A Model for Random Growth with Memory

Averaging Principle and Shape Theorem

Pablo Groisman with A. Dembo, R. Huang, V. Sidoravicius

University of Buenos Aires



Motivation: some self-interacting random walks

Once Reinforced Random Walk



Reinforcement strength a = 2 (left), a = 3 (middle), a = 100 (right) in a box of size 2000. Color proportional to $\sqrt{\cdot}$ of vertex first visit time.

Origin-Excited RW

Kozma 06'

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} - X_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = -\frac{\delta}{|v|} v \text{ if } X_t = v \text{ is first visit of } v \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$



Back in the largest direction



Back one unit in both directions



IDLA (Meakin-Deutch 86'; Diaconis-Fulton 91'): Shape Theorem \rightarrow Euclidean Ball. Lawler, Bramson, Griffeath, 1992. Continuous time, d = 2, Gravner-Quastel, 2000. General: Levine-Peres, 2010

Logarithmic fluctuations: Asselah-Gaudillière / Jerison-Levine-Sheffield.

IDLA (Meakin-Deutch 86'; Diaconis-Fulton 91'): Shape Theorem \rightarrow Euclidean Ball. Lawler, Bramson, Griffeath, 1992. Continuous time, d = 2, Gravner-Quastel, 2000. General: Levine-Peres, 2010

Logarithmic fluctuations: Asselah-Gaudillière / Jerison-Levine-Sheffield.

ulDLA: Shape Theorem \rightarrow Euclidean Ball. Benjamini, Duminil-Copin, Kozma, Lucas, 2017.

IDLA (Meakin-Deutch 86'; Diaconis-Fulton 91'): Shape Theorem \rightarrow Euclidean Ball. Lawler, Bramson, Griffeath, 1992. Continuous time, d = 2, Gravner-Quastel, 2000. General: Levine-Peres, 2010

Logarithmic fluctuations: Asselah-Gaudillière / Jerison-Levine-Sheffield.

uIDLA: Shape Theorem \rightarrow Euclidean Ball. Benjamini, Duminil-Copin, Kozma, Lucas, 2017.

OERW, ORRW: Shape Theorem \rightarrow Open problem.

• Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$
- The boundary of D^ϵ_t can be parametrized by a continuous function $R^\epsilon_t\colon \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\to \mathbb{R}^d.$

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$
- The boundary of D^ϵ_t can be parametrized by a continuous function $R^\epsilon_t\colon \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\to \mathbb{R}^d.$
- There is also a "particle" $x_t^{\epsilon} \in D_t^{\epsilon}$.

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$
- The boundary of D_t^{ϵ} can be parametrized by a continuous function $R_t^{\epsilon} \colon \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$.
- There is also a "particle" $x_t^{\epsilon} \in D_t^{\epsilon}$.
- Particle is *fast* and domain is *slow*.

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$
- The boundary of D_t^{ϵ} can be parametrized by a continuous function $R_t^{\epsilon} \colon \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$.
- There is also a "particle" $x_t^{\epsilon} \in D_t^{\epsilon}$.
- Particle is *fast* and domain is *slow*.
- The process $(R_t^{\epsilon}, x_t^{\epsilon})$ is jointly Markov.

- Simplified model in continuous space to bypass some technical difficulties.
- Familiy $(D_t^\epsilon)_{t\geq 0}\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of star-shaped compact domains parametrized by scaling parameter $\epsilon>0$
- The boundary of D_t^{ϵ} can be parametrized by a continuous function $R_t^{\epsilon} \colon \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$.
- There is also a "particle" $x_t^{\epsilon} \in D_t^{\epsilon}$.
- Particle is *fast* and domain is *slow*.
- The process $(R_t^{\epsilon}, x_t^{\epsilon})$ is jointly Markov.
- The jump probabilities are determined by a hitting probabiliy density $F(r,x,\cdot)$ on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} and transportation rule $H(r,\xi)$

 $F \colon C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \qquad H \colon C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d.$

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_t &= R^{\epsilon}_{t-} + \sqrt{\epsilon}g\big(\frac{\cdot -\xi^{\epsilon}_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R^{\epsilon}_{t-},x^{\epsilon}_{t-}}}\big) \\ x^{\epsilon}_t &\sim H(R^{\epsilon}_t,\xi^{\epsilon}_t,\cdot). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_t &= R^{\epsilon}_{t-} + \sqrt{\epsilon}g\big(\frac{\cdot -\xi^{\epsilon}_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R^{\epsilon}_{t-},x^{\epsilon}_{t-}}}\big) \\ x^{\epsilon}_t &\sim H(R^{\epsilon}_t,\xi^{\epsilon}_t,\cdot). \end{split}$$

