# BÁLINT TÓTH: (TU Budapest and Univ. of Bristol)

# WALKS WITH LONG MEMORY: DIFFUSIVE AND SUPER-DIFFUSIVE LIMITS

SPA-37, Buenos Aires, 28th July 2014

"True" self-avoiding random walk (TSAW), discrete time:

 $n\mapsto X(n)\in\mathbb{Z}^d,$ 

It's local time (occupation time measure):

$$\ell(n,x) := \ell(0,x) + |\{0 < m \le n : X(m) = x\}|$$

Self-interaction function:

 $w:\mathbb{Z} \to (0,\infty)$  increasing

The law of the walk:

$$P(X(n+1) = y | \mathcal{F}_n, X(n) = x) = \frac{w(\ell(n,x) - \ell(n,y))}{\sum_{z:|z-x|=1} w(\ell(n,x) - \ell(n,z))}$$

#### TSAW, continuous time:

$$t \mapsto X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

Local time

$$\ell(t,x) := \ell(0,x) + |\{0 < s \le t : X(s) = x\}$$

Rate function:

 $w: \mathbb{R} \to (0,\infty), \qquad \inf_{u} w(u) = \gamma > 0 \quad (unif. ellipticity)$ 

$$r(u) = \frac{w(u) - w(-u)}{2} \quad \text{increasing}, \qquad s(u) = \frac{w(u) + w(-u)}{2}$$

The law of the walk:

$$\mathbf{P}(X(t+dt) = y | \mathcal{F}_t, X(t) = x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\{|x-y|=1\}\}} w(\ell(t,x) - \ell(t,y)) dt$$

## Self-repelling Brownian polymer (SRBP):

# $t \mapsto X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Local time (occupation time measure):

 $\ell(t,A) := \ell(0,A) + |\{0 < s \le t : X(s) \in A\}|$ 

 $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ , approximate  $\delta: C^{\infty}$ , fast decay, positive type:  $\widehat{V}(p) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ip \cdot x} V(x) dx \ge 0$  (\*) E.g.  $V(x) = e^{-|x|^2}$ 

The driving force:

 $F: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad F(x) := -\operatorname{grad} V(x).$ 

The law of the process:

$$X(t) = B(t) + \int_0^t \int_0^s F(X(s) - X(u)) du \, ds,$$

or:

$$dX(t) = dB(t) + \left(\int_0^t F(X(t) - X(u))du\right)dt.$$

or:

$$dX(t) = dB(t) - \operatorname{grad} \left( V * \ell(t, \cdot) \right) (X(t)) dt$$

Note: the position process is pushed by the negative gradient of its own occupation time measure.

#### **Question:**

Scaling and (super)diffusive asymptotics of X(t) as  $t \to \infty$ ?

#### Roots, history:

```
TSAW, physics:

[D. Amit, G. Parisi, L. Peliti (1983)],

[S. Obukhov, L. Peliti (1983)],

[L. Peliti, L. Pietronero (1987)]

....
```

```
SRBP, probability:
```

[J. Norris, C. Rogers, D. Williams (1987)]
[R. Durrett, C. Rogers (1992)],
[M. Cranston, Y. Le Jan (1995)],
[M. Cranston, T. Mountford (1996)],

**Conjectures**, based on RG and scaling arguments ("physics"):

• d = 1:  $X(t) \sim t^{2/3}$ , intricate, non-Gausssian scaling limit. (Limit distributions not identified.)

• 
$$d = 2$$
:  $X(t) \sim t^{1/2} (\log t)^{\zeta}$ , Gaussian scaling limit.  
(Controversy about the value of  $\zeta$ .)

• 
$$d \ge 3$$
:  $X(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ , Gaussian scaling limit.

