

## CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF MONOGENIC ALGEBRAS

The Buenos Aires Cyclic Homology Group \*

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Pabellón 1, Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

**Abstract.** We compute the cyclic homology of  $A = k[X]/\langle f \rangle$  for an arbitrary commutative ring  $k$  and a monic polynomial  $f$ .

## 0. Introduction.

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary commutative unitary ring and  $f$  a monic polynomial in  $k[X]$ . The cyclic homology of  $A = k[X]/\langle f \rangle$ , for  $k$  a characteristic zero field was calculated in [M-N] and [K], and for  $k$  an arbitrary ring and  $f = X^p - 1$  in [C-G-V]. The first general result in arbitrary characteristic appeared in [Bach], where the cyclic homology of  $k[X]/\langle f \rangle$ , for  $k$  a field, were calculated.

In [G-G], the authors replaced the complex  $B(A)_{\text{norm}}$  of Loday and Quillen by a simpler mixed complex  $\tilde{M}(A)$  for the case  $f = X^r$ . This leads to the computation of cyclic homology of monogenic extensions. It is possible to define the mixed complex  $\tilde{M}(A)$  for  $k$  an arbitrary ring and  $f$  a monic polynomial, and so it is natural to ask if its homology coincides with the cyclic homology of  $A$  in every case. The positive answer was given in [L-L] for  $A$  an integral domain. In this paper we give a considerably easier and clearer proof of this fact, which is valid for arbitrary  $k$  and  $A$ .

The paper is divided in two sections. In the first one we show a strong homotopy  $k$ -map which induces a quasi-isomorphism from the simplified complex  $\tilde{M}(A)$  to the standard complex  $B(A)_{\text{norm}}$ . An independent proof of this fact has been given by T. Lambre ([L]). As an application, we compute the cyclic homology of  $A$  in a simple way which follows the method used in Theorem 2.6 of [G-G]. In section 2 we give an explicit expression in the case  $f = X^r + a$ , by means of a decomposition of  $\tilde{M}(A)$  as a direct sum of  $r$  double complexes.

1. Cyclic homology of  $k[X]/\langle f \rangle$ .

We shall use freely the notion of mixed complex first introduced in [K] and [B-O]. To prove our main theorem we need the properties of strong homotopy  $k$ -map between two mixed complexes shown in [K, Proposition 1.3], [J, Lemma 2.1] and [G-G, Proposition 1.3].

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary commutative ring with 1,  $f = X^r + f_{r-1}X^{r-1} + \dots + f_0 \in k[X]$  a monic polynomial and  $A = k[X]/\langle f \rangle$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{C}(A) = (C_*(A), b_*, B_*)$  the

\* The following people participated in this research: Jorge A. Guccione, Juan José Guccione, María Julia Redondo, Andrea Solotar and Orlando E. Villamayor.

normalized mixed complex associated to  $A$ . The Hochschild, cyclic, periodic and negative homology  $HH_*(A)$ ,  $HC_*(A)$ ,  $HC_*^{per}(A)$  and  $HC_*^-(A)$  of  $A$  are defined (see [L-Q]) as the respective homologies of  $\tilde{C}(A)$ . In this section we introduce a mixed complex  $\hat{M}(A) = (M_*(A), d_*, D_*)$  simpler than  $\tilde{C}(A)$  and show that there exists a quasi-isomorphism from  $\hat{M}(A)$  to  $\tilde{C}(A)$ .

Since  $f$  is monic, we can carry out the division algorithm and denote by  $\bar{P}$  the quotient and by  $\check{P}$  the remainder, i.e.  $P = \bar{P} \cdot f + \check{P}$ ,  $dg(\check{P}) < dg(f)$ . The uniqueness of  $\bar{P}$  and  $\check{P}$  is obvious.

We define  $\hat{M}(A)$  as the mixed complex whose graded module is given by  $M_n(A) = \hat{A} \forall n$  and whose  $-1$  and  $+1$  differentials ( $d_*: M_*(A) \rightarrow M_{*-1}(A)$  and  $D_*: M_*(A) \rightarrow M_{*+1}(A)$ ) respectively are defined by  $d_{2m}(P) = f' \cdot P$ ,  $d_{2m+1} = 0$ ,  $D_{2m}(1) = 0$ ,  $D_{2m}(X^a) = -aX^{a-1} - m \cdot f' X^a$  if  $0 < a < r$  and  $D_{2m+1} = 0$ . The complex  $(M_*(A), d_*)$  was obtained in [Bach] by tensoring  $A$  with the  $A^e$ -resolution  $Rs(A)$  of [Bach] which will be denoted here as  $R'(A)$ .