•
$$\xi_t^{\epsilon} \sim F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, x_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \cdot)$$

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_t &= R^{\epsilon}_{t-} + \sqrt{\epsilon}g\big(\frac{\cdot -\xi^{\epsilon}_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R^{\epsilon}_{t-},x^{\epsilon}_{t-}}}\big) \\ x^{\epsilon}_t &\sim H(R^{\epsilon}_t,\xi^{\epsilon}_t,\cdot). \end{split}$$

- $\xi_t^{\epsilon} \sim F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, x_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \cdot)$
- g is a "bump" function.

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_t &= R^{\epsilon}_{t-} + \sqrt{\epsilon}g\big(\frac{\cdot - \xi^{\epsilon}_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R^{\epsilon}_{t-}, x^{\epsilon}_{t-}}}\big) \\ x^{\epsilon}_t &\sim H(R^{\epsilon}_t, \xi^{\epsilon}_t, \cdot). \end{split}$$

- $\xi_t^{\epsilon} \sim F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, x_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \cdot)$
- g is a "bump" function.
- $y_{r,x} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} r(\theta) F(r, x, \theta) \, d\theta$ is a normalizing constant to guarantee that the (expected) volume added is approx. ϵ .

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_t &= R^{\epsilon}_{t-} + \sqrt{\epsilon}g\big(\frac{\cdot - \xi^{\epsilon}_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R^{\epsilon}_{t-}, x^{\epsilon}_{t-}}}\big) \\ x^{\epsilon}_t &\sim H(R^{\epsilon}_t, \xi^{\epsilon}_t, \cdot). \end{split}$$

- $\xi_t^{\epsilon} \sim F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, x_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \cdot)$
- g is a "bump" function.
- $y_{r,x} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} r(\theta) F(r, x, \theta) \, d\theta$ is a normalizing constant to guarantee that the (expected) volume added is approx. ϵ .

The model (d=2)



 $F(r, x, \cdot) =$ density of harmonic measure of r from x $H(r, \xi) = \alpha r(\xi)$.

Point at the boundary F

Point at the boundary F

• Harmonic measure from point (RW)

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*

Point at the boundary ${\it F}$

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature

Point at the boundary ${\it F}$

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule ${\cal H}$

Point at the boundary ${\it F}$

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule ${\cal H}$

• Origin (IDLA)

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to source
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule ${\cal H}$

- Origin (IDLA)
- Push back α -fraction (OERW).

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to source
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule ${\cal H}$

- Origin (IDLA)
- Push back α -fraction (OERW).
- Push back one unit (OERW).

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule H

- Origin (IDLA)
- Push back α -fraction (OERW).
- Push back one unit (OERW).
- Uniform in the domain (uIDLA).

Point at the boundary F

- Harmonic measure from point (RW)
- (A function of) Distance to *source*
- Curvature
- i.i.d

Transportation rule H

- Origin (IDLA)
- Push back α -fraction (OERW).
- Push back one unit (OERW).
- Uniform in the domain (uIDLA).

$H(r,\xi) = .99r(\xi)\xi,$ F = harmonic measure, $\epsilon = .02$

$H(r,\xi) = (1 - \frac{|\xi|_{\infty}}{10|\xi|_2})r(\xi)\xi, \qquad F =$ harmonic measure, $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$
Shapes process vs Origin-Excited Random Walk



 $g_{\eta}(s) = c\eta^{-(n-1)}\phi\left(1-\frac{1-s}{n^2}\right)$ for some density $\phi \in C([-1,1],\mathbb{R}_+)$.

 $||f \star g_{\eta} - f||_2 \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$ (* denotes spherical convolution).

Add $\epsilon^{1/n} \eta^{n-1} g_{\eta}(\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle)$ for a bump of height $O(\epsilon^{1/n})$ & support on the spherical cap of Euclidean radius 2η centered at angle ξ .



L: $g_{\eta}(s)$ at different η .

R: Adding $g_{\eta}(\langle z, \cdot \rangle)$ to \mathbb{S}^2 ; z = (0, 0, 1).

