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## INTRODUCTION

Basic question [Wigner]: What can be said about the statistical properties of the eigenvalues of a large random matrix? Do some universal patterns emerge?

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
h_{11} & h_{12} & \ldots & h_{1 N} \\
h_{21} & h_{22} & \ldots & h_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
h_{N 1} & h_{N 2} & \ldots & h_{N N}
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right) \quad \text { eigenvalues? }
$$

$N=$ size of the matrix, will go to infinity.

Analogy: Central limit theorem: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{N}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$

## Wigner Ensemble:

$H=\left(h_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$ complex hermitian $N \times N$ matrix
$h_{j k}=\bar{h}_{k j}$ (for $j<k$ ) are complex and $h_{k k}$ are real independent random variables with normalization

$$
\mathbb{E} h_{j k}=0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left|h_{j k}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{N}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{N}$ are of order one: (on average)

$$
\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}=\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} H^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i j} \mathbb{E}\left|h_{i j}\right|^{2}=1
$$

Complex hermitian can be replaced with real symmetric or quaternion self-dual.

If $h_{i j}$ is Gaussian, then GUE, GOE, GSE.

Wigner's observations (holds for all symmetry classes)
i) Density of eigenvalues: Wigner semicircle law

ii) Level repulsion: Wigner surmise (in the bulk and for GOE)

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(N\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)=s+\mathrm{d} s\right) \approx \frac{\pi s}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{4} s^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Guessed by a $2 \times 2$ matrix calculation

## SINE KERNEL FOR CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

Probability density of the eigenvalues: $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$

The $k$-point correlation function is given by

$$
p_{N}^{(k)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-k}} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{k+1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{N}
$$

Special case: $k=1$ (density)

$$
\varrho_{N}(x):=p_{N}^{(1)}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} p\left(x, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{N}
$$



Rescaled correlation functions at energy $E$

$$
p_{E}^{(k)}(\mathrm{x}):=\frac{1}{[\varrho(E)]^{k}} p_{N}^{(k)}\left(E+\frac{x_{1}}{N \varrho(E)}, E+\frac{x_{2}}{N \varrho(E)}, \ldots, E+\frac{x_{k}}{N \varrho(E)}\right)
$$

Rescales the gap $\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}$ to $O(1)$.

Local level correlation statistics for GUE [Gaudin, Dyson, Mehta] $k$-point correlation functions are given by $k \times k$ determinants:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} p_{E}^{(k)}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{det}\left\{S\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{k}, \quad S(x):=\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}
$$

The limit is independent of $E$ as long as $|E|<2$ (bulk spectrum)

Gap distribution can be obtained from correlation functions by the exclusion-inclusion formula. Wigner surmise is quite precise.

Main question: going beyond Gaussian towards universality!

Wigner-Dyson-Mehta conjecture: Local statistics is universal in the bulk spectrum for any Wigner matrix; only symmetry type matters. Solved recently for any symmetry class:
[E-Schlein-Peche-Ramirez-Yau, 2009] - Hermitian case, fixed $E$
[E-Schlein-Yau-Yin, 2010] - averaged $E$
[E-Yau, 2012] - fixed gap label
[Bourgade-E-Yau-Yin, 2014] - fixed $E$
Related results:
[Johansson, 2000] Hermitian case with large Gaussian components
[Tao-Vu, 2009] Hermitian case via moment matching.
(Similar development for the edge and for $\beta$-log gases).

Three-step strategy:

1. Local (entry-wise) semicircle law down to scales $\gg 1 / N$.
2. Use local equilibration of Dyson Brownian motion to prove universality for matrices with a tiny Gaussian component
3. Use perturbation theory to remove the tiny Gaussian component.

All these results were obtained under the condition that the matrix elements $h_{i j}$ are independent, centered and

$$
\sum_{j} s_{i j}=1, \quad s_{i j}:=\mathbb{E}\left|h_{i j}\right|^{2}
$$

Today's talk is about Wigner matrices without this red condition We'll call them Wigner-type matrices.

Red was used at many places in the previous analysis:

- Limiting density is explicit.
- Homogeneity: $G_{i i} \approx G_{j j}$ for the resolvent matrix elements.
- DBM: Initial data is already close to global equilibrium.


