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#### Abstract

For a Banach space $E$ we define the class $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ of $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomials, where $K$ is a bounded subset of $E^{\prime}$. We investigate properties of $K$ which relate the space $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ with usual subspaces of $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. We prove that $K$-bounded polynomials are approximable when $K$ is a compact set where the identity can be uniformly approximated by finite rank operators. The same is true when $K$ is contained in the absolutely convex hull of a weakly null basic sequence of $E^{\prime}$. Moreover, in this case we prove that every $K$-bounded polynomial is extendible to any larger space.


## 1. Introduction

If $E$ is a Banach space and $K$ is a bounded subset of its dual, we say that a scalar valued $N$-homogeneous polynomial $P$ on $E$ is $K$-bounded if there is a positive constant $C$ such that the inequality $|P(x)| \leq C \sup \left\{|\gamma(x)|^{N}: \gamma \in K\right\}$ holds for all $x \in E$. Note that continuity is equivalent to $B_{E^{\prime}}$-boundedness, and also (see Proposition 3.2) that finite type polynomials correspond to $K$-bounded with $K$ finite.

A result of E. Toma [12] (see also [5]) states that a continuous homogeneous polynomial is weakly continuous on bounded sets if and only if it is $K$-bounded for some compact set $K$. Our interest in $K$-bounded polynomials was originally motivated by this result. The closure of the space of finite type polynomials is the space of 'approximable' polynomials and is a subspace of the space of polynomials which are weakly continuous on bounded sets. Thus, we set out to clarify the relationship between 'approximable' and ' $K$-bounded' (with $K$ something between 'finite' and 'compact'). We obtain several sufficient conditions for approximability of a polynomial and are naturally led to consider also the problem of extendibility (to any larger Banach space) of $K$ bounded polynomials for several types of subsets $K$ of $E^{\prime}$. Note that all finite type polynomials (and even all integral polynomials [6]) are extendible, but the same does not hold for approximable polynomials.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we set our notation and give a few basic properties about $K$-bounded polynomials, as well as a new (isometric) version of a result of Aron and Galindo regarding the Aron-Berner extension of a $K$-bounded polynomial. Section 3 is devoted to the search for conditions on $K$ which ensure approximability and extendibility.

## 2. Basic properties

Throughout, $E$ will be a Banach space over the scalar field $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and $E^{\prime}$ will denote its dual space. The space of continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomials from $E$ into $\mathbb{K}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. This is a Banach space with the norm $\|P\|=\sup \{|P(x)|:\|x\| \leq 1\}$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, $\stackrel{\vee}{P}$ will denote the continuous symmetric $N$-linear form associated with $P$.

A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ is said to be of finite type if there exists a finite subset $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of $E^{\prime}$ such that

$$
P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}^{N}(x)
$$

for complex $E$. When $E$ is a real Banach space and $N$ is even, the representation must take into account the signs, so $P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}^{N}(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_{i}^{N}(x)$. We will denote by $\mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ the space of $N$-homogeneous polynomials of finite type and its closure, in $\left(\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|\right)$, by $\mathcal{P}_{c}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. Polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_{c}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ will be called approximable.
$\mathcal{P}_{w}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ will denote the space of polynomials which are weakly continuous on bounded sets. This is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{N} E\right) \subset \mathcal{P}_{c}\left({ }^{N} E\right) \subset \mathcal{P}_{w}\left({ }^{N} E\right) \subset \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be a bounded subset of $E^{\prime}$. For $x \in E$, we define

$$
\|x\|_{K}=\sup _{\gamma \in K}|\gamma(x)|
$$

which is a continuous semi-norm on $E$.

Definition 2.1. We say that an $N$-homogeneous polynomial $P$ is $K$-bounded if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(x)| \leq C\|x\|_{K}^{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ in $E$. The smallest constant $C$ that verifies (2) is called $\|P\|_{K}$.
Since $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ is a continuous semi-norm on $E$, every $K$-bounded polynomial is continuous. The space of $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomials will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. On $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}$ is a norm and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$ is a Banach space.

