# Random Surfaces and Quantum Loewner Evolution

Jason Miller and Scott Sheffield

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

July 30, 2014

#### Overview

#### Part I: Picking surfaces at random

- 1. Discrete: random planar maps
- 2. Continuum: Liouville quantum gravity
- 3. Conjectured relationship

#### Part II: Quantum Loewner evolution

- 1. New universal family of growth processes
- 2. Tool to relate random planar maps to Liouville quantum gravity
- 3. Connected to many different topics in probability: RPM, LQG, TBM, GFF, SLE, DLA, FPP, DBM, KPZ, KPZ

# Part I: Picking surfaces at random



Start out with a sheet of paper



Get out pen and ruler



Measure and mark squares squares of equal size



Get out scissors



Cut into squares



Get out bottle of glue



Attach squares along boundaries with glue to form a surface "without holes."





What is the structure of a typical quadrangulation when the number of faces is large?



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

## Background



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

First studied by Tutte in 1960s while working on the four color theorem

- Combinatorics: enumeration formulas
- Probability: "uniformly random surface," Brownian surface
- Physics: statistical physics models: random walks, percolation, Ising model, uniform spanning tree, etc ...

RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- **Diameter** is  $n^{1/4}$  (Chaissang-Schaefer)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- **Diameter** is  $n^{1/4}$  (Chaissang-Schaefer)
- Rescaling by n<sup>-1/4</sup> gives a tight sequence of metric spaces (Le Gall)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- **Diameter** is  $n^{1/4}$  (Chaissang-Schaefer)
- Rescaling by n<sup>-1/4</sup> gives a tight sequence of metric spaces (Le Gall)
- Subsequentially limiting space is a.s.:
  - 4-dimensional (Le Gall)
  - homeomorphic to the 2-sphere (Le Gall and Paulin, Miermont)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- ▶ **Diameter** is *n*<sup>1/4</sup> (Chaissang-Schaefer)
- Rescaling by n<sup>-1/4</sup> gives a tight sequence of metric spaces (Le Gall)
- Subsequentially limiting space is a.s.:
  - 4-dimensional (Le Gall)
  - homeomorphic to the 2-sphere (Le Gall and Paulin, Miermont)
- Exists metric space limit called: the Brownian map (Le Gall, Miermont)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- ▶ **Diameter** is *n*<sup>1/4</sup> (Chaissang-Schaefer)
- Rescaling by n<sup>-1/4</sup> gives a tight sequence of metric spaces (Le Gall)
- Subsequentially limiting space is a.s.:
  - ► 4-dimensional (Le Gall)
  - homeomorphic to the 2-sphere (Le Gall and Paulin, Miermont)
- Exists metric space limit called: the Brownian map (Le Gall, Miermont)

**Important tool:** bijections which encode the surface using a gluing of a pair of trees

(Mullin, Schaeffer, Cori-Schaeffer-Vauquelin, Bouttier-Di Francesco-Guitter, S.,...)



(Simulation due to J.F. Marckert)

- RPM as a metric space. Is there a limit?
- ▶ **Diameter** is *n*<sup>1/4</sup> (Chaissang-Schaefer)
- Rescaling by n<sup>-1/4</sup> gives a tight sequence of metric spaces (Le Gall)
- Subsequentially limiting space is a.s.:
  - ► 4-dimensional (Le Gall)
  - homeomorphic to the 2-sphere (Le Gall and Paulin, Miermont)
- Exists metric space limit called: the Brownian map (Le Gall, Miermont)

**Important tool:** bijections which encode the surface using a gluing of a pair of trees

(Mullin, Schaeffer, Cori-Schaeffer-Vauquelin, Bouttier-Di Francesco-Guitter, S.,...)

Brownian map also described in terms of trees (CRT)

(Markert-Mokkadem)

#### Random quadrangulation



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Red tree



Sampled using H-C bijection.

#### Red and blue trees



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Red and blue trees alone do not determine the map structure



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Random quadrangulation with red and blue trees



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Path snaking between the trees. Encodes the trees and how they are glued together.



Sampled using H-C bijection.

How was the graph embedded into  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ?



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Can subivide each quadrilateral to obtain a triangulation without multiple edges.



Sampled using H-C bijection.

Circle pack the resulting triangulation.



Circle pack the resulting triangulation.

Circle pack the resulting triangulation.



What is the "limit" of this embedding? Circle packings are related to conformal maps.



