

ON THE EXISTENCE OF EXTREMALS FOR THE SOBOLEV TRACE EMBEDDING THEOREM WITH CRITICAL EXPONENT

JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER AND JULIO D. ROSSI

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the existence problem for extremals of the Sobolev trace inequality $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\partial\Omega)$ where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $p_* = p(N-1)/(N-p)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent and $1 < p < N$.

1. Introduction.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded smooth domain. Relevant for the study of boundary value problems for differential operators are the two following Sobolev inequalities. For each $1 \leq q \leq p(N-1)/(N-p) \equiv p_*$, we have a continuous inclusion $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$, and for each $1 \leq r \leq pN/(N-p) \equiv p^*$, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$, hence the following inequalities hold:

$$S_q \|u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}^p \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p, \quad \bar{S}_r \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

These inequalities are known as the Sobolev trace theorem and the Sobolev embedding theorem respectively. The best constants for these embeddings are the largest S and \bar{S} such that the above inequalities hold, that is,

$$S_q = \inf_{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^q d\sigma \right)^{p/q}} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\bar{S}_r = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^r dx \right)^{p/r}}. \quad (1.2)$$

One big difference between these two quantities, is the fact that \bar{S}_r is homogeneous under dilatations of the domain, that is, if we define $\mu\Omega = \{\mu x \mid x \in \Omega\}$, taking $v(x) = u(\mu x)$ in (1.2) and changing variables we get

$$\bar{S}_r(\mu\Omega) = \mu^{(rN - pr - pN)/r} \bar{S}_r(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, S_q is not homogeneous under dilatations. In fact we have

$$S_q(\mu\Omega) = \mu^\beta \inf_{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \mu^{-p} |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^q d\sigma \right)^{p/q}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\beta = (Nq - pN + p)/q$.

For $1 \leq q < p_*$ and $1 \leq r < p^*$ the embeddings are compact, so we have existence of extremals, i.e. functions where the infimum is attained. These extremals are weak solutions of the following problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outer unit normal derivative, and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{r-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The asymptotic behavior of $S_q(\mu\Omega)$ in expanding ($\mu \rightarrow \infty$) and contracting domains ($\mu \rightarrow 0$), was studied in [7] and [11]. In [7] it is proved that for expanding domains and $q > p = 2$, $S_q(\mu\Omega) \rightarrow S_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$. In [11] it is shown that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S_q(\mu\Omega)}{\mu^\beta} = \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|^{p/q}}. \quad (1.6)$$

The behavior of the extremals for (1.1) in expanding and contracting domains is also studied in [7] and [11]. For expanding domains, it is proved in [7] (again in the case $q > p = 2$) that the extremals develop a peak near a point where the mean curvature of the boundary maximizes. For contracting domains, we have that the extremals, when rescaled to the original domain as $v(x) = u(\mu x)$, $x \in \Omega$, and normalized with $\|v\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$, are nearly constant in the sense that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} v = \frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|^{1/q}} \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Another big difference between the Sobolev trace theorem and the Sobolev embedding theorem arises in the behavior of extremals. Namely, if Ω is a ball, $\Omega = B(0, \mu)$, as the extremals do not change sign, from results of [12] the extremals for (1.2) are radial while, at least for large μ , extremals for (1.1) are not, since they develop peaking concentration phenomena as is described in [7].

As for the symmetry properties of the extremals of the Sobolev trace constant, it is proved in [10] that if Ω is a ball of sufficiently small radius, then the extremals are radial functions. Also in [10], the authors use this result to prove that there exists a radial extremal for the immersion $H^1(B(0, \mu)) \rightarrow L^{2^*}(\partial B(0, \mu))$ if the radius μ is small enough. See also [1] for other geometric conditions that leads to existence of extremals in the case $p = 2$.

So we arrive at the purpose of this article: to find existence of extremals for the Sobolev trace theorem with the critical exponent in a general smooth bounded domain Ω . Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N such that*

$$\frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|^{p/p^*}} < \frac{1}{K(N,p)}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $K(N,p)$ is given by (2.1). Then there exists an extremal for the immersion $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\partial\Omega)$.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we use the same approach as in [2] (see also [16]), properly adapted to our new context.

Existence result for elliptic problems with critical Sobolev exponents have deserved a great deal of attention since the pioneer work [5] and is a intensive area of research nowadays. Best Sobolev inequalities have been studied by many authors and is by now a classical subject. It at least goes back to [2], [3].

The other key ingredient in the proof is the result of [4] where the author compute the optimal constant in the Sobolev trace inequality (see Theorem 2 below). See also [13] for a similar result in the case $p = 2$. For more references on Sobolev inequalities see [6].

REMARK 1. Let Ω be any smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let

$$\Omega_\mu = \mu\Omega = \{\mu x \mid x \in \Omega\},$$

where $\mu > 0$. We observe that when μ is small enough, precisely

$$\mu < \frac{1}{K(N,p)^{1/p}} \frac{|\partial\Omega|^{1/p^*}}{|\Omega|^{1/p}},$$

then Ω_μ verifies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and hence there is an extremal for the immersion $W^{1,p}(\Omega_\mu) \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\partial\Omega_\mu)$.

REMARK 2. We observe that with the same ideas and computations, we can consider a problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = a(x)u^{p^*-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

with $a \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ bounded away from zero. This corresponds to a Sobolev trace immersion with a weight, a , on the boundary. In this case the condition on Ω and the weight function a is

$$|\Omega| \left(\sup_{\partial\Omega} a \right)^{p/p^*} < \frac{1}{K(N,p)} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} a \, d\sigma \right)^{p/p^*}.$$

REMARK 3. Observe that from the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the existence of extremals for every domain Ω that satisfies

$$S_{p^*} = S_{p^*}(\Omega) < \frac{1}{K(N,p)}. \quad (1.9)$$

Condition (1.7) is the simplest geometric condition that ensures (1.9).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For the proof of Theorem 1 we use the following Theorem due to [4]

THEOREM 2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $B = B(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that,*

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} v^{p_*} d\sigma \right)^{p/p_*} \leq (K(N, p) + \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + B \int_{\Omega} v^p dx$$

for every $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where

$$\frac{1}{K(N, p)^p} = \inf_{\nabla w \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^N), w \in L^{p_*}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla w|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} |w|^{p_*} dx' \right)^{p/p_*}}. \quad (2.1)$$

REMARK 4. The constant $K(N, p)$ in Theorem 2 is sharp.

Now, to prove our result, we will use the same approach used by [2] (see also [16]). Let us consider, for each $1 < q \leq p_*$ the quotient

$$Q_q(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + u^p dx}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} u^q d\sigma \right)^{p/q}} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$S_q = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} Q_q(u).$$

To simplify the notation, we denote

$$Q(u) = Q_{p_*}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad S = S_{p_*}. \quad (2.3)$$

We have the following

LEMMA 1. *If $q < p_*$, the constant S_q is attained.*

Proof. It follows from the compactness of the immersion $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$. \square

From now on, we will denote by u_q an extremal related to S_q , normalized such that $\|u_q\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$.

For the proof of the Theorem, we need the following proposition, which is a straightforward modification of [16].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $q_i \rightarrow r \leq p_*$ and assume that*

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u_{q_i}(x) \leq A.$$

Then there exists a subsequence $u_{q_{i_j}}$ such that $u_{q_{i_j}} \rightarrow u$ in the sense of distributions and u is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{r-2}u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda = \lim S_{q_{i_j}}$. Moreover $\|u\|_{L^r(\partial\Omega)} = 1$.

The next proposition we believe that has independent interest.

PROPOSITION 2. *The constant S_q is continuous with respect to q in $1 \leq q \leq p_*$.*

Proof. Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be fixed. As $v \in L^{p_*}(\partial\Omega)$, it follows from the Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem that $Q_q(v)$ is a continuous function with respect to q .

From this fact it follows that S_q is an upper semicontinuous function for $q \in [1, p_*]$. In fact, by definition, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $Q_q(v) < S_q + \varepsilon$. On the other hand, $S_r \leq Q_r(v)$ and, as $Q_r(v) \rightarrow Q_q(v)$ as $r \rightarrow q$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow q} S_r < S_q + \varepsilon, \quad (2.4)$$

as we wanted to show.

Let us now show the left continuity on $[1, p_*]$. For $1 \leq r < q \leq p_*$ we have

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} v^r d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} v^q d\sigma \right)^{1/q} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}},$$

hence

$$Q_r(v) \geq Q_q(v) |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}}$$

and then

$$S_r \geq S_q |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Now the claim follows by taking limit in (2.5) together with (2.4).

It remains to prove the continuity of S_q in $[1, p_*)$. To this end observe that the functions u_q are uniformly bounded for $1 \leq q \leq q_0 < p_*$, so we can apply Proposition 1.

Assume that there exists $r \in [1, p_*)$ such that S_q is not continuous in r . Then there exists a sequence $q_i \rightarrow r$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_{q_i} = \lambda \neq S_r$. By (2.4) we must have $\lambda < S_r$, but, by Proposition 1, there exists a function u satisfying (2.6) and $\|u\|_{L^r(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ and hence $Q_r(u) = \lambda$ from where it follows that $\lambda \geq S_r$, a contradiction.

This finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we claim that there exists a sequence $q_j \nearrow p_*$ such that $u_{q_j} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Moreover, the limit u

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = S u^{p^*-1} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

In order to see this, we observe that

$$\|u_q\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq S_q \leq C$$

by Proposition 2 (see also [9]). Hence, as $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\partial\Omega)$ continuously,

$$\|u_q\|_{L^{p^*}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Moreover, as $p_*/(p_* - 1) < p_*/(q - 1)$, we have u_q^{q-1} is uniformly bounded in $L^{p_*/(p_*-1)}(\partial\Omega)$. As a consequence of these uniform bounds, there exists a sequence $q_j \nearrow p_*$ and a function $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q_j} &\rightharpoonup u && \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_{q_j} &\rightarrow u && \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ and in } L^p(\partial\Omega), \\ u_{q_j} &\rightarrow u && \text{a.e. } \Omega \text{ and a.e. } \partial\Omega, \\ u_{q_j}^{q_j-1} &\rightharpoonup u^{p^*-1} && \text{weakly in } L^{p_*/(p_*-1)}(\partial\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Now, let $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We have, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} u_{q_j}^{q_j-1} \psi \, d\sigma &\rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{p^*-1} \psi \, d\sigma, \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{q_j} \nabla \psi + u_{q_j} \psi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \psi + u \psi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of S_q (Proposition 2) we have

$$\lim_{q_j \nearrow p_*} S_{q_j} = S = S_{p_*},$$

therefore $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (2.6) and the claim follows.

Now, as $u_q \geq 0$, it follows that $u \geq 0$ and, by classical regularity theory (see [14]), u is smooth ($C^{1,\alpha}$) up to the boundary. By the strong maximum principle and Hopf's lemma (see [15]), it follows that either $u > 0$ or $u \equiv 0$. So, in order to complete the proof of the theorem, we have to rule out the possibility of $u \equiv 0$. To do this, we adapt the argument given in [2] to show that $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \neq 0$. In fact, by Theorem 2, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $B = B(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} v^{p^*} \, d\sigma \right)^{p/p_*} \leq (K(N, p) + \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, dx + B \int_{\Omega} |v|^p \, dx$$

for every $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Recall that u_q are normalized such that $\|u_q\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = 1$, so, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{p}{p_*} - \frac{p}{q}} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} u_q^q \, d\sigma \right)^{p/q} &\leq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} u_q^{p^*} \, d\sigma \right)^{p/p_*} \\ &\leq (K(N, p) + \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_q|^p \, dx + B \int_{\Omega} u_q^p \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{p}{p^*}-\frac{p}{q}} \leq (K(N, p) + \varepsilon)S_q + (B - K(N, p) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} u_q^p dx. \quad (2.8)$$

Passing to the limit $q_j \nearrow p_*$ in (2.8) we arrive at

$$1 \leq (K(N, p) + \varepsilon)S + (B - K(N, p) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} u^p dx$$

therefore, if $S < K(N, p)^{-1}$ we are done choosing ε small enough. By taking $v \equiv 1$ in (1.1), we get

$$S \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|^{p/p^*}}.$$

Hence, if

$$\frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|^{p/p^*}} < K(N, p)^{-1},$$

we have the existence of an extremal. \square

References

1. Adimurthi, S.L. Yadava. *Positive solution for Neumann problem with critical non linearity on boundary*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 16 (11) (1991), 1733–1760.
2. T. Aubin. *Équations différentielles non linéaires et le problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*. J. Math. Pures et Appl., 55 (1976), 269–296.
3. T. Aubin. *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*. J. Differential Geom., 11 (1976), 573–598.
4. R.J. Biezuner. *Best constants in Sobolev trace inequalities*. Nonlinear Analysis, 54 (2003), 575–589.
5. H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg. *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), 437–477.
6. O. Druet and E. Hebey. *The AB program in geometric analysis: sharp Sobolev inequalities and related problems*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (761) (2002).
7. M. del Pino and C. Flores. *Asymptotic behavior of best constants and extremals for trace embeddings in expanding domains*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26 (11-12) (2001), 2189–2210.
8. J. F. Escobar. *Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality*. Indiana Math. J., 37 (3) (1988), 687–698.
9. J. Fernández Bonder, R. Ferreira and J.D. Rossi. *Uniform bounds for the best Sobolev trace constant*. Advanced Nonlinear Studies, 3 (2003), 181–192.
10. J. Fernández Bonder, E. Lami Dozo and J.D. Rossi. *Symmetry properties for the extremals of the Sobolev trace embedding in small balls*. To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire.
11. J. Fernández Bonder and J.D. Rossi. *Asymptotic behavior of the best Sobolev trace constant in expanding and contracting domains*. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 1 (3) (2002), 359–378.
12. B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg. *Symmetry and related properties via maximum principle*. Comm. Math. Phys., 68 (1979), 209–243.
13. Y. Li and M. Zhu. *Sharp Sobolev trace inequalities on Riemannian manifolds with boundaries*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 50 (1997), 449–487.
14. P. Tolksdorf. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), 126–150.
15. J.L. Vazquez. *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*. Appl. Math. Optim., 12 (3) (1984), 191–202.
16. H. Yamabe. *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*. Osaka Math. J., 12 (1960), 21–37.