We also say that an $N$-linear form $\Phi: E^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is $K$-bounded if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)\right| \leq C\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{K} \cdots\left\|x_{N}\right\|_{K} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in E^{N}$ and $\|\Phi\|_{K}$ will be the smallest constant $C$ verifying (3).
Clearly, every $K$-bounded $\Phi$ is continuous. From the polarization formula, we have the following inequalities

$$
\|P\|_{K} \leq\|\stackrel{\vee}{P}\|_{K} \leq \frac{N^{N}}{N!}\|P\|_{K}
$$

It follows that there exists a one to one correspondence between $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomials and $K$-bounded symmetric $N$-linear forms.

Remark 2.2. For every $x, y \in E$, and every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, if $\|x-y\|_{K}=0$, then $P(x)=P(y)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|P(x)-P(y)| \leq & |\stackrel{\vee}{P}(x, \ldots, x)-\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, x, \ldots, x)|+|\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, x, \ldots, x)-\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, y, x, \ldots, x)| \\
& +\cdots+|\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, \ldots, y, x)-\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, \ldots, y)| \\
\leq & \|\stackrel{\vee}{P}\|_{K}\|x-y\|_{K}\|x\|_{K}^{N-1}+\|\stackrel{\vee}{P}\|_{K}\|x-y\|_{K}\|y\|_{K}\|x\|_{K}^{N-2} \\
& +\cdots+\|\stackrel{\vee}{P}\|_{K}\|x-y\|_{K}\|y\|_{K}^{N-1} \\
\leq & N\|\stackrel{\vee}{P}\|_{K}\|x-y\|_{K} \max \left\{\|x\|_{K},\|y\|_{K}\right\}^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3. Since $K_{1} \subset K_{2} \subset E^{\prime}$ implies $\|x\|_{K_{1}} \leq\|x\|_{K_{2}}$ for all $x \in E$, every $K_{1}$-bounded polynomial $P$ is $K_{2}$-bounded, with

$$
\|P\|_{K_{2}} \leq\|P\|_{K_{1}}
$$

Also, if $K \subset E^{\prime}$ and $\widehat{K}=\overline{\Gamma(K)}$ is its closed, convex, balanced hull, then $\|x\|_{K}=\|x\|_{\widehat{K}}$ for all $x \in E$. Indeed, let $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma(K)$, say $\gamma_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}$, where $\gamma_{i} \in K, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq 1$. Then, for all $x \in E$, we have

$$
\left|\gamma_{0}(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}(x)\right| \leq \sup _{j=1, \ldots, n}\left|\gamma_{j}(x)\right| \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq \sup _{\gamma \in K}|\gamma(x)|=\|x\|_{K}
$$

and

$$
\|x\|_{\widehat{K}}=\sup _{\gamma \in \widehat{K}}|\gamma(x)|=\sup _{\gamma \in \Gamma(K)}|\gamma(x)| \leq\|x\|_{K}
$$

From this and the fact that $K \subset \widehat{K}$, it follows that $\|x\|_{K}=\|x\|_{\widehat{K}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)=$ $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{K}}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ with $\|P\|_{K}=\|P\|_{\widehat{K}}$.

Since $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ is a continuous semi-norm on $E$, then ${ }^{\circ} K=\left\{x \in E:\|x\|_{K}=0\right\}$ is a closed subspace of $E$. On $E /{ }^{\circ} K$, we can define the following norm

$$
\left|\|\Pi(x) \mid\|=\|x\|_{K}\right.
$$

where $\Pi: E \rightarrow E /{ }^{\circ} K$ is the quotient projection. The completion of $E /{ }^{\circ} K,\left(E_{K},|\|\cdot \mid\|)\right.$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 2.4. Let $K$ be a bounded subset of $E^{\prime}$. Then the spaces $\left(\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E_{K}\right),\|\cdot\|\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof. For $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ we define $Q: E /{ }^{\circ} K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$
Q(\Pi(x))=P(x) \quad \forall x \in E
$$

$Q$ is well defined because of remark 2.2. Also, $Q$ is an $N$-homogeneous polynomial and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|Q\| & =\sup \left\{|Q(y)|: y \in E /{ }^{\circ} K,|\|y\||=1\right\}=\sup \{|Q(\Pi(x))|: x \in E,|\|\Pi(x) \mid\|=1\} \\
& =\sup \left\{|P(x)|: x \in E,\|x\|_{K}=1\right\}=\|P\|_{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $Q$ is continuous and can be extended to an $N$-homogeneous polynomial on $E_{K}$ with the same norm; this extension will still be called $Q$.

Conversely, let $Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E_{K}\right)$. Clearly, $P(x)=Q(\Pi(x))$ is a $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomial and $\|P\|_{K}=\|Q\|$.

It is known that every $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ extends to $E^{\prime \prime}$ via the Aron-Berner morphism [1], and that this morphism preserves norms [7]. We will denote that extension by $\bar{P}$. Since $K \subset E^{\prime}$, it can be considered as a subset of $E^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Aron and Galindo [3, corollary 8] proved that the Aron-Berner extension of a $K$-bounded polynomial is $K$-bounded, when $K$ is a weakly compact set. Using the construction of the preceding lemma, we will give another proof of this fact. Moreover, we will show that the Aron-Berner morphism is a $\|\cdot\|_{K}$-isometry.

Proposition 2.5. Let $K$ be a relatively weakly compact subset of $E^{\prime}$. Then the Aron-Berner morphism is an isometry from $\left(\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$ into $\left(\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E^{\prime \prime}\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, let $Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E_{K}\right)$ as in lemma 2.4, let $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E_{K}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the AronBerner extension of $Q$ and $\bar{P}=\bar{Q} \circ \Pi^{\prime \prime}: E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ where $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ is the bitranspose of $\Pi$. Using the characterization of the Aron-Berner extension due to Zalduendo [13, theorem 2], it is easy to check that $\bar{P}$ is the Aron-Berner extension of $P$. Let us see that $\bar{P}$ is $K$-bounded with $\|\bar{P}\|_{K}=\|P\|_{K}$. For $x^{\prime \prime} \in E^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\bar{P}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\bar{Q}\left(\Pi^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq\|\bar{Q}\|\left|\left\|\Pi ^ { \prime \prime } ( x ^ { \prime \prime } ) \left|\| ^ { N } = \| P \left\|_ { K } \left|\left\|\Pi^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid\right\|^{N}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& =\|P\|_{K} \sup _{\beta \in B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}}\left|\Pi^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)(\beta)\right|^{N}=\|P\|_{K} \sup _{\beta \in B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\left|x^{\prime \prime}\left(\Pi^{\prime}(\beta)\right)\right|^{N} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $\Pi^{\prime}\left(B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}\right)$ is contained in $\overline{\Gamma(K)}$, the closed, convex, balanced hull of $K$. To see this, let $\beta \in B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}$, then

$$
\left|\Pi^{\prime}(\beta)(x)\right|=|\beta(\Pi(x))| \leq\|\beta\|\left|\left\|\Pi(x)\left|\|\leq\| x \|_{K}=\sup _{\gamma \in K}\right| \gamma(x) \mid \quad \forall x \in E\right.\right.
$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\left.\overline{\Pi^{\prime}( } \beta\right)$ belongs to the weak-star closure of $\Gamma(K), \overline{\Gamma(K)}{ }^{w^{*}}$. Since $K$ is relatively weakly compact, $\overline{\Gamma(K)}$ is weakly compact. Then $\overline{\Gamma(K)}$ is weak-star compact and it follows that $\overline{\Gamma(K)}{ }^{w^{*}}=\overline{\Gamma(K)}$. Hence, $\Pi^{\prime}\left(B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}\right) \subset \overline{\Gamma(K)}$. Returning to (4),

$$
\left|\bar{P}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq\|P\|_{K} \sup _{\varphi \in \overline{\Gamma(K)}}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)\right|^{N}=\|P\|_{K} \sup _{\varphi \in K}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)\right|^{N}=\|P\|_{K}\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{K}^{N}
$$

Therefore, $\bar{P}$ is $K$-bounded and $\|\bar{P}\|_{K}=\|P\|_{K}$.

## 3. Main results

We want to describe $K$-bounded polynomials corresponding to different classes of sets $K$. We begin by considering finite dimensional subsets of $E^{\prime}$ which will be related to finite type polynomials as we will see in proposition 3.2. First, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ is of finite type if and only if its associated operator $T_{P}: E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N-1} E\right)$ has finite rank.

Proof. If $P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}^{N}(x)$, then $T_{P}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi(x) \varphi_{i}^{N-1}$ which is a finite rank operator. Conversely, suppose $T_{P}$ is a finite rank operator and let $\Pi: E \rightarrow E / \operatorname{ker} T_{P}$ be the quotient
projection. We define a polynomial $\tilde{P}$ on $E / \operatorname{ker} T_{P}$ by $\tilde{P}(\Pi(x))=P(x)$. To see that $\tilde{P}$ is well defined, let $\Pi(x)=\Pi(y)$. Since $T_{P}(x)=T_{P}(y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x) & =T_{P}(x)(x)=T_{P}(y)(x)=\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, x, \ldots, x)=\stackrel{\vee}{P}(x, y, x \ldots, x) \\
& =T_{P}(x)^{\vee}(y, x, \ldots, x)=T_{P}(y)^{\vee}(y, x, \ldots, x)=\stackrel{\vee}{P}(y, y, x \ldots, x) \\
& =\cdots \cdots=P(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E / \operatorname{ker} T_{P}$ is a finite dimensional space, $\tilde{P}$ becomes a finite type polynomial and so does $P$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $K \subset E^{\prime}$ be a bounded set. Then every $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomial is of finite type if and only if the subspace spanned by $K$ is finite dimensional.

Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{span}(K)$ is finite dimensional and let $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right\} \subset E^{\prime}$ be a basis of $\operatorname{span}(K)$ such that $K \subset \Gamma\left(\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right\}\right)$. If $u: E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{m}$ is defined by $u(x)=\left(\gamma_{1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_{m}(x)\right)$, then $u$ is a continuous linear map satisfying $\|u(x)\|_{\infty} \geq\|x\|_{K}$. Given $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, we define $Q: \operatorname{Im}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $Q(u(x))=P(x)$, which is well defined by remark 2.2 . Since $Q$ is a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial from a subspace of $\mathbb{K}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{K}$, we can write

$$
Q(z)=\sum_{|\alpha|=N} a_{\alpha} z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots z_{m}^{\alpha_{m}} \quad \forall z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(u)
$$

where $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{m},|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}$. Then,

$$
P(x)=Q(u(x))=\sum_{|\alpha|=N} a_{\alpha} \gamma_{1}(x)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{m}(x)^{\alpha_{m}} \quad \forall x \in E .
$$

In particular, $P$ is a polynomial of finite type.
To see the converse we will use the identification given in lemma 2.4. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ of finite type; then the corresponding polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E_{K}\right)$ is of finite type too. Indeed, $P$ being of finite type, its associated operator $T_{P}: E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N-1} E\right)$ has finite rank. Since $T_{P}=T_{Q} \circ \Pi$, where $T_{Q}: E_{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N-1} E_{K}\right)$ is the operator associated to $Q$ and $\Pi: E \rightarrow E_{K}$ is the natural projection, then $T_{Q}$ has finite rank. By lemma 3.1, $Q$ is a polynomial of finite type and then every continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial on $E_{K}$ is of finite type. We conclude that $E_{K}$ is finite dimensional. Thus the subspace spanned by $K$ has finite dimension.

As a corollary, we have that a $K$-bounded polynomial of finite type can be written in terms of $K$-bounded functionals (just compose with $\Pi$ the functionals representing $Q$ as a finite type polynomial).