## Variance profile and limiting density of states (DOS)

$$
\sum_{j} s_{i j}=1
$$



General variance profile $s_{i j}=\mathbb{E}\left|h_{i j}\right|^{2}$ : not the semicircle any more.

$\sum_{j} s_{i j} \neq$ const


Density of states

## Main theorem (informally)

Theorem [Ajanki-E-Krüger] Let $H=H^{*}$ be a Wigner-type matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{h}_{j i}=h_{i j} \quad \text { independent, centered } \\
\mathbb{E}\left|h_{i j}\right|^{2}=s_{i j}=\frac{1}{N} S\left(\frac{i}{N}, \frac{j}{N}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with a limiting profile function $S:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then for the matrix elements of the resolvent $G=(H-z)^{-1}$, we have

$$
G_{i j}(z) \approx \delta_{i j} m_{\frac{i}{N}}(z)
$$

where $m_{x}(z)$ solves the self-consistent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{m_{x}(z)}=z+\int_{0}^{1} S(x, y) m_{y}(z) \mathrm{d} y \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Limiting DOS $\quad \varrho(E):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Im} m_{x}(E+i 0) \mathrm{d} x$
Note: The nonlinear vector equation (*) replaces the usual self consistent scalar eq $m^{-1}=-(z+m)$ of the semicircle density.

Constantness of row sums, $\sum_{j} s_{i j}=1$, implies semicircle

$$
G(z):=(H-z)^{-1}, \quad z=E+i \eta, \quad \eta>0
$$

Schur formula with the resolvent of the $i$-th minor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{G_{i i}} & =h_{i i}-z-\sum_{a b} h_{i a} G_{a b}^{(i)} h_{b i} \\
& \approx-z-\mathbb{E}^{(i)} \sum_{a b} h_{i a} G_{a b}^{(i)} h_{b i} \\
& \approx-z-\sum_{a} s_{i a} G_{a a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact: The self-consistent equation

$$
\frac{1}{m_{i}}=-z-\sum_{a} s_{i a} m_{a}, \quad \operatorname{Im} m_{a}>0
$$

has a unique solution.
It is constant, $m_{a}=m$, iff the row sums are constant and then

$$
\frac{1}{m}=-z-m \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text { Stieltjes transform of the semicircle }
$$

## Quadratic vector equation (QVE)

Suppose $s_{i j}$ is given by a limiting profile function $S:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$:

$$
s_{i j}=\frac{1}{N} S\left(\frac{i}{N}, \frac{j}{N}\right)
$$

Continuum limit of the self-consistent equation for $G_{i i}(z) \approx m_{\frac{i}{N}}(z)$

$$
-\frac{1}{m(z)}=z+S m(z), \quad(S f)_{x}=\int_{0}^{1} S(x, y) f_{y} \mathrm{~d} y \quad(Q V E)
$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{H}$ (complex upper half plane), we consider solutions under the constraint $\operatorname{Im} m>0$,

Fact: Solution exists and is unique.

Fact: The solution is not constant in general. Semicircle is the "easiest" case.

Two main steps for the proof of the main theorem:

1) Analyse the solution of the continuum QVE, including its stability (no matrix, no $N$ )
2) Prove: the resolvent of the $R M$ is close to the solution of QVE. (Schur, fluctuation averaging, dichotomy becomes trichotomy)

Note: If $\sum_{j} s_{i j}=1$, Step 1 is trivial, since the solution $m_{x}(z)=m(z)$ is given explicitly by a quadratic scalar equation $-m^{-1}=z+m$. So all previous efforts to prove local semicircle law was in Step 2.

If $\sum_{j} s_{i j} \neq$ const, Step 1 is nontrivial and gives rise to a complex pattern.

Despite its natural form, QVE has not been studied quantitatively.

## Features of the DOS for Wigner-type matrices

1) Support splits via cusps:



(Matrices in the pictures represent the variance matrix)
2) Smoothing of the $S$-profile avoids splitting ( $\Rightarrow$ single interval)



DOS of the same matrix as above but discontinuities in $S$ are regularized

Relation between $m_{x}$ and $m:=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_{x} m_{x}$


$x=\frac{i}{N} \quad$ continuum coordinates

Red: some interpolation
$\operatorname{Im} m_{x} \not \approx \varrho=\operatorname{Im} m$. It may even behave very differently for some $x$ :




Sections of $\operatorname{Im} m_{x}(E)$ at various $x$ 's indicated by the green lines.