Frozen domain dynamics



Ergodicty

For any $r \in C(S^{n-1})$ frozen domain the particle the process $(x_t^r)_{t\geq 0}$ has a unique invariant probability measure ν_r , such that

Assumption (E)

$$\sup_{r \in \mathcal{A}_1(a)} \sup_{t_0 \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} [b(r, x_s^{1, r}) - \overline{b}(r)] ds \right\|_2^2 \right] \le \lambda(t, a) \to 0$$

as $t \to \infty$, for any fixed $a \in (0, 1)$.

$$b(r,x)(\cdot) = \frac{F(r,x,\cdot)}{y_{r,x}}, \quad \bar{b}(r)(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(r,x)(\cdot) \, d\nu_r(x).$$
$$\mathcal{A}_1(a) := \left\{ r \in C(S^{n-1}) : \inf_{\theta} \{r(\theta)\} \ge a, \, \|r\|_2 \le a^{-1} \right\}$$

Ergodicty

Assumption (E)

For any $r \in C(S^{n-1})$ frozen domain the particle the process $(x_t^r)_{t \ge 0}$ has a unique invariant probability measure ν_r , such that

$$\sup_{r \in \mathcal{A}_1(a)} \sup_{t_0 \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} [b(r, x_s^{1, r}) - \overline{b}(r)] ds \right\|_2^2 \right] \le \lambda(t, a) \to 0$$

as $t \to \infty,$ for any fixed $a \in (0,1).$

$$b(r,x)(\cdot) = \frac{F(r,x,\cdot)}{y_{r,x}}, \quad \bar{b}(r)(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(r,x)(\cdot) \, d\nu_r(x).$$
$$\mathcal{A}_1(a) := \left\{ r \in C(S^{n-1}) : \inf_{\theta} \{r(\theta)\} \ge a, \, \|r\|_2 \le a^{-1} \right\}$$

Uniform minorization of jump kernel P_r of $\{x_{T_i}^{1,r}\}$:

$$\inf_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{A}(a) \\ x \in \mathrm{Im}H}} \{ (\mathsf{P}_r)^{n_0}(x, \cdot) \} \ge m(\cdot) \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad (\mathsf{E}) \,.$$

Averaging Principle

Heuristics

Simpler case: F independent of x

$$\mathbb{E}(R_t^{\epsilon}(\theta) - R_{t-}^{\epsilon}(\theta)|\mathcal{F}_t) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} g\left(\frac{\theta - \xi}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}\right) F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \xi) \, d\xi$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}} (g_{\epsilon} \star F)(\theta) \sim \epsilon \frac{F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \theta)}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}$$

Heuristics

Simpler case: F independent of x

$$\mathbb{E}(R_t^{\epsilon}(\theta) - R_{t-}^{\epsilon}(\theta)|\mathcal{F}_t) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} g\left(\frac{\theta - \xi}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}\right) F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \xi) \, d\xi$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}} (g_{\epsilon} \star F)(\theta) \sim \epsilon \frac{F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \theta)}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}$$

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_{\cdot} &\to \bar{r}_{\cdot} \qquad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \\ \frac{d}{dt} \bar{r}_t(\theta) &= b(\bar{r}_t, \theta), \qquad b(r, \cdot) = \frac{F(r, \cdot)}{y_r}. \end{split}$$

Heuristics

Simpler case: F independent of x

$$\mathbb{E}(R_t^{\epsilon}(\theta) - R_{t-}^{\epsilon}(\theta)|\mathcal{F}_t) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} g\left(\frac{\theta - \xi}{\sqrt{\epsilon}/y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}\right) F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \xi) \, d\xi$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}} (g_{\epsilon} \star F)(\theta) \sim \epsilon \frac{F(R_{t-}^{\epsilon}, \theta)}{y_{R_{t-}^{\epsilon}}}$$

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_{\cdot} &\to \bar{r}_{\cdot} \qquad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \\ \frac{d}{dt} \bar{r}_t(\theta) &= b(\bar{r}_t, \theta), \qquad b(r, \cdot) = \frac{F(r, \cdot)}{y_r}. \end{split}$$

General case:

$$\begin{split} R^{\epsilon}_{\cdot} &\to \bar{r}_{\cdot} \qquad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \\ \frac{d}{dt} \bar{r}_t(\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(\bar{r}_t, x, \theta) \nu_r(x) \, dx, \qquad b(r, x, \cdot) = \frac{F(r, x, \cdot)}{y_{r, x}}. \end{split}$$

Problem: prove this for F and H as general as possible.

