \mathbb{K}_{1-*}(B)) \rightarrow \text{KK}_*(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{K}_*(A), \mathbb{K}_*(B)).$$

- Is there a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra for which this fails?

### Commutative versus non-commutative topology

- Separable *commutative*  $C^*$ -algebras are equivalent to pointed *compact* metrisable spaces.
- The thick subcategory of KK where the UCT holds is equivalent to a full subcategory of a similar localisation of the *stable homotopy category*.
- Its right-orthogonal complement is the thick subcategory  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras with  $\mathbb{K}_*(A) = 0$ .
- This subcategory is *not* tractable by topological methods.  
*Question 6*. Does  $\mathcal{N}$  have any non-zero compact objects?  
What are the thick subcategories of  $\mathcal{N}$ ?

### What is the analogy to motives?

- $\text{KK}^G$  and  $\text{E}^G$  are *universal* homology theories (for suitable notions of homology theory).
- We need and have a more *concrete description*.
- They form *triangulated tensor categories*.
- *Correspondences* from  $X$  to  $Y$  generate  $\text{KK}_*(C_0(X), C_0(Y))$  (Connes-Skandalis).

$$\begin{aligned} - & Y \xleftarrow[\text{K-oriented}]{f} Z \xrightarrow[\text{proper}]{g} X \\ - & f_! \in \text{KK}_*(C_0(Z), C_0(Y)) \quad g^* \in \text{KK}_*(C_0(X), C_0(Z)) \\ - & f_! \circ g^* \in \text{KK}_*(C_0(X), C_0(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

### Other triangulated categories

- Relaxing our requirements for homology theories further, we can define other universal triangulated categories of  $C^*$ -algebras.
- *Thom*: add homotopy invariance, replace stability by *matrix-stability*, get *connective* version of E-theory which is still functorial for *finite* correspondences.
- Other *alternative*: require stability for compact operators and suspensions, homotopy invariance, and Puppe exact sequences

## 2 Assembly maps with spaces and $C^*$ -algebras

### 2.1 Classifying spaces and homotopy quotients

Idea: replace a badly behaved groupoid by a homotopy equivalent one with better properties (free, proper)

$$\begin{array}{ll} EG \text{ universal free proper } G\text{-space} & BG \cong G \backslash EG \\ \mathcal{E}G \text{ universal } & \text{proper } G\text{-space} & \mathcal{B}G \cong G \backslash \mathcal{E}G \end{array}$$

**Definition 7.** Homotopy quotient:  $G \backslash (X \times EG)$  Alternative:  $G \backslash (X \times \mathcal{E}G)$

*Example 8* (Homeomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow X$ ). • take  $E\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{E}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{R}$

- homotopy quotient = *mapping torus*  $X \times [0, 1] / (0, x) \sim (1, f(x))$

#### Homotopy quotients and localisation

- $EG \rightarrow \star$  is *non-equivariant* homotopy equivalence
- $X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2$  *non-equivariant* homotopy equivalence  $\iff X_1 \times EG \xrightarrow{f_*} X_2 \times EG$   
*G-equivariant* homotopy equivalence
- Passage to  $X \times EG$  *localises* at non-equivariant homotopy equivalences.
- $\mathcal{E}G \rightarrow \star$  is *H-equivariant* homotopy equivalence  $\forall H \subseteq G$  compact
- $X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2$  *H-equivariant* homotopy equivalence  $\forall H \subseteq G$  compact  $\iff X_1 \times \mathcal{E}G \xrightarrow{f_*} X_2 \times \mathcal{E}G$   
*G-equivariant* homotopy equivalence
- Passage to  $X \times \mathcal{E}G$  *localises* at equivariant homotopy equivalences with respect to compact subgroups.

#### Range of the localisation

- $X \times EG \rightarrow X$  is a *G-homotopy equivalence*  $\iff X$  is free and proper *G-space*
- $X \times \mathcal{E}G \rightarrow X$  is a *G-homotopy equivalence*  $\iff X$  is proper *G-space*
- Summing up, up to *G-homotopy equivalence* the functors  $\_ \times EG$  and  $\_ \times \mathcal{E}G$  retract the category of *G-spaces* onto the subcategory of (**free and**) proper *G-spaces*.

## 2.2 Transition to $C^*$ -algebras

### Transition to $C^*$ -algebras

- we have no maps  $C_0(X \times EG) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  or  $C_0(X \times \mathcal{E}G) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  because  $X \mapsto C_0(X)$  is only functorial for proper maps.
- If we use the pro- $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\mathcal{E}G) = \varprojlim C(K)$ , where  $K$  runs through the compact subsets of  $\mathcal{E}G$ , we do get a map  $C(X \times \mathcal{E}G) \rightarrow C(X)$ , but there is no crossed product  $G \rtimes C(X \times \mathcal{E}G)$ .
- *Solution*: replace spaces by *duals* in  $\text{KK}^G$ :

**Definition 9.** A  $G$ -equivariant *dual* for a  $G$ -space  $X$  is a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $P_X$  for which there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\text{RKK}_*^G(X; A, B) \cong \text{KK}_*^G(P_X \otimes A, B)$$

compatible with tensor products.