It is clear that every polynomial of finite type is $K$-bounded for a finite set $K$, so we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{N} E\right)=\bigcup_{\substack{K \subset E^{\prime} \\ \\ \\ K \text { finite }}} \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)
$$

In $[12,5]$ it is shown that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{w}\left({ }^{N} E\right)=\bigcup_{\substack{K \subset E^{\prime} \\ K \text { compact }}} \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right) .
$$

and clearly

$$
\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)=\mathcal{P}_{B_{E^{\prime}}}\left({ }^{N} E\right)
$$

where $B_{E^{\prime}}$ denotes the closed unit ball of $E^{\prime}$.
Taking into account the inclusions given in (1), we will try to find sets $K \subset E^{\prime}$ for which $K$-bounded polynomials are approximable.

Since approximable polynomials are $w$-continuous on bounded sets, we start by considering compact subsets $K$ of $E^{\prime}$. In addition, $w$-continuous polynomials on bounded sets are approximable when $E^{\prime}$ has the approximation property. This suggests the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. Let $K \subset E^{\prime}$ be a compact set such that the identity Id : $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ can be uniformly approximated on $K$ by finite rank operators. Then every $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomial is approximable.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $K \subset B_{E^{\prime}}$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ and $d P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N-1} E ; E^{\prime}\right)$ its derivative. We have


Note that

$$
d P\left(B_{E}\right)=\Pi^{\prime}\left(d Q\left(\Pi\left(B_{E}\right)\right)\right) \subset \Pi^{\prime}\left(d Q\left(B_{E_{K}}\right)\right) \subset\|d Q\| \Pi^{\prime}\left(B_{E_{K}^{\prime}}\right) \subset\|d Q\| \overline{\Gamma(K)}
$$

(the last inclusion was explained in proposition 2.5). Furthermore, $K_{1}=\|d Q\| \overline{\Gamma(K)}$ is a compact subset of $E^{\prime}$ on which the identity can also be uniformly approximated by finite rank operators. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite rank operator $I_{n}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ verifying

$$
\left\|I_{n}(\gamma)-\gamma\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall \gamma \in K_{1}
$$

so

$$
\left\|I_{n}(d P(x))-d P(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall x \in B_{E}
$$

We define $P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{N} I_{n}(d P(x))(x)$. Since $d P_{n}=I_{n} \circ d P$ and $T_{P_{n}}(x)(y)=\frac{1}{N}\left(d P_{n}(y)\right)(x)$ then $T_{P_{n}}$ has finite rank which implies, by lemma 3.1, that $P_{n}$ is a polynomial of finite type. We also have

$$
\left|P_{n}(x)-P(x)\right|=\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{N}\left(I_{n}(d P(x))(x)-\frac{1}{N} d P(x)(x) \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall x \in B_{E}\right.\right.\right.
$$

Therefore, $P$ is approximable.
It is known (see, for example, [11]) that a subset $K$ of $E^{\prime}$ is compact if and only if it is contained in the closed convex balanced hull of a null sequence. By remark 2.3,

$$
\bigcup_{K \subset E^{\prime}} \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)=\bigcup_{K \text { compact }} \quad K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset E^{\prime}
$$

Let us consider $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ where $\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. We can define a linear operator $u: E \rightarrow c_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\left(\gamma_{1}(x), \gamma_{2}(x), \ldots, \gamma_{n}(x), \ldots\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily seen to be compact. This fact will enable us to prove that $K$-bounded polynomials are approximable, given some further assumption on the image of $u$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ where $\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and let $u$ as in (5). If $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$ has the approximation property, then $K$-bounded homogeneous polynomials are approximable.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. We define $Q: \operatorname{Im}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $Q(u(x))=P(x)$, which again is well defined by remark 2.2 and is a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial with

$$
\|Q\|=\sup \left\{|Q(u(x))|:\|u(x)\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\}=\sup \left\{|P(x)|:\|x\|_{K} \leq 1\right\}=\|P\|_{K}
$$

We can extend $Q$ to a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial on $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$ with the same norm, which will still be called $Q$. This gives us the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & \\
& \stackrel{u}{\operatorname{Im}(u)} \\
& \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} & \downarrow \mathrm{Q} \\
& \mathbb{K}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\overline{u\left(B_{E}\right)} \subset \overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}, u$ is compact and $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$ has the approximation property, there exist finite rank operators $T_{n}: \overline{\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}} \rightarrow \overline{\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}}$ such that

$$
\left\|T_{n}(u(x))-u(x)\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{n} \quad \forall x \in B_{E}
$$

In this way we have a sequence of finite type polynomials $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$, given by $P_{n}(x)=\left(Q \circ T_{n} \circ u\right)(x)$, approximating $P$. Indeed,

$$
\left|P(x)-P_{n}(x)\right|=\left|Q(u(x))-Q\left(T_{n}(u(x))\right)\right| \leq M\left\|u(x)-T_{n}(u(x))\right\|_{\infty} \leq M \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall x \in B_{E}
$$

where the constant $M$ can be chosen independent of $x \in B_{E}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see remark 2.2)
As a consequence of this proposition we derive the following result that Grothendieck proved (see [11]) while studying the existence of spaces without the approximation property:

If there exists a Banach space without the approximation property then there exists a subspace of $c_{0}$ without the approximation property.

To see this, let $X$ be a Banach space without the approximation property. We proceed as in [2]. There is a Banach space $Z$ and a compact operator $T: Z \rightarrow X$ which is not approximable by finite rank operators. If $Y=Z \oplus X^{\prime}$, we define $S: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ by $S\left(z, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(T^{\prime} x^{\prime}, T z\right)$, where $z \in Z, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$ is the transpose of $T$. Thus $S$ is a compact operator that cannot be approximated by finite rank operators. Indeed, the existence of finite rank operators approximating $S$ from $Y$ into $Y^{\prime}$ would imply the existence of finite rank operators from $Z$ into $X^{\prime \prime}$ approximating $T$. T being compact, it would be possible to construct finite rank operators from $Z$ into $X$ approximating $T$ (see [11, lemma 1.e.6]) and that is absurd. Now, by means of the compacity of $S$, the 2homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{2} Y\right), P(y)=S(y)(y)$, is $w$-continuous on bounded sets [4] but is not approximable. Therefore, $P$ is $K$-bounded, for some $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y^{\prime}$, where $\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, and defining $u$ as in (5), the subspace $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$ of $c_{0}$ fails to have the approximation property.

From the proof above, we can conclude that the existence of a Banach space without the approximation property is equivalent to the existence of a homogeneous non-approximable polynomial which is $w$-continuous on bounded sets.

There is no general method to decide whether a Banach space has the approximation property or not. However, every Hilbert space has it. Let $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. Now, we can modify the construction (5) by putting $u: E \rightarrow \ell_{2}, u(x)=\left(\gamma_{1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_{n}(x), \ldots\right)$, which is also a compact operator. Defining the polynomial $Q: \operatorname{Im}(u) \subset \ell_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $Q(u(x))=P(x)$ we note that in this case

$$
|Q(u(x))| \leq\|P\|_{K}\|u(x)\|_{\infty}^{N} \leq\|P\|_{K}\|u(x)\|_{2}^{N}
$$

Since $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$ is a Hilbert space, we can proceed as in proposition 3.4 to conclude that every $K$ - bounded polynomial is approximable. Moreover, working on a Hilbert space we can state an extension result. We have:

Proposition 3.5. Let $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$. Then every $K$-bounded polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ is approximable. Moreover, if $G$ is a Banach space containing $E$, there exists $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} G\right)$ which is an extension of $P$.

Proof. We prove the second statement, the first one having been explained in the previous paragraph. If $E \subset G$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have an extension of $\gamma_{n}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n} \in G^{\prime}$, with the same norm. As $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, the operator $\widetilde{u}: G \rightarrow \ell_{2}, \widetilde{u}(x)=\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}(x), \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n}(x), \ldots\right)$ is an extension of $u$. Let $\widetilde{Q}: \overline{\operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{u})} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \widetilde{Q}(y)=Q(\Pi(y))$, where $\Pi$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$. This completes the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
G & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{u}} & \overline{\operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{u})} & & \\
i \uparrow & & \downarrow \Pi & \widetilde{Q} & \\
E & \xrightarrow{u} & \frac{\downarrow}{\operatorname{Im}(u)} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathbb{K}
\end{array}
$$

We may define $\widetilde{P}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \widetilde{P}(x)=\widetilde{Q}(\widetilde{u}(x))$. Thus $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} G\right)$ and becomes an extension of $P$. In [10], Kirwan and Ryan gave the following definition:

Definition 3.6. A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ will be called extendible if, for every Banach space $G \supset E$, there exists $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} G\right)$ which is an extension of $P$.