Consequence: Shape theorem

Shape theorem

Assume ${\cal F}$ and ${\cal H}$ are invariant under scaling

$$F(cr,cx,\cdot)=F(r,x,\cdot),\qquad H(cr,x)=cH(r,x)$$

Then

Time-Space scaling

$$R^{\epsilon}_t \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \epsilon^{1/d} R^1_{\frac{t}{\epsilon}}$$

Shape theorem

Assume ${\boldsymbol{F}}$ and ${\boldsymbol{H}}$ are invariant under scaling

$$F(cr,cx,\cdot)=F(r,x,\cdot),\qquad H(cr,x)=cH(r,x)$$

Then

Time-Space scaling

$$R_t^{\epsilon} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \epsilon^{1/d} R_{\frac{t}{\epsilon}}^1$$

Take fixed t>0 and $\tau=t/\epsilon$ we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}R_{\tau}^{1} = \frac{\epsilon^{1/d}}{\sqrt{t}}R_{t}^{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}R_{t}^{t/\tau} \qquad \rightarrow \frac{\bar{r}_{t}}{\sqrt{t}} \qquad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty$$

With

 $\bar{r}_0 = ``\epsilon^{1/d} R_0^1"$

Shape theorem

Assume ${\boldsymbol{F}}$ and ${\boldsymbol{H}}$ are invariant under scaling

$$F(cr,cx,\cdot)=F(r,x,\cdot),\qquad H(cr,x)=cH(r,x)$$

Then

Time-Space scaling

$$R_t^{\epsilon} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \epsilon^{1/d} R_{\frac{t}{\epsilon}}^1$$

Take fixed t>0 and $\tau=t/\epsilon$ we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}R_{\tau}^{1} = \frac{\epsilon^{1/d}}{\sqrt{t}}R_{t}^{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}R_{t}^{t/\tau} \qquad \rightarrow \frac{\bar{r}_{t}}{\sqrt{t}} \qquad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty$$

With

 $\bar{r}_0 = ``\epsilon^{1/d} R_0^1"$

Theorems

$$\begin{split} \|F(r,x,\cdot) - F(r',x',\cdot)\|_2 &\leq K \big(\|r-r'\|_2 + |x-x'| \big), \\ \|H(r,z) - H(r',z')\| &\leq K \big(\|r-r'\|_2 + |z-z'| \big), \\ \|\bar{b}(r) - \bar{b}(r')\|_2 &\leq K \|r-r'\|_2 \,. \end{split}$$

Also, $F(r, x, \cdot) \in C(S^{n-1})$ for every $(r, x) \in \mathcal{D}(F)$.

For any fixed
$$t \ge 0$$
 and $a > 0$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|(b \star g_{\eta})(R_{t \wedge \tau^{\epsilon}}^{\epsilon}, x_{t \wedge \tau^{\epsilon}}^{\epsilon}) - b(R_{t \wedge \tau^{\epsilon}}^{\epsilon}, x_{t \wedge \tau^{\epsilon}}^{\epsilon})\|_{2} = 0, \quad \text{in probability,}$$
(5.1)
where $\tau^{\epsilon} := \inf\{t > 0 : \|R_{t}^{\epsilon}\|_{2} \ge a^{-1}\}.$

Theorem

Under Assumptions (E) and (L) and (C), the solution to the (infinite dimensional) ODE, $\dot{\bar{r}}_t = \bar{b}(\bar{r}_t)$ exists and is unique for every $\bar{r}_0 \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. Moreover, if $R_0^\epsilon \to \bar{r}_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|R_t^{\epsilon} - \bar{r}_t\|_2 > \delta\right) = 0$$

Theorem

Under Assumptions (E) and (L) and (C), the solution to the (infinite dimensional) ODE, $\dot{\bar{r}}_t = \bar{b}(\bar{r}_t)$ exists and is unique for every $\bar{r}_0 \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. Moreover, if $R_0^\epsilon \to \bar{r}_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|R_t^{\epsilon} - \bar{r}_t\|_2 > \delta\right) = 0$$

Theorem

If also (1) holds, then for every $\delta > 0$ and t > 0

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \left| \frac{R_{\tau}^1}{\tau^{1/d}} - \bar{r}_t \right| \right|_2 > \delta \left| R_0^1 = \bar{r}_0 (\tau/t)^{1/d} \right) = 0 \right.$$

Moreover, \bar{r}_1 is an Euclidean ball if and only if $\int F(r, z, \cdot) d\nu_r(z)$ is rotationally invariant.

Applications

Applications and Remarks

• Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.
- We expect the shape theorem to hold even without the scaling invariance property.

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.
- We expect the shape theorem to hold even without the scaling invariance property.
- $F(r, x, \theta) = Z^{-1}r(\theta) \Longrightarrow$ infinitely many invariant shapes.