### Picking a surface at random in the continuum

**Uniformization theorem:** every simply connected Riemannian surface can be conformally mapped to either the unit disk, the plane, or the sphere  $S^2$  in  $R^3$ 



## Picking a surface at random in the continuum

**Uniformization theorem:** every simply connected Riemannian surface can be conformally mapped to either the unit disk, the plane, or the sphere  $S^2$  in  $R^3$ 



**Isothermal coordinates:** Metric for the surface takes the form  $e^{\rho(z)}dz$  for some smooth function  $\rho$  where dz is the Euclidean metric.

## Picking a surface at random in the continuum

**Uniformization theorem:** every simply connected Riemannian surface can be conformally mapped to either the unit disk, the plane, or the sphere  $S^2$  in  $R^3$ 



**Isothermal coordinates:** Metric for the surface takes the form  $e^{\rho(z)}dz$  for some smooth function  $\rho$  where dz is the Euclidean metric.

- $\Rightarrow$  Can parameterize the space of surfaces with smooth functions.
  - If  $\rho = 0$ , get the same surface
  - If  $\Delta \rho = 0$ , i.e. if  $\rho$  is harmonic, the surface described is flat
# Picking a surface at random in the continuum

**Uniformization theorem:** every simply connected Riemannian surface can be conformally mapped to either the unit disk, the plane, or the sphere  $S^2$  in  $R^3$ 



**Isothermal coordinates:** Metric for the surface takes the form  $e^{\rho(z)}dz$  for some smooth function  $\rho$  where dz is the Euclidean metric.

- $\Rightarrow$  Can parameterize the space of surfaces with smooth functions.
  - If  $\rho = 0$ , get the same surface
  - ▶ If  $\Delta \rho = 0$ , i.e. if  $\rho$  is harmonic, the surface described is flat

**Question:** Which measure on  $\rho$ ? If we want our surface to be a perturbation of a flat metric, natural to choose  $\rho$  as the canonical perturbation of a harmonic function.

The discrete Gaussian free field (DGFF) is a Gaussian random surface model.



- The discrete Gaussian free field (DGFF) is a Gaussian random surface model.
- Measure on functions h: D → R for D ⊆ Z<sup>2</sup> and h|<sub>∂D</sub> = ψ with density respect to Lebesgue measure on R<sup>|D|</sup>:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\sim y}(h(x)-h(y))^2\right)$$



- The discrete Gaussian free field (DGFF) is a Gaussian random surface model.
- Measure on functions h: D → R for D ⊆ Z<sup>2</sup> and h|<sub>∂D</sub> = ψ with density respect to Lebesgue measure on R<sup>|D|</sup>:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\sim y}(h(x)-h(y))^2\right)$$

Natural perturbation of a harmonic function



- The discrete Gaussian free field (DGFF) is a Gaussian random surface model.
- Measure on functions h: D → R for D ⊆ Z<sup>2</sup> and h|<sub>∂D</sub> = ψ with density respect to Lebesgue measure on R<sup>|D|</sup>:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\sim y}(h(x)-h(y))^2\right)$$

- Natural perturbation of a harmonic function
- Fine mesh limit: converges to the continuum GFF, i.e. the standard Gaussian wrt the Dirichlet inner product

$$(f,g)_{\nabla} = rac{1}{2\pi} \int \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) dx.$$



July 30, 2014

- The discrete Gaussian free field (DGFF) is a Gaussian random surface model.
- Measure on functions h: D → R for D ⊆ Z<sup>2</sup> and h|<sub>∂D</sub> = ψ with density respect to Lebesgue measure on R<sup>|D|</sup>:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\sim y}(h(x)-h(y))^2\right)$$

- Natural perturbation of a harmonic function
- Fine mesh limit: converges to the continuum GFF, i.e. the standard Gaussian wrt the Dirichlet inner product

$$(f,g)_{\nabla} = rac{1}{2\pi} \int \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) dx.$$

 Continuum GFF not a function — only a generalized function



July 30, 2014

11 / 37

$$\gamma = 0.5$$

Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s
- Does not make literal sense since h takes values in the space of distributions



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s
- Does not make literal sense since h takes values in the space of distributions
- Can be made sense of as a random area measure using a regularization procedure
  - Can compute areas of regions and lengths of curves



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s
- Does not make literal sense since h takes values in the space of distributions
- Can be made sense of as a random area measure using a regularization procedure
  - Can compute areas of regions and lengths of curves



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s
- Does not make literal sense since h takes values in the space of distributions
- Can be made sense of as a random area measure using a regularization procedure
  - Can compute areas of regions and lengths of curves



- Liouville quantum gravity: e<sup>γh(z)</sup>dz where h is a GFF and γ ∈ [0, 2)
- Introduced by Polyakov in the 1980s
- Does not make literal sense since h takes values in the space of distributions
- Can be made sense of as a random area measure using a regularization procedure
  - Can compute areas of regions and lengths of curves

$$\gamma = 2.0$$



# Paper with Duplantier/Miller gives form of convergence



# Continuum space-filling path



Space-filling  $SLE_6$  on a LQG surface. Random path which encodes the limit of a RPM.

# Part II: Quantum Loewner Evolution

Two natural ways to pick surfaces at random

- Discrete: random planar maps
- **Continuum:** Liouville quantum gravity  $e^{\gamma h(z)} dz$ , h a GFF
- Conjectured to be the same for  $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$
- LQG only made sense of so far as a measure space

**Next part:** describe new growth process which can be used to endow  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG with a metric space structure

 Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights



 Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?
- Cox and Durrett (1981) showed that the macroscopic shape is convex



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?
- Cox and Durrett (1981) showed that the macroscopic shape is convex
- Computer simulations show that it is not a Euclidean disk



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?
- Cox and Durrett (1981) showed that the macroscopic shape is convex
- Computer simulations show that it is not a Euclidean disk
- **Z**<sup>2</sup> is not isotropic enough



- Associate with a graph (V, E) i.i.d. exp(1) edge weights
- Introduced by Eden (1961) and Hammersley and Welsh (1965)
- ► On **Z**<sup>2</sup>?
- Question: Large scale behavior of shape of ball wrt perturbed metric?
- Cox and Durrett (1981) showed that the macroscopic shape is convex
- Computer simulations show that it is not a Euclidean disk
- ► **Z**<sup>2</sup> is not isotropic enough
- Vahidi-Asl and Weirmann (1990) showed that the rescaled ball converges to a disk if Z<sup>2</sup> is replaced by the Voronoi tesselation associated with a Poisson process



Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.
Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.

Rather than sampling all of the edge weights at once, can explore the FPP metric ball starting from a point in a Markovian way.



Due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, can sample the cluster  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by selecting an edge uniformly at random on  $\partial C_n$ , and then adding the vertex which is attached to it.























▶ Random planar map, random vertex *x*. Perform FPP from *x*.



#### Important observations:

 Conditional law of map given ball at time n only depends on the boundary lengths of the outside components.

▶ Random planar map, random vertex *x*. Perform FPP from *x*.



#### Important observations:

Conditional law of map given ball at time n only depends on the boundary lengths of the outside components. Exploration respects the Markovian structure of the map.

▶ Random planar map, random vertex *x*. Perform FPP from *x*.



#### Important observations:

- Conditional law of map given ball at time n only depends on the boundary lengths of the outside components. Exploration respects the Markovian structure of the map.
- If we work on an "infinite" planar map, the conditional law of the map in the unbounded component only depends on the boundary length

▶ Random planar map, random vertex *x*. Perform FPP from *x*.



#### Important observations:

- Conditional law of map given ball at time n only depends on the boundary lengths of the outside components. Exploration respects the Markovian structure of the map.
- If we work on an "infinite" planar map, the conditional law of the map in the unbounded component only depends on the boundary length

Belief: Isotropic enough so that at large scales this is close to a ball in the graph metric

Goal: Make sense of FPP in the continuum on top of a LQG surface

- We do not know how to take a continuum limit of FPP on a random planar map and couple it directly with LQG
- Explain a discrete variant of FPP that involves two operations that we do know how to perform in the continuum:
  - Sample random points according to boundary length
  - ▶ Draw (scaling limits of) critical percolation interfaces (SLE<sub>6</sub>)

#### Variant:

 Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat

- This exploration also respects the Markovian structure of the map.

- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- This exploration also respects the Markovian structure of the map.
- If we work on an "infinite" planar map, the conditional law of the map in the unbounded component only depends on the boundary length.

- Pick two edges on outer boundary of cluster
- Color vertices between edges blue and yellow
- Color vertices on rest of map blue or yellow with prob. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>
- Explore percolation (blue/yellow) interface
- Forget colors
- Repeat



- This exploration also respects the Markovian structure of the map.
- If we work on an "infinite" planar map, the conditional law of the map in the unbounded component only depends on the boundary length.
- Expect that at large scales this growth process looks the same as FPP, hence the same as the graph metric ball

# Continuum limit ansatz



Sample a random planar map


Sample a random planar map and two edges uniformly at random



- Sample a random planar map and two edges uniformly at random
- Color vertices blue/yellow with probability 1/2



- Sample a random planar map and two edges uniformly at random
- $\blacktriangleright$  Color vertices blue/yellow with probability 1/2 and draw percolation interface



- Sample a random planar map and two edges uniformly at random
- $\blacktriangleright$  Color vertices blue/yellow with probability 1/2 and draw percolation interface
- Conformally map to the sphere



- Sample a random planar map and two edges uniformly at random
- $\blacktriangleright$  Color vertices blue/yellow with probability 1/2 and draw percolation interface
- Conformally map to the sphere

Ansatz Image of random map converges to a  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface and the image of the interface converges to an independent  $SLE_6$ .

- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x

- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw  $\delta$  units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw δ units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat
- Know the conditional law of the LQG surface at each stage



- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw δ units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat
- Know the conditional law of the LQG surface at each stage



QLE(8/3, 0) is the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  of this growth process. It is described in terms of a radial Loewner evolution which is driven by a measure valued diffusion.

- Start off with  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG surface
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  small and a starting point x
- Draw δ units of SLE<sub>6</sub>
- Resample the tip according to boundary length
- Repeat
- Know the conditional law of the LQG surface at each stage



QLE(8/3,0) is the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  of this growth process. It is described in terms of a radial Loewner evolution which is driven by a measure valued diffusion.

QLE(8/3, 0) is  $SLE_6$  with tip re-randomization.



Discrete approximation of  ${\rm QLE}(8/3,0).$  Metric ball on a  $\sqrt{8/3}\text{-}\mathsf{LQG}$ 

QLE(8/3,0) is a member of a two-parameter family of processes called  $QLE(\gamma^2,\eta)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma$  is the type of LQG surface on which the process grows
- $\blacktriangleright \eta$  determines the manner in which it grows

QLE(8/3,0) is a member of a two-parameter family of processes called  $QLE(\gamma^2,\eta)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma$  is the type of LQG surface on which the process grows
- $\blacktriangleright \eta$  determines the manner in which it grows

Let  $\mu_{\text{HARM}}$  (resp.  $\mu_{\text{LEN}}$ ) be harmonic (resp. length) measure on a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface. The rate of growth (i.e., rate at which microscopic particles are added) is proportional to

$$\left(rac{d\mu_{
m HARM}}{d\mu_{
m LEN}}
ight)^\eta d\mu_{
m LEN}.$$

QLE(8/3,0) is a member of a two-parameter family of processes called  $QLE(\gamma^2,\eta)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma$  is the type of LQG surface on which the process grows
- $\blacktriangleright \eta$  determines the manner in which it grows

Let  $\mu_{\text{HARM}}$  (resp.  $\mu_{\text{LEN}}$ ) be harmonic (resp. length) measure on a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface. The rate of growth (i.e., rate at which microscopic particles are added) is proportional to

$$\left(rac{d\mu_{
m HARM}}{d\mu_{
m LEN}}
ight)^\eta d\mu_{
m LEN}.$$

• First passage percolation:  $\eta = 0$ 

QLE(8/3,0) is a member of a two-parameter family of processes called  $QLE(\gamma^2,\eta)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma$  is the type of LQG surface on which the process grows
- $\blacktriangleright \eta$  determines the manner in which it grows

Let  $\mu_{\text{HARM}}$  (resp.  $\mu_{\text{LEN}}$ ) be harmonic (resp. length) measure on a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface. The rate of growth (i.e., rate at which microscopic particles are added) is proportional to

$$\left(rac{d\mu_{
m HARM}}{d\mu_{
m LEN}}
ight)^\eta d\mu_{
m LEN}.$$

- First passage percolation:  $\eta = 0$
- Diffusion limited aggregation:  $\eta = 1$

QLE(8/3, 0) is a member of a two-parameter family of processes called  $QLE(\gamma^2, \eta)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma$  is the type of LQG surface on which the process grows
- $\blacktriangleright \eta$  determines the manner in which it grows

Let  $\mu_{\text{HARM}}$  (resp.  $\mu_{\text{LEN}}$ ) be harmonic (resp. length) measure on a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface. The rate of growth (i.e., rate at which microscopic particles are added) is proportional to

$$\left(rac{d\mu_{
m HARM}}{d\mu_{
m LEN}}
ight)^\eta d\mu_{
m LEN}.$$

- First passage percolation:  $\eta = 0$
- Diffusion limited aggregation:  $\eta = 1$
- $\eta$ -dieletric breakdown model: general values of  $\eta$



#### Simulation of Euclidean DLA



DLA in nature: "A DLA cluster grown from a copper sulfate solution in an electrodeposition cell" (from Wikipedia)



 DLA in nature:
 Magnese oxide
 patterns on the surface of a rock.
 (Halsey, Physics Today 2000)

 Jason Miller and Scott Sheffield (MIT)
 Random Surfaces and QLE
 July 30, 2014
 28 / 37



DLA in nature: Magnese oxide patterns on the surface of a rock.