We shall use the following concept

**1.1. Definition:** The degree of

$$w = \sum \lambda \cdot X^{\alpha_{i(0)}} \otimes \dots \otimes X^{\alpha_{i(n+1)}} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^n \otimes A \quad (\alpha_{i(j)} < r \quad \forall i, j),$$

is:

$$dg(w) = \max dg(X^{\alpha_{i(0)}} \otimes \dots \otimes X^{\alpha_{i(n+1)}}),$$

where  $dg(X^{\alpha_0} \otimes \dots \otimes X^{\alpha_{n+1}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i$ . In a similar way we define the degree of an element of  $A \otimes \bar{A}^n$ .

We have previously defined in [Bach, §1] morphisms of complexes  $g_*: (A \otimes \bar{A}^* \otimes A, b') \rightarrow R'(A)$  and  $h_*: R'(A) \rightarrow (A \otimes \bar{A}^* \otimes A, b')$  such that  $g_* \circ h_* = id$ ,  $dg(h_{2m}(1 \otimes 1)) = rm$  and  $dg(h_{2m+1}(1 \otimes 1)) = rm + 1$ . Moreover, it is clear from the definitions that  $dg(h_m \circ g_m(w \otimes 1)) \leq dg(w \otimes 1) \forall w \in A \otimes \bar{A}^n$ .

**1.2. Proposition:**  $h_* \circ g_*$  is homotopic to the identity by  $\sigma_*$ , where  $\sigma_*$  is the homotopy of  $A$ -modules recursively defined by:  $\sigma_0 = 0$  and  $\sigma_n = \varepsilon_0 \circ (h_n \circ g_n - id - \sigma_{n-1} \circ b')$ , with  $\varepsilon_0(a) = 1 \otimes a$  for  $a \in A \otimes \bar{A}^n \otimes A$ .

**Proof.** We have:

$$\begin{aligned} b' \circ \sigma_{n+1} + \sigma_n \circ b' &= b' \circ \varepsilon_0 \circ (h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id - \sigma_n \circ b') + \sigma_n \circ b' \\ &= h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id - \sigma_n \circ b' - \varepsilon_0 \circ (h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id - \sigma_n \circ b') + \sigma_n \circ b' \\ &= h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id - \varepsilon_0 \circ h_n \circ g_n \circ b' + \varepsilon_0 \circ b' + \varepsilon_0 \circ b' \circ \sigma_n \circ b' \\ &= h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id - \varepsilon_0 \circ h_n \circ g_n \circ b' + \varepsilon_0 \circ b' + \varepsilon_0 \circ (h_n \circ g_n - id - \sigma_n \circ b') \circ b' \\ &= h_{n+1} \circ g_{n+1} - id \end{aligned}$$

By tensoring  $g_*$ ,  $h_*$  and  $\sigma_*$  by  $A \otimes A^e$ , we obtain  $A$ -maps

$$\bar{g}_*: C_*(A) \rightarrow M_*(A), \quad \bar{h}_*: M_*(A) \rightarrow C_*(A), \quad \bar{\sigma}_*: C_*(A) \rightarrow C_{*+1}(A),$$

verifying  $\bar{g}_* \circ \bar{h}_* = id$  and  $\bar{h}_* \circ \bar{g}_*$  is homotopic to the identity by  $\bar{\sigma}_*$ .

**1.3. Remark:** We have

$$1) \bar{g}_{2m}(w) = 0 \text{ if } dg(w) < mr \text{ and } \bar{g}_{2m+1}(w) = 0 \text{ if } dg(w) < mr + 1.$$

- 2)  $\bar{\sigma}_n(1 \otimes P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n) = \gamma \circ \sigma_n(1 \otimes P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \otimes 1)$  (with  $\gamma(P_0 \otimes Q \otimes P_{n+2}) = P_{n+2} P_0 \otimes Q$  for  $Q \in \bar{A}^{n+1}$  and  $P_i \in A$ ).
- 3)  $D_n = \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ B_n \circ \bar{h}_n$ .
- 4)  $dg(\bar{\sigma}_n(w)) \leq dg(w)$  for  $w \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n}$

**Proof.** 1) follows easily from the explicit definition of  $\bar{g}_*$  given in [Bach, §1], 2) is trivial, for 3) see [Bach, Proposition 2.1]. Let us see 4). Using the fact that  $dg(h_n \circ g_n(w \otimes 1)) \leq dg(w \otimes 1)$  we can see that  $dg(\sigma_n(w \otimes 1)) \leq dg(w \otimes 1)$ . Since  $\bar{\sigma}_n(1 \otimes P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n) = \gamma \circ \sigma_n(1 \otimes P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \otimes 1)$ , it is clear that  $dg(\bar{\sigma}_n(w)) \leq dg(w)$

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this paper.

**1.4. Theorem:** There exists a strong homotopy  $k$ -map  $(G_*^{(i)})_{i \geq 0}$  from  $\hat{M}(A)$  to  $\tilde{C}(A)$  with  $G_*^{(0)} = \bar{h}_*$ .

**Proof.** We shall build up the maps  $G_j^{(i)}$  by induction on  $i$  and  $j$ . Let  $n \geq 0$ . