

Behavior of the best Sobolev trace constant on domains with holes

J. Fernández Bonder*, J.D. Rossi and N. Wolanski

Univ. of Buenos Aires
June 2004

*URL: <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~jfbonder>

Sobolev Trace Inequality:

$$S\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^p \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p_* = \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$$

Sobolev Trace Inequality:

$$S \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^p \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p_* = \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$$

The best constant for this inequality is

$$S = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q dS\right)^{p/q}}$$

Sobolev Trace Inequality:

$$S \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^p \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p_* = \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$$

The best constant for this inequality is

$$S = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q dS\right)^{p/q}}$$

For $1 \leq q < p_*$ the inclusion is compact, so *extremals* exist. These extremals are weak solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Let $A \subset \Omega$, $|A| = \alpha > 0$ and consider

$$S_A = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx}{(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q dS)^{p/q}} \mid u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } A \right\}$$

Let $A \subset \Omega$, $|A| = \alpha > 0$ and consider

$$S_A = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx}{(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q dS)^{p/q}} \mid u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } A \right\}$$

Extremals for S_A are weak solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \setminus A, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } A. \end{cases}$$

Observe that if $A = \emptyset$ or if $|A| = 0$ then, $S_A = S$.

Problem 1: For fixed $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$, find $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that

$$S_{A_0} = \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A| = \alpha} S_A.$$

Study properties of this optimal (minimal) set (existence, symmetry, regularity, etc.)

Problem 1: For fixed $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$, find $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that

$$S_{A_0} = \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A| = \alpha} S_A.$$

Study properties of this optimal (minimal) set (existence, symmetry, regularity, etc.)

What about *maximal* sets?

Problem 1: For fixed $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$, find $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that

$$S_{A_0} = \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A| = \alpha} S_A.$$

Study properties of this optimal (minimal) set (existence, symmetry, regularity, etc.)

What about *maximal sets*?

Problem 2: How can we give sense to S_A if $|A| = 0$?

Special case: $p = q \longrightarrow$ Nonlinear eigenvalue problem

When $A = \emptyset$ was studied by J.D. Rossi, S. Martinez, J.F.B.

The linear case, $p = 2$ is classical \longrightarrow Steklov eigenvalue problem

Special case: $p = q \longrightarrow$ **Nonlinear eigenvalue problem**

When $A = \emptyset$ was studied by J.D. Rossi, S. Martinez, J.F.B.

The linear case, $p = 2$ is classical \longrightarrow **Steklov eigenvalue problem**

Questions similar to **Problem 1** for other eigenvalue problems:
A. Henrot, J. Denzler, S. Chanillo et al., R. Pedroza,...

Optimal design problems in general: N. Aguilera – H.W. Alt –
L.A. Caffarelli, B. Kawohl, C. Lederman,...

Problem 1

Theorem (Semicontinuity) *Let $A_n, A \subset \Omega$ such that*

$$\chi_{A_n} \xrightarrow{*} \chi_A \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then

$$S_A \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}$$

Problem 1

Theorem (Semicontinuity) *Let $A_n, A \subset \Omega$ such that*

$$\chi_{A_n} \xrightarrow{*} \chi_A \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then

$$S_A \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}$$

Remark The continuity does not hold. Take, for instance, $A_n = B_1(x^0) \cup B_{1/n}(x^1)$, $A = B_1(x^0)$. Then $\chi_{A_n} \xrightarrow{*} \chi_A$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, but $S_A < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}$ (if $p > N$). A similar construction works for $1 < p \leq N$.

Problem 1

Theorem (Semicontinuity) Let $A_n, A \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\chi_{A_n} \xrightarrow{*} \chi_A \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then

$$S_A \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}$$

Remark The continuity does not hold. Take, for instance, $A_n = B_1(x^0) \cup B_{1/n}(x^1)$, $A = B_1(x^0)$. Then $\chi_{A_n} \xrightarrow{*} \chi_A$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, but $S_A < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n}$ (if $p > N$). A similar construction works for $1 < p \leq N$.