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.
- We expect the shape theorem to hold even without the scaling invariance property.
- $F(r, x, \theta) = Z^{-1}r(\theta) \Longrightarrow$ infinitely many invariant shapes.
- Other rules:
 - F = Distance to reference point,

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.
- We expect the shape theorem to hold even without the scaling invariance property.
- $F(r, x, \theta) = Z^{-1}r(\theta) \Longrightarrow$ infinitely many invariant shapes.
- Other rules:
 - F = Distance to reference point,
 - F = Mean curvature (Richardson's model ?)

- Harmonic measure is not Lipschitz.
- We can get the Lipschitz property if we work in smoothed domains.
- Scaling invariance when drift size is proportional to size.
- We expect the shape theorem to hold even without the scaling invariance property.
- $F(r, x, \theta) = Z^{-1}r(\theta) \Longrightarrow$ infinitely many invariant shapes.
- Other rules:
 - F = Distance to reference point,
 - F = Mean curvature (Richardson's model ?)
 - $\bullet \ F \ {\rm independent} \ {\rm of} \ R$

Smoothed Harmonic measure

- g a (fixed) smoothing kernel, $\tilde{r} = r \star g$.
- $0 \le \alpha(\ell, z) < \ell$

Consider

 $F(r, x, \cdot) =$ Harmonic measure on \tilde{r} from x, $H(r, z) = \alpha(\tilde{r}(z), z)z$

Theorem

(a) The Averaging Principle holds for this model. (b) In case $\alpha(\ell, z) = \alpha(z)\ell$, the Shape Theorem also holds. In particular, for $\alpha(\ell, z) = \gamma \ell$ with $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ fixed, the centered Euclidean ball is an invariant shape; and when $\gamma = 0$, it is uniquely attractive.

Some proofs: auxiliary process

• Take $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \log^{1/3}(1/\epsilon)$

- Take $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \log^{1/3}(1/\epsilon)$
- In Δ time, the fast variable achieves equilibrium and the slow one does not evolve macroscopically

- Take $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \log^{1/3}(1/\epsilon)$
- In Δ time, the fast variable achieves equilibrium and the slow one does not evolve macroscopically
- In each interval of length Δ approximate $(R_t^\epsilon, x_t^\epsilon)$ by a process $(\hat{R}_t^\epsilon, \hat{x}_t^\epsilon)$ in which \hat{x}_t^ϵ evolves in frozen domain and \hat{R}_t^ϵ according to the ODE given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{R}_t^{\epsilon} = b(R_{t_k}^{\epsilon}, \hat{x}_t^{\epsilon})$$

- Take $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \log^{1/3}(1/\epsilon)$
- In Δ time, the fast variable achieves equilibrium and the slow one does not evolve macroscopically
- In each interval of length Δ approximate $(R_t^\epsilon, x_t^\epsilon)$ by a process $(\hat{R}_t^\epsilon, \hat{x}_t^\epsilon)$ in which \hat{x}_t^ϵ evolves in frozen domain and \hat{R}_t^ϵ according to the ODE given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{R}_t^{\epsilon} = b(R_{t_k}^{\epsilon}, \hat{x}_t^{\epsilon})$$

• In each interval we can use the ergodic assumption.

- Take $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \log^{1/3}(1/\epsilon)$
- In Δ time, the fast variable achieves equilibrium and the slow one does not evolve macroscopically
- In each interval of length Δ approximate $(R_t^\epsilon, x_t^\epsilon)$ by a process $(\hat{R}_t^\epsilon, \hat{x}_t^\epsilon)$ in which \hat{x}_t^ϵ evolves in frozen domain and \hat{R}_t^ϵ according to the ODE given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{R}_t^{\epsilon} = b(R_{t_k}^{\epsilon}, \hat{x}_t^{\epsilon})$$

- In each interval we can use the ergodic assumption.
- $\mathbb{E}|x_t^{\epsilon} \hat{x}_t^{\epsilon}|^2 \le C\epsilon$, $\mathbb{E}|R_t^{\epsilon} \hat{R}_t^{\epsilon}|^2 \to 0$, $\mathbb{E}|R_t^{\epsilon} \bar{r}_t|^2 \to 0$.

Lemma

Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold without boundary compactly embedded in \mathbb{R}^d . Let μ, ν be probability distributions on M having densities p, q respectively. Assume $p(x) \ge c > 0$ for all $x \in M$. Then, there exists $C = C(M, c) < \infty$ such that the Wasserstein 2-distance verifes

$$W_2(\mu,\nu) \le C \|p-q\|_2.$$
Thanks.