## Natural questions

1) How many intervals are there and what determines them?
2) Blow-up features and instability mechanisms
3) Universality of the singularity patterns?

## Number of intervals in the support of the DOS

Consider the set of row vectors of $S$

$$
A:=\left\{\mathrm{s}_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}_{i}\right)_{j}:=s_{i j}
$$

Partition

$$
A=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}, \quad \text { s.t } \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(A_{k}, A_{\ell}\right) \geq \delta
$$



Key object:
$n=$ Number of lumps

Conjecture: \# spectral intervals $\leq 2 n-1$. We proved for $n=1$
E.g. $s_{i j}=\frac{1}{N} S\left(\frac{i}{N}, \frac{j}{N}\right)$ with $S(x, y)$ smooth $\Rightarrow n=1$

Theorem [Ajanki-E-Krüger] If all lumps are macroscopic in the sense

$$
\inf _{x} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{s}_{x}-\mathbf{s}_{y}\right\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \geq C>0
$$

then the solution $m_{x}(z)$ of

$$
-\frac{1}{m}=z+S m, \quad(Q V E)
$$

is bounded; $m_{x}(z)$ is the Stieltjes transform of an a.c. measure

$$
m_{x}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{x}(s)}{s-z} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

If $S$ is irreducible, then the components are comparable, $\frac{v_{x}(E)}{v_{y}(E)} \sim 1$ for all $E$. The density, $\varrho=\int v_{x} \mathrm{~d} x$, is compactly supported, bounded, and it has a universal shape near the points when it (almost) vanishes.

In particular, blowup can occur only if there is a small lump.
(Discontinuity in $S$ is OK, but isolated row is not)

## Universality of the singularities in the DOS



Edge, $\sqrt{E}$ singularity

$\frac{(2+\tau) \tau}{1+(1+\tau+\sqrt{(2+\tau) \tau})^{2 / 3}+(1+\tau-\sqrt{(2+\tau) \tau})^{2 / 3}}$
$\tau:=\frac{|E|}{\text { gap }}$,


Cusp, $|E|^{1 / 3}$ singularity


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}}{\left(\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}+\tau\right)^{2 / 3}+\left(\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}-\tau\right)^{2 / 3}-1}-1 \\
& \tau:=\frac{|E|}{(\text { minimum })^{1 / 3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why cubic?

Stability of QVE: (used for both QVE analysis and RM)

$$
-\frac{1}{m}=z+S m, \quad-\frac{1}{m^{(\varepsilon)}}=z+S m^{(\varepsilon)}+\varepsilon, \quad\|\varepsilon\| \ll 1
$$

Decompose along the evector $\left(1-|m|^{2} S\right) f=0 \quad(f>0)$

$$
m^{(\varepsilon)}-m=\Theta f+v, \quad\|v\| \leq \Theta^{2}+O(\varepsilon)
$$

Decompose the third order perturbation expansion along $f$ and $f^{\perp}$.

$$
\tau_{3} \Theta^{3}+\tau_{2} \Theta^{2}+\tau_{1} \Theta \sim O(\varepsilon)
$$

Facts

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\tau_{3}\right|+\left|\tau_{2}\right| \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \text { not more than cubic } \\
\tau_{2}=\left\langle(\operatorname{sgnRe} m), f^{3}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\tau_{2}=0$ then cubic (atypical). For the semicircle case, Re $m=$ const and $f=1$, so $\tau_{2} \neq 0$, thus quadratic (typical).

## Precision, rigidity

We prove optimal entry-wise local Iaw

$$
\left|G_{j k}(z)-\delta_{j k} m_{j}(z)\right| \prec \sqrt{\frac{\varrho(E)}{N \eta}}+\frac{1}{N \eta}, \quad z=E+i \eta
$$

(also for the density and for the "isotropic" version). At the cusps the (current) estimate is slightly weaker than optimal.