DLA in art: "High-voltage dielectric breakdown within a block of plexiglas" (from Wikipedia)

## DLA in physics

Introduced by Witten and Sander in 1981 as a model for crystal growth

An active area of research in physics for the last 33 years:



Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

#### **Open questions**

Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

- Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?
- Is the shape random at large scales?

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

- Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?
- Is the shape random at large scales?
- Does the macroscopic shape look like a tree?

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

- Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?
- Is the shape random at large scales?
- Does the macroscopic shape look like a tree?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is its asymptotic dimension? Simulation prediction:  $\approx 1.71$  on  $Z^2$
# DLA in math?

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

### **Open questions**

- Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?
- Is the shape random at large scales?
- Does the macroscopic shape look like a tree?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is its asymptotic dimension? Simulation prediction:  $\approx 1.71$  on  $\textbf{Z}^2$

### Schramm 2006 ICM proceedings:

Given that the fractals produced by DLA are not conformally invariant, it is not too surprising that it is hard to faithfully model DLA using conformal maps. Harry Kesten [44] proved that the diameter of the planar DLA cluster after *n* steps grows asymptotically no faster than  $n^{2/3}$ , and this appears to be essentially the only theorem concerning two-dimensional DLA, though several very simplified variants of DLA have been successfully analysed.

# DLA in math?

Not a lot of progress. (A related process called internal DLA is mathematically much more well understood.)

## **Open questions**

- Does DLA have a "scaling limit"?
- Is the shape random at large scales?
- Does the macroscopic shape look like a tree?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is its asymptotic dimension? Simulation prediction:  $\approx 1.71$  on  $Z^2$

### Schramm 2006 ICM proceedings:

Given that the fractals produced by DLA are not conformally invariant, it is not too surprising that it is hard to faithfully model DLA using conformal maps. Harry Kesten [44] proved that the diameter of the planar DLA cluster after *n* steps grows asymptotically no faster than  $n^{2/3}$ , and this appears to be essentially the only theorem concerning two-dimensional DLA, though several very simplified variants of DLA have been successfully analysed.

What about DLA on random planar maps and Liouville quantum gravity surfaces?



Discrete approximation of  ${\rm QLE}(2,1).$  DLA on a  $\sqrt{2}\text{-}\mathsf{LQG}$ 



Each of the  $QLE(\gamma^2, \eta)$  processes with  $(\gamma^2, \eta)$  on the orange curves is built from an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  process using tip re-randomization.

Jason Miller and Scott Sheffield (MIT)

## Results

#### What we can do:

- Existence of QLE(γ<sup>2</sup>, η) on the orange curves as a Markovian exploration of a γ-LQG surface.
- Derive an SPDE which the measure valued diffusion satisfies
- Continuity of the outer boundary of the growth at a given time
- $\blacktriangleright$  Phases for sample path behavior: which  ${\rm QLEs}$  are trees, have holes, and fill space

## Results

#### What we can do:

- Existence of  $QLE(\gamma^2, \eta)$  on the orange curves as a Markovian exploration of a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface.
- Derive an SPDE which the measure valued diffusion satisfies
- Continuity of the outer boundary of the growth at a given time
- ▶ Phases for sample path behavior: which QLEs are trees, have holes, and fill space

#### Work in progress:

- Show that QLE(8/3, 0) endows  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG with a distance function
- This metric space is isometric to the Brownian map: LQG = TBM
- Mating trees: LQG plus space-filing SLE as mating of continuum random trees.

## Results

#### What we can do:

- Existence of  $QLE(\gamma^2, \eta)$  on the orange curves as a Markovian exploration of a  $\gamma$ -LQG surface.
- Derive an SPDE which the measure valued diffusion satisfies
- Continuity of the outer boundary of the growth at a given time
- ▶ Phases for sample path behavior: which QLEs are trees, have holes, and fill space

#### Work in progress:

- Show that QLE(8/3, 0) endows  $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG with a distance function
- This metric space is isometric to the Brownian map: LQG = TBM
- ► Mating trees: LQG plus space-filing SLE as mating of continuum random trees.

What we would like to do: construct and study  $QLE(\gamma^2, \eta)$  for  $(\gamma^2, \eta)$  pairs off the orange curves