Proposition 3.5 states that if we consider $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, then every $K$-bounded polynomial is extendible. Moreover, for each $G \supset E$, there exists an extension morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda: \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} G\right) \\
P & \longmapsto Q \circ \Pi \circ \widetilde{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now investigate those bounded subsets $K$ of $E^{\prime}$ for which every $K$-bounded polynomial is extendible and whether the resulting extension becomes $\widetilde{K}$-bounded, where $\widetilde{K}=\{\widetilde{\gamma}: \gamma \in K\}$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a norm preserving extension of $\gamma$ to $G$. Moreover, we want to establish conditions for the existence of an extension morphism. Still, we keep in mind the problem of approximability of $K$-bounded polynomials.

Note that there are non-extendible approximable polynomials. For instance, $P \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{2} \ell_{2}\right)$, given by $P(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{n}$, is approximable but not nuclear, so it cannot be extendible [10, proposition 8$]$.

It is known that every homogeneous polynomial on $c_{0}$ is approximable. Moreover, if $Q \in$ $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} c_{0}\right)$, there exists a sequence of scalars $\left\{a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}}\right\}_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in D} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}} e_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots e_{i_{N}}^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{N}: i_{1} \geq \ldots \geq i_{N}\right\}$ is ordered by the square ordering, $\left\{e_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the unit vector basis of $c_{0}^{\prime}=\ell_{1}$, and the convergence of the series (6) is in the norm of $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} c_{0}\right)$ (see [8]).

For certain sets $K$, we will be able to factor $K$-bounded homogeneous polynomials on $E$ by homogeneous polynomials on $c_{0}$. This will enable us to lift the property of being approximable from polynomials on $c_{0}$ to $K$-bounded polynomials on $E$ and also to obtain an extension result, as in proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ be a basic sequence such that $\gamma_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and let $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ then $P$ is approximable and extendible. Furthermore, if $E \subset G$, then there exists an extension morphism $\Lambda: \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\widetilde{K}}\left({ }^{N} G\right)$, where $\widetilde{K}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}$ a norm preserving extension of $\gamma_{n}$. The morphism is an isometry if we consider the $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{K}}$ norms.

Proof. As in (5), let $u: E \rightarrow c_{0}$, with $u(x)=\left(\gamma_{1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_{n}(x), \ldots\right)$. Since $\left\{\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, $u \in \mathcal{L}\left(E ; c_{0}\right)$ and $\|u(x)\|=\|x\|_{K}$. Let $\bar{u}: E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow c_{0}, \bar{u}(z)=\left(z\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, z\left(\gamma_{n}\right), \ldots\right)$. Again, $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{L}\left(E^{\prime \prime} ; c_{0}\right)$ and $\|\bar{u}(z)\|=\|z\|_{K}$, for all $z \in E^{\prime \prime}$.

Since $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basic sequence in $E^{\prime}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime \prime}$ such that $z_{n}\left(\gamma_{m}\right)=\delta_{n m}$ and so $\bar{u}\left(z_{n}\right)=e_{n}$, where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the unit vector basis of $c_{0}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u})$ is a dense subspace of $c_{0}$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. As stated in proposition 2.5, its Aron-Berner extension $\bar{P}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Consider $Q: \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by $Q(\bar{u}(z))=\bar{P}(z) . Q$ is a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial with $\|Q\|=\|\bar{P}\|_{K}=\|P\|_{K}$. We may extend $Q$ to a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial on $c_{0}$, which will still be called $Q$, with the same norm. This gives us the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
E^{\prime \prime} & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & c_{0} \\
i \uparrow & \bar{P} & \downarrow Q \\
E & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathbb{K}
\end{array}
$$