Some results: ...

d = 1 : • Limit thm. in some particular cases
 [B. Tóth (AP, 1995)], [B. Tóth, B. Vető (ALEA, 2009)]:



Construction of the scaling limit process (TSRM, the Brownian Web, ...)
[B. Tóth, W. Werner (PTRF, 1998)]

 $t \mapsto \mathcal{X}(t)$ 

• "Robust" superdiffusive bounds [P. Tarrès, B. Tóth, B. Valkó (AP, 2012)]:  $C_1 t^{5/4} \leq \mathbf{E} (X(t)^2) \leq C_2 t^{3/2}.$ 

(and more bounds for more general self-interactions)

• Missing: fully robust proofs.

 d = 2 : • Super diffusive lower bounds [B. Tóth, B. Valkó (JSP, 2012)]:

 $C_1 t \log \log t \leq \mathbf{E}(X(t)^2) \leq C_2 t \log t.$ 

• Expected order:

$$\mathbf{E}(X(t)^2) \sim t\sqrt{\log t}$$

d ≥ 3 : ○ CLT under diffusive scaling
 [I. Horváth, B. Tóth, B. Vető (PTRF, 2012)]:

 $\frac{X(t)}{t^{1/2}} \Rightarrow N(0,\sigma).$ 

# Random walks and diffusions in div-free drift field

### Notation:

 $(\Omega, \pi, \tau_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ probability space with ergodic  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action  $\mathcal{E} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |k| = 1\}$ possible steps of the rw  $v_k: \Omega \rightarrow [-1, 1], \quad k \in \mathcal{E}$ •  $v_k(\omega) + v_{-k}(\tau_k \omega) \equiv 0$  vector field •  $\sum v_k(\omega) \equiv 0$ divergence-free  $k \in \mathcal{E}$  $\circ \quad \int_{\Omega} v_k(\omega) d\pi(\omega) = 0,$ no overall drift

Lift it to a stationary and divergence free vector field over  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :

 $V_k(\omega, x) := v_k(\tau_x \omega)$ 

 $V_{-k}(x+k) + V_k(x) \equiv 0,$   $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} V_k(x) \equiv 0,$   $\mathbf{E}(V_k(x)) = 0.$ 

The random walk:

 $\mathbf{P}_{\omega}\left(X(t+dt)=x+k \mid X(t)=x\right)=(1+V_k(\omega,x))\,dt+\mathcal{O}((dt)^2).$ 

The diffusion analogue:  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  stationary, divergence-free vector field,

dX(t) = dB(t) + V(X(t))dt,

#### Question:

Scaling and (super)diffusive asymptotics of X(t) as  $t \to \infty$ ?

## Drift field and its covariances:

$$\varphi(\omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} k v_k(\omega), \quad \Phi(\omega, x) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} k V_k(\omega, x) = \varphi(\tau_x \omega).$$
$$C_{i,j}(x) := \mathbf{E}\Big(\Phi_i(x)\Phi_j(0)\Big), \quad \widehat{C}_{i,j}(p) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{\sqrt{-1}p \cdot x} C_{i,j}(x)$$

### $H_{-1}$ -condition:

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \widehat{C}_{i,i}(p)}{\sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \cos(p \cdot e_i))} dp \quad \begin{cases} < \infty & \mathbf{H}_{-1} \checkmark \\ = \infty & \mathbf{H}_{-1} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left( \left( \int_0^T \Phi(S(t)) dt \right)^2 \right) \begin{cases} < \infty & \mathbf{H}_{-1} \checkmark \\ = \infty & \mathbf{H}_{-1} \end{cases}$$

Helmholtz's Theorem, stream field:  $\mathbb{Z}^d_* := \mathbb{Z}^d + (1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ 

d = 2:

There exists a scalar field (*height function*):  $H : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^2_* \to \mathbb{R}$  with stationary increments such that

$$V = \operatorname{curl} H, \qquad V_k(x) = H(x + \frac{k + \tilde{k}}{2}) - H(x + \frac{k - \tilde{k}}{2})$$

d = 3:

There exists a vector field (*stream field*)  $H_k : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^3_* \to \mathbb{R}, \ k \in \mathcal{E}$ , with stationary increments such that

 $V = \operatorname{curl} H,$   $V_k(\omega, x) = \ldots \operatorname{explain}$  in plain words

The  $H_{-1}$  condition equiv.: The height function/stream field is **stationary** (not just of stationary increments!) and  $\mathcal{L}^2$ .