Remark The semicontinuity result does not give the existence of minimal *holes* because sets of fixed positive measure are not compact with respect to the topology of the Theorem.

Problem 1

Theorem (Existence of minimal holes) Given $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$ we define $S(\alpha) := \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A|=\alpha} S_A$. Then there exists $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that $S_{A_0} = S(\alpha)$.

Problem 1

Theorem (Existence of minimal holes) Given $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$ we define $S(\alpha) := \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A|=\alpha} S_A$. Then there exists $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that $S_{A_0} = S(\alpha)$.

Proof: The idea is:

Step 1: minimize $J(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx$ over the class

$$E(\Omega, \alpha) = \left\{ (u, \phi) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega) \mid \begin{array}{l} \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \\ \int_{\Omega} \phi \geq \alpha, \quad u \cdot \phi = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \end{array} \right\}$$

Problem 1

Theorem (Existence of minimal holes) Given $0 < \alpha < |\Omega|$ we define $S(\alpha) := \inf_{A \subset \Omega, |A|=\alpha} S_A$. Then there exists $A_0 \subset \Omega$, $|A_0| = \alpha$ such that $S_{A_0} = S(\alpha)$.

Proof: The idea is:

Step 1: minimize $J(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx$ over the class

$$E(\Omega, \alpha) = \left\{ (u, \phi) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega) \mid \begin{array}{l} \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \\ \int_{\Omega} \phi \geq \alpha, \quad u \cdot \phi = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \end{array} \right\}$$

Step 2: Show that the minimizer (u, ϕ) verifies that ϕ is a characteristic function and $\int_{\Omega} \phi = \alpha$.

Step 1:

Existence of a minimizer in $E(\Omega, \alpha) \longrightarrow$ compactness argument. ✓

Step 1:

Existence of a minimizer in $E(\Omega, \alpha) \longrightarrow$ compactness argument. ✓

Step 2: This is the hard part.

First, we can replace $(u, \phi) \leftrightarrow (|u|, \chi_{\{\phi > 0\}})$ so we can assume that $u \geq 0$ and ϕ is a characteristic function. We have to show that $\int_{\Omega} \phi = \alpha$. This follows easily from the strict monotonicity of $S(\alpha)$ with respect to α .

Step 1:

Existence of a minimizer in $E(\Omega, \alpha) \longrightarrow$ compactness argument. ✓

Step 2: This is the hard part.

First, we can replace $(u, \phi) \leftrightarrow (|u|, \chi_{\{\phi > 0\}})$ so we can assume that $u \geq 0$ and ϕ is a characteristic function. We have to show that $\int_{\Omega} \phi = \alpha$. This follows easily from the strict monotonicity of $S(\alpha)$ with respect to α .

To see the monotonicity, first it is easy to see that if $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ then $S(\alpha_1) \geq S(\alpha_2)$. Now, to get the strict inequality... ◇

Step 1:

Existence of a minimizer in $E(\Omega, \alpha) \longrightarrow$ compactness argument. ✓

Step 2: This is the hard part.

First, we can replace $(u, \phi) \leftrightarrow (|u|, \chi_{\{\phi > 0\}})$ so we can assume that $u \geq 0$ and ϕ is a characteristic function. We have to show that $\int_{\Omega} \phi = \alpha$. This follows easily from the strict monotonicity of $S(\alpha)$ with respect to α .

To see the monotonicity, first it is easy to see that if $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ then $S(\alpha_1) \geq S(\alpha_2)$. Now, to get the strict inequality... ◇

Corollary *The strict monotonicity of $S(\alpha)$ implies that*

$$|\{u = 0\}| = \alpha.$$

Problem 1

Theorem *A maximal hole does not exist, in fact,*

$$\sup_{A \subset \Omega, |\Omega| = \alpha} S_A = \infty.$$

Problem 1

Theorem *A maximal hole does not exist, in fact,*

$$\sup_{A \subset \Omega, |\Omega| = \alpha} S_A = \infty.$$

Proof: Take a “strip” of measure α ,

$$A_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varepsilon < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta(\varepsilon)\}$$

and observe that the extremals for S_{A_ε} verify $u_\varepsilon = 0$ in $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varepsilon < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\}$.