Being a continuous $N$-homogeneous polynomial on $c_{0}, Q$ admits a representation as in (6). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in D} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}} \gamma_{i_{1}} \ldots \gamma_{i_{N}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that the series (7) converges in the norms of both $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$. Moreover, from the isometric isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} c_{0}\right)$ it follows that the sequence $\left\{\gamma_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{N}}\right\}_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in D}$ (with the square ordering) is a Schauder basis of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$. Now that we have proved that $P$ is approximable, we turn to the extension morphism.

Let $G \supset E$ and $\widetilde{u}: G \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ given by $\widetilde{u}(y)=\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}(y), \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n}(y), \ldots\right)$, with $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n} \in G^{\prime}$ a norm preserving extension of $\gamma_{n}$. Let us consider $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} \ell_{\infty}\right)$ the Aron-Berner extension of $Q \in \mathcal{P}\left({ }^{N} c_{0}\right)$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
G & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{u}} & \ell_{\infty} & & \\
i \uparrow & & \uparrow J & \stackrel{\bar{Q}}{ } & \\
E & \xrightarrow{u} & c_{0} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathbb{K}
\end{array}
$$

where $J$ is the canonical inclusion from $c_{0}$ into $\ell_{\infty}$.
If we define $\widetilde{P}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\widetilde{P}(y)=\bar{Q}(\widetilde{u}(y))$ we obtain an extension of $P$ to $G$ with

$$
|\widetilde{P}(y)|=|\bar{Q}(\widetilde{u}(y))| \leq\|\bar{Q}\|\|\widetilde{u}(y)\|^{N}=\|P\|_{K}\|y\|_{\widetilde{K}}^{N} \quad \forall y \in G
$$

This implies that $\widetilde{P}$ is $\widetilde{K}$-bounded and $\|\widetilde{P}\|_{\widetilde{K}}=\|P\|_{K}$. Hence, the extension morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda: \mathcal{P}_{K}\left({ }^{N} E\right) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\widetilde{K}}\left({ }^{N} G\right) \\
P & \longmapsto \bar{Q} \circ \widetilde{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isometry.
Remark 3.8. Note that, in the previous proposition, $K$ is a weakly null sequence, although we are mainly concerned with norm null sequences. In the norm null case, the result is stronger in the sense that $\widetilde{K}$ turns out to be a norm null sequence too. In the general case, when $\gamma_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$, it is possible to choose $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}$ converging weakly to zero but, unfortunately, the extension morphism fails to be an isometry.

The assumption that $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $w$-null basic sequence can be replaced by other conditions which will enable us to proceed as in the previous proof.

Ovsepian and Pelczynski proved (see [LT], for example) that every infinite dimensional separable Banach space verifies that, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exist two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset$ $E^{\prime}$ such that
(i) $\gamma_{n}\left(x_{m}\right)=\delta_{n m} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1 ; \quad\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\| \leq 1+\varepsilon \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iii) $E=\overline{\left[\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right]}$.
(iv) $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a total system over $E$, i.e. $\gamma_{n}(x)=0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $x=0$.

A pair of sequences in these conditions will be called an O-P system. Note that $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a weak-star null sequence.

Proposition 3.9. Let $E$ be an infinite dimensional separable Banach space. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ be an $O$ - $P$ system. If $K=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then every $K$-bounded $N$-homogeneous polynomial $P$ is approximable and extendible by an extension morphism.

Proof. Let $u: E \rightarrow c_{0}$ be as in (5), which is well defined because $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a weak-star null sequence. Since $u\left(x_{n}\right)=e_{n}, \operatorname{Im}(u)$ is a dense subspace of $c_{0}$ and we can define $Q: c_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $Q(u(x))=P(x)$ for all $x \in E$. Thus $P$ is approximable with a representation as in (7). The extension result is derived just as in the previous proposition.
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