## Roots, history:

[Papanicolaou, Varadhan (1981)] diffusion problem formulated

[Osada (1983)] diffusion, CLT with  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  stream-field

[Kozlov (1985)] RW, partly incomplete proof, CLT with  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  stream-field

[Oelschläger (1988)] diffusion, CLT with  $\mathcal{L}^2$  stream-field

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)] RW, strong sector condition, CLT with  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  stream-field

[Komorowski, Landim, Olla (2012)] RW, CLT with  $\mathcal{L}^{\max\{2+\delta,d\}}$  stream-field

• d = 2 :  $\circ$  Super diffusive bounds

[B. Tóth, B. Valkó (JSP, 2012)]:  $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  curl of (locally smoothed) GFF, dX(t) = dB(t) + V(X(t))dt.

$$C_1 t \log \log t \leq \mathbf{E}(X(t)^2) \leq C_2 t \log t.$$

• Expected order:

$$\mathbf{E}(X(t)^2) \sim t\sqrt{\log t}$$

•  $d \ge 2$  : • CLT under  $H_{-1}$ : [G. Kozma, B. Tóth (preprint, 2014)]: If  $H_{-1}$  holds then

$$\frac{X(t)}{t^{1/2}} \Rightarrow N(0,\sigma).$$

Environment seen from the position of the walker, SRBP:

$$\eta(t,x) := -\operatorname{grad} \left( V * \ell(t,\cdot) \right) (X(t) + x).$$

 $t \mapsto \eta(t, \cdot)$  is a **Markov process** with continuous sample path in

$$\Omega := \left\{ \omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d) : \omega \text{ grad-field}, ||\omega||_{k,m,r} < \infty \right\}$$

$$||\omega||_{k,m,r} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + |x|\right)^{-1/r} \left|\partial_{m_1,\dots,m_d}^{|m|} \omega_k(x)\right|$$

**Stationary measure**: by some "miracle", gradient of (mollified) GFF:

$$\langle \omega_k(x)\omega_l(y)\rangle = -\partial_{kl}^2 V * \Delta^{-1}(y-x) =: K_{kl}(y-x), \qquad \widehat{K}_{kl}(p) = \frac{p_k p_l}{|p|^2} \widehat{V}(p).$$

Proof 1: Itô-calculus. Proof 2: Functional analytic.

Environment seen from the walker, RWDFRE:

 $\eta(t) := \tau_{X(t)}\omega$ 

 $t \mapsto \eta(t, \cdot)$  is a **Markov process** with bounded jump rates in  $(\Omega, \pi) \pi$  is **stationary and ergodic** for  $\eta(t)$ , due to div-freeness.

All mentioned results valid in the *stationary regime*.

Put ourselves in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)$  and apply various resolvent methods . . .

Martingale decomposition:

$$X(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t \varphi(\eta(s)) ds$$

 $\circ M(t): \mathcal{L}^2 \text{-martingale with stationary and ergodic increments}$   $\circ \varphi: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$  $\text{SRBP:} \quad \varphi(\omega) := \omega(0) \qquad \text{RWDFRE:} \quad \varphi(\omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} k v_k(\omega).$ 

• (partial) decorrelation: easy

#### Goals:

- $H_{-1}$  ·: diffusive limit (CLT) for the second term on the r.h.s. — try non-reversible Kipnis-Varadhan theory
- $\mathbb{H}_1$ : superdiffusive bound for var. of the second term on r.h.s. — try Landim-Quastel-Salmhofer-Yau method

SRBP: Gaussian Hilbert Space (Fock space / Wiener space):

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega,\pi) =: \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$$

The infinitesimal generator acting on  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)$ :

$$G = \Delta + \sum_{l=1}^{d} \left( \nabla_{l} a_{l} + a_{l}^{*} \nabla_{l} \right) = -S + A_{-} + A_{+},$$

where

$$a_l^* : \omega_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \omega_{k_n}(x_n) := :\omega_l(0) \omega_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \omega_{k_n}(x_n) :$$
$$a_l : \omega_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \omega_{k_n}(x_n) := \sum_{m=1}^n K_{lk_m}(x_m) : \omega_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \omega_{k_m}(x_m) \cdots \omega_{k_n}(x_n) :$$

Proof: careful use of commutation relations, plus "directional derivative" identity (a la Malliavin calculus).