In terms of rigidity, i.e. comparing eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ with the corresponding quantiles $\gamma_{i}$ of the limiting density, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left|\lambda_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right| & \prec N^{-1} & & \text { bulk } \\
\left|\lambda_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right| \prec N^{-2 / 3} & & \text { edges (also internal) } \\
\left|\lambda_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right| \prec N^{-3 / 5} & & \text { cusps }
\end{array}
$$

Optimal scale at the cusps should be $N^{-3 / 4}$.

## Application: correlated Gaussian matrices

Consider a hermitian matrix with correlated Gaussian entries:

$$
\mathbb{E} h_{j k}=0, \quad \mathbb{E} h_{j k} \overline{h_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}}=\frac{1}{N}\left(R_{j-j^{\prime}, k-k^{\prime}}+Q_{j-k^{\prime}, k-j^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $R, Q$ have a decay

$$
\sum_{j, k}\left[\left|R_{j k}\right|+\left|Q_{j k}\right|\right](|j|+|k|)<\infty
$$

For example, such ensemble can be obtained by filtering

$$
H=K \star X+\text { c.c. } \quad K_{j k} \quad \text { kernel with } \quad \sum_{j k}\left|K_{j k}\right| \sim 1
$$

and $X$ has i.i.d. centred Gaussian entries (no symmetry)

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{j k}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{N}, \quad \mathbb{E} X_{j k}^{2}=\frac{\gamma}{N}
$$

Then $R=(K \star \tau \bar{K})+c . c$. with $(\tau K)_{j k}=K_{-j,-k}$.

Good news: In Fourier space, $\widehat{H}$ has almost independent entries:

$$
\widehat{h}_{p q} \perp \widehat{h}_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}} \quad \text { unless } \quad\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \in\{(p, q),(q, p),(-p,-q),(-q,-p)\}
$$



Wigner matrix with four-fold symmetry (hermitian + reflection)
Analysis goes through with this extra symmetry.

Solve the QVE in Fourier space

$$
-\frac{1}{m_{p}(z)}=z+\int_{0}^{1} \widehat{R}_{p q} m_{q}(z) \mathrm{d} q
$$

(only $R$ matters, $Q$ is irrelevant)
Apply the previous theorem for $S=\widehat{R}$, get optimal asymptotics for $(\widehat{H}-z)^{-1}$, then Fourier transform back (using the isotropic law).

Theorem [Ajanki-E-Krüger]: Under a nondegeneracy condition, $\inf _{p} \sup _{q}\left|\widehat{R}_{p q}\right|>0$, (holds for generic convolution kernels), we have

$$
\max _{j k}\left|G_{j k}(z)-g_{j-k}(z)\right| \prec \sqrt{\frac{\varrho(E)}{N \eta}}
$$

for the resolvent $G=(H-z)^{-1}$ of the correlated Gaussian RM. Here

$$
g_{k}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 \pi i k p} m_{p}(z) \mathrm{d} p
$$

is the Fourier transform of the solution of the QVE. It inherits the decay of $R$. Note that $G_{j k}$ is not concentrated to $j=k$. Similar optimal result for the DOS.

Previous results:
[Schenker, Schulz-Baldes, 2005], [Götze, Naumov, Tikhomirov, 2013] Weak dependence, DOS=sc
[Anderson-Zeitouni, 2008] DOS on macro scale with moment method in case finite range correlation.
[Pastur-Shcherbina, 2011] DOS on macro scale with resolvents.

## Local spectral universality

Theorem [Ajanki-E-Krüger-Schnelli] In all models above, bulk local spectral universality holds (in the sense of fixed label or averaged energy).

There is a more general theorem behind which extends previous analysis of the local equilibration of the DBM flow to arbitrary matrix initial condition.

Previous results applied to:
i) initial matrix follows semicircle [E-Schlein-Yin-Yau]
ii) deformed Wigner matrices with DOS with a single interval support [Lee-Schnelli-Stetler-Yau]

## Summary

- Local laws for Wigner-like matrices (independent entries, arbitrary variance matrix).
- Complete analysis of a NL equation $S m+z=-\frac{1}{m}$.
- Singularities of the DOS are universal.
- Optimal local laws for the translation invariant correlated Gaussian ensemble.
- Bulk universality in all these models