### What is $\text{RKK}^G$ ?

- Consider the *transformation groupoid*  $G \ltimes X$ .
- $G \ltimes X$ - $C^*$ -algebras are  $G$ -equivariant bundles of  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$ .
- Can define  $\text{KK}^{G \ltimes X}$  and  $\text{E}^{G \ltimes X}$  by universal properties, have similar properties as  $\text{KK}$  and  $\text{E}$ .
- A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  yields a constant bundle  $C_0(X, A)$  over  $X$ .
- $\text{RKK}_*^G(X; A, B) = \text{KK}^{G \ltimes X}(C_0(X, A), C_0(X, B))$

### Properties of duals

- If  $X$  is compact, then  $\text{RKK}_*^G(X; A, B) \cong \text{KK}_*^G(A, C(X, B))$ .
- $\text{RKK}_*(X; \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  is the representable K-theory of  $X$ .
- A Cantor set has *no* dual.
- If  $X$  is a smooth spin manifold with isometric action of  $G$  preserving the spin structure, then  $C_0(X)$  is a  $G$ -equivariant dual for  $X$ .
- Any locally finite, countable, finite-dimensional *simplicial complex* with simplicial action of  $G$  has a  $G$ -equivariant dual.
- $X \mapsto \text{RKK}_*^G(X; A, B)$  is a homotopy invariant contravariant functor.
- $X \mapsto P_X$  is covariant functor
- Get  $\text{RKK}_*^G(X; A, B) \cong \text{KK}_*^G(P_X \otimes A, B)$  from

$$\begin{aligned} D &\in \text{KK}_*^G(P_X, \mathbb{C}), && \text{Dirac morphism} \\ \Theta &\in \text{RKK}_*^G(X; \mathbb{C}, P_X), && \text{local dual Dirac morphism.} \end{aligned}$$

## Dual of $\mathcal{E}G$

- $\forall$  locally compact groups  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{E}G$  has a dual.
- $\mathcal{E}G \rightarrow \star$  induces  $D \in \text{KK}^G(P_{\mathcal{E}G}, \mathbb{C})$  (*Dirac morphism*)
- $D$  becomes invertible in  $\text{RKK}_*^G(\mathcal{E}G; P_{\mathcal{E}G}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{KK}_*^H(P_{\mathcal{E}G}, \mathbb{C})$  for compact subgroups  $H \subseteq G$
- The inverse is the *local dual Dirac*  $\Theta \in \text{RKK}_*^G(\mathcal{E}G; \mathbb{C}, P_X)$ .
- $D \otimes \text{id}_A \in \text{KK}^G(P_{\mathcal{E}G} \otimes A, A)$  localises  $\text{KK}^G$  at the weak equivalences.
- $D \otimes \text{id}_A$  invertible  $\iff A$   $\text{KK}^G$ -equivalent to proper  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra
- $\text{K}_*(G \ltimes_r (P_{\mathcal{E}G} \otimes A)) \rightarrow \text{K}_*(G \ltimes_r A)$  is the *Baum-Connes assembly map* with coefficients  $A$ .

## 2.3 How coarse geometry comes into play

### Global dual Dirac

**Definition 10.** (Global) *dual Dirac*:  $\eta \in \text{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, P_{\mathcal{E}G})$  with  $p_{\mathcal{E}G}^*(\eta) = \Theta$

- equivalent:  $D \otimes \eta = 1_{P_{\mathcal{E}G}}$  in  $\text{KK}^G(P_{\mathcal{E}G}, P_{\mathcal{E}G})$
- $\eta$  exists  $\implies$  the assembly map is *split injective* with section induced by  $\eta$ .
- The existence of  $\eta$  is a *geometric* property of  $G$ :

**Theorem 11** (Emerson and Meyer). *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be torsion-free discrete groups with finite-dimensional  $BG$ . If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are coarsely equivalent and  $G_1$  has a dual Dirac, so has  $G_2$ .*

- *Idea of proof*: existence of dual Dirac is equivalent to invertibility of

$$p_{\mathcal{E}G}^*: \text{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, C_0(G)) \rightarrow \text{RKK}^G(\mathcal{E}G; \mathbb{C}, C_0(G)).$$

This map only depends on the coarse space underlying  $G$ .

## Summary

Our treatment of assembly maps for group actions fits into a general scheme:

- We want to compute some homology theory for  $C^*$ -algebras.
- First we *localise* the homology theory at a suitable class of weak equivalences.
- This should replace the problem by another one that is tractable by methods from algebraic topology.
- The comparison of the localised and the original problem will probably involve some analysis and special geometric properties of the setup.
- This is how Kasparov theory allows us to prove statements that cannot be proven purely topologically.