## **SRBP**, **Proofs**:

Diffusive limits in  $d \ge 3$ :

Non-reversible Kipnis-Varadhan theory:  $H_{-1}$ -bound and graded sector condition

[S. Sethuraman, S.R.S. Varadhan, H-T. Yau (2000)]

— with improvement on conditions of applicability.

#### Superdiffusive lower bound in d = 2:

Variational approach of

[C. Landim, J. Quastel, M. Salmhofer, H-T. Yau (2004)]

— with particularities . . .

#### **RWDFRE**, some details:

**Some operators** on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)$ :

 $\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega, \pi) \text{-gradient} : \qquad \nabla_{k} f(\omega) := f(\tau_{k}\omega) - f(\omega)$   $\nabla_{k}^{*} = \nabla_{-k}$   $\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega, \pi) \text{-Laplacian} : \qquad \Delta f(\omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} (f(\tau_{k}\omega) - f(\omega))$   $\Delta^{*} = \Delta \leq 0$ multiplication ops. :  $M_{k} f(\omega) := v_{k}(\omega) f(\omega)$   $M_{k}^{*} = M_{k}$ 

A commutation relation – due to div-freeness of v:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \nabla_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \nabla_{-k} M_k = 0$$

The **infinitesimal generator** of the environment process:

$$L = P - I = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \nabla_k =: -S + A$$

# Relaxed Sector Condition [I. Horváth, B. Tóth, B. Vető (2012)]

**Theorem:** Efficient martingale approximation (a la Kipnis-Varadhan) holds for  $\int_0^t \varphi(\eta_s) ds$  if

(1) "  $S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}$  "

is *skew self-adjoint* (not just skew symmetric).

(2)  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Ran}(S^{-1/2})$   $H_{-1}$ -condition

#### **Remarks:**

(1) Extends Varadhan et al.'s *Graded Sector Condition*.

(2) Proof: partly reminiscent of Trotter-Kurtz.

What is missing from skew self-adjointmess of  $B = S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}$ ? (defined on an appropriately chosen dense subspace)

#### von Neumann's criterion:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & \text{skew symmetric, and} \\ \hline Ran(B \pm I) = \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} B & \text{essentially} \\ \text{skew self-adjoint} \end{pmatrix}$$

Needed:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \left( (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla_k \right) \psi = (-\Delta)^{1/2} \psi \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi = 0.$$

Warning: Formal manipulation deceives:  $\psi \notin Dom(-\Delta)^{-1/2}!$ 

Raise it to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :

Wanted: NO nontrivial scalar field  $\Psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with stationary increment, and  $\mathbb{E}(\Psi) = 0$  solves the PDE

 $\Delta \Psi = V \cdot \nabla \Psi.$ 

Note similarity: No sublinearly growing harmonic function on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

d = 2: with bare hands

 $d \ge 3$ : use Nash inequality or [Morris, Peres (2005)]: heat-kernel upper bound

25

#### The talk was based on the following papers:

B. Tóth: The 'true' self-avoiding walk with bond repulsion on  $\mathbb{Z}$ : limit theorems. Ann. Probab. 23: 1523–1556 (1995)

B. Tóth, W. Werner: The true self-repelling motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **111**: 375–452 (1998)

B. Tóth, B. Vető: Continuous time 'true' self-avoiding random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. 8: 5975 (2011)

I. Horváth, B. Tóth, B. Vető: Diffusive limits for "true" (or myopic) self-avoiding random walks and self-repellent Brownian polymers in three and more dimensions. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **153**: 691-726 (2012)

I. Horváth, B. Tóth, B. Vető: Relaxed sector condition. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)* **7**: 463-476 (2012)

P. Tarrès, B. Tóth, B.Valkó: Diffusivity bounds for 1d Brownian polymers. *Ann. Probab.* **40**: 695-713 (2012)

B. Tóth, B. Valkó: Superdiffusive bounds on self-repellent Brownian polymers and diffusion in the curl of the Gaussian free field in d=2. J. Stat. Phys. **147**: 113-131 (2012)

G. Kozma, B. Tóth: Central limit theorem for random walks in divergence-free random drift field:  $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$  suffices. *preprint* (2014)