If S_{A_ε} were bounded, up to a subsequence, $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ and $u = 0$ in Ω , but $\|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$. ◇

Problem 1

Definition Given $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the *spherical symmetrization* A^* of A is defined as follows: for each $r > 0$, take $A \cap \partial B_r(0)$ and replace it by the spherical cap of the same area and center re_N . The union of these caps is A^* .

A set A is called *spherically symmetric* if $A = A^*$.

Problem 1

Definition Given $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the *spherical symmetrization* A^* of A is defined as follows: for each $r > 0$, take $A \cap \partial B_r(0)$ and replace it by the spherical cap of the same area and center re_N . The union of these caps is A^* .

A set A is called *spherically symmetric* if $A = A^*$.

Theorem Let $\Omega = B_1(0)$ and $0 < \alpha < |B_1(0)|$. Then there exists an optimal hole that is spherically symmetric. Moreover, if $p = 2$, then every optimal hole is spherically symmetric.

Problem 2

If $|A| = 0 \longrightarrow$ different approach.

Problem 2

If $|A| = 0 \longrightarrow$ different approach.

In this case, we define $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \setminus A)}$. (The closure in $W^{1,p}$ -norm).

Problem 2

If $|A| = 0 \longrightarrow$ different approach.

In this case, we define $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \setminus A)}$. (The closure in $W^{1,p}$ -norm).

Define

$$S_A = \inf_{W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^q dS \right)^{p/q}}.$$

Problem 2

With this definition, the constant S_A “sees” sets of positive *p*-capacity.

Problem 2

With this definition, the constant S_A “sees” sets of positive p -capacity. Recall that

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \mid \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } A \subset \{\phi \geq 1\}^\circ \right\}$$

Problem 2

With this definition, the constant S_A “sees” sets of positive p -capacity. Recall that

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \mid \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } A \subset \{\phi \geq 1\}^\circ \right\}$$

We have

Theorem $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\text{Cap}_p(\Omega) = 0$.

Problem 2

With this definition, the constant S_A “sees” sets of positive p -capacity. Recall that

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \mid \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } A \subset \{\phi \geq 1\}^\circ \right\}$$

We have

Theorem $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\text{Cap}_p(\Omega) = 0$.

Corollary $\text{Cap}_p(A) = 0$ if and only if $S_A = S$.

Problem 2

With this definition, the constant S_A “sees” sets of positive p -capacity. Recall that

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \mid \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } A \subset \{\phi \geq 1\}^\circ \right\}$$

We have

Theorem $W_A^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\text{Cap}_p(\Omega) = 0$.

Corollary $\text{Cap}_p(A) = 0$ if and only if $S_A = S$.

Remark If A is a regular surface of dimension k , there exists a trace $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(A)$ if $k > N - p$. This relates to our Theorem by the fact that $\dim_H(A) \leq N - p \Rightarrow \text{Cap}_p(A) = 0$.

Problem 2

For the dependance of S_A with respect to A we have

Theorem S_A is continuous with respect to A in the topology given by the Hausdorff distance.

Problem 2

For the dependance of S_A with respect to A we have

Theorem S_A is continuous with respect to A in the topology given by the Hausdorff distance.

Remark (Relationship between S_A and \mathbf{S}_A) It is easy to see that $S_A \leq \mathbf{S}_A$. The other inequality is not true in general. However $S_A = \mathbf{S}_A$ in several situations, for instance if A is the closure of an open set with smooth boundary.

Problem 2

For the dependance of S_A with respect to A we have

Theorem S_A is continuous with respect to A in the topology given by the Hausdorff distance.

Remark (Relationship between S_A and \mathbf{S}_A) It is easy to see that $S_A \leq \mathbf{S}_A$. The other inequality is not true in general. However $S_A = \mathbf{S}_A$ in several situations, for instance if A is the closure of an open set with smooth boundary.

In the general case, one is led to consider the “precise” representative of the set A . There exists a “good” representative of A such that $S_A = \mathbf{S}_A$? We don't know.