Continuity of the blow-up time and numerical approximations for

 $u_t = \lambda \Delta u + u^p.$

Joint work with

Julio D. Rossi Universidad de Buenos Aires Hatem Zaag Ecole Normale Supérieur.

Chile, 2003.

The problem:

$$u_t = \lambda \Delta u + u^p, \quad \Omega \times (0, T), u = 0, \qquad \partial \Omega \times (0, T), u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \Omega.$$

The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded and smooth, and p is superlineal and subcritical, i.e.,

$$1$$

The initial datum u_0 is smooth, nonnegative and nontrivial $(u_0 \neq 0)$.

This model is used e.g. to describe heat propagation with constant thermal conductivity in a medium with a nonlinear source due, for example, to chemical reaction. **1.** Existence, uniqueness and regularity for small times.

2. There is a maximal time of existence, T. If $T<\infty$

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = +\infty.$$

In this case we say that the solution *blows up* at time $T = T(\lambda, p, u_0)$.

Several authors proved that $u_0 \mapsto T$ is continuos under different assumptions and using different techniques, e.g.

Baras, P.; Cohen, L. J. Funct. Anal. (1987)

Merle, F. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. (1992)

Quittner, P. Houton J. Math. To appear.

MAIN RESULTS

1. We extend this results finding a modulus of continuity for $\eta = (\lambda, p, u_0) \mapsto T$ which has the form

$$|T(\eta) - T(\eta_0)| \le C(\eta_0) ||\eta - \eta_0||^{\gamma}, \qquad \gamma > 0.$$

2. We improve this result for perturbations on the initial data proving

 $|T(u_0 + h) - T(u_0)| \le C ||h||_{L^{\infty}} |\ln(||h||_{L^{\infty}})|^{\theta}$

3. In the second part of the talk we analyze the relation between this modulus of continuity and the rate of convergence for the blow-up time in numerical approximations for this problem.

Some facts about solutions of this equation:

1. The energy functional

$$\Phi(u)(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, ds - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p+1}}{p+1} \, ds,$$

characterize the solutions with blow-up in the sense that

$$\Phi(u)(t_0) < 0$$
 for some $t_0 \iff T < \infty$.

(This fact proved that the set composed of solutions with blow-up si open)

Giga, Y.; Kohn, R.V. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (1987).

Cortazar; Del Pino; Elgueta. Comm. Partial Differential Equations (1999).

2. If u blows up at time T

$$||u(\cdot,t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \sim (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

in the sense that there exist $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa} = \tilde{\kappa}(\lambda, p, u_0)$ such that

$$\kappa(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \le \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \tilde{\kappa}(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

 $\kappa = (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, $\tilde{\kappa}$ can be taken locally independent of λ, p, u_0 .

This is the key for our arguments!!

Giga; Kohn. (1987)

F. Kammerer, C.; Zaag, H. Nonlinearity. (2000)

3. Maximum Principle.

Idea of the proof: perturbations in the initial datum.

The perturbed problem

$$\begin{aligned} (u_h)_t &= \Delta u_h + u_h^p, & \Omega \times (0, T_h), \\ u_h &= 0, & \partial \Omega \times (0, T_h), \\ u_h(x, 0) &= u_0(x) + h(x), & \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

When h = 0 we denote u, T the solution and the blow-up time of this problem. So we define the error function

$$e(x,t) = u_h(x,t) - u(x,t),$$

which verifies

$$e_t = \Delta e + u_h^p - u^p, \quad \Omega \times (0, \tilde{T}), \\ e = 0, \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \times (0, \tilde{T}), \\ e(x, 0) = h(x), \qquad \Omega.$$

Let t_0 the first time such that $||e(\cdot, t_0)||_{\infty} = 1$. In $[0, t_0] e$ verifies

$$e_t = \Delta e + \frac{u_h^p - u^p}{u_h - u} e$$

$$\leq \Delta e + C(T - t)^{-1} e$$

$$e(x, 0) \leq h(x).$$

By comparison arguments

$$e(x,t) \leq C \|h\|_{L^{\infty}} (T-t)^{-C}.$$

The error remains small until times very close to the blow-up time if $||h||_{L^{\infty}}$ is small enough.

From this bound we can obtain

$$|T - T_h| \le C (||h||_{L^{\infty}})^{1/C}$$

The exponent γ in

$$|T - T_h| \le C ||h||_{L^{\infty}}^{\gamma}$$

depends on the uniform constant that bounds the blow-up rate

$$u(x,t) \leq rac{ ilde{\kappa}}{(T-t)^{rac{1}{p-1}}}$$

To obtain a sharper estimate for the modulus of continuity it is necessary to have a better knowledge of this constant.

Merle and Zaag (2000) found the best constant $\kappa = (p-1)^{-1/(p-1)}$ and a bound for a second term of lower order

$$u_h(x,t) \le \kappa (T_h - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} + \left(\frac{n\kappa}{2p} + \varepsilon\right) \frac{(T_h - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}}{|\ln(T_h - t)|}.$$

This allows us to obtain

$$|T - T_h| \le C ||h||_{L^{\infty}} |\ln(||h||_{L^{\infty}})|^{\frac{n+2}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

Conjecture:

$$|T - T_h| \le C ||h||_{L^{\infty}}$$

i.e $u_0 \mapsto T$ is Lipschitz.

Numerical Approximations

$$Order of convergence \quad \leftrightarrow \quad Regularity$$

A numerical semi-discrete approximation of this problem is a vector $U(t) = (u_1(t), \ldots, u_N(t))$ that approximates the solution u(x,t) at some fixed nodes $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \subset \overline{\Omega}$.

This vector U(t) must verify a system like

$$MU'(t) = -AU(t) + MU(t)^p$$

 $u_i(0) = u_0(x_i), \ 1 \le i \le N.$

M is the mass matrix obtained with lumping and A is the stiffness matrix. **Example:** the one dimensional case.

$$\begin{cases} u_{1}(t) = 0, \\ u'_{i}(t) = \frac{1}{h^{2}}(u_{i+1}(t) - 2u_{i}(t) + u_{i-1}(t)) + u^{p}_{i}(t), \\ u_{N+1}(t) = 0, \\ u_{i}(0) = u_{0}(x_{i}), \qquad 1 \le i \le N+1. \end{cases}$$

It can be proved that continuous solutions with blow-up produce numerical approximations that also blow-up (and with the same blow-up rate) if the parameter of the method, h, is small enough.

$$||U(t)||_{\infty} \le C(T_h - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

As before we can define the error function

$$E(t) = (e_1(t), \dots, e_N(t)).$$

 $e_i(t) = u_i(t) - u(x_i, t).$

Under adequate assumptions on the matrices A and M similar bounds for this error function can be obtained.

$$E'(t) \leq \frac{C}{T-t}E(t) + Ch^{\alpha}(T-t)^{-\theta}$$
$$E(0) \leq ||E(0)||_{\infty}.$$

And hence,

$$E(t) \le Ch(T-t)^{-C}.$$

Arguing as before we get

$$|T_h - T| \le Ch^{\gamma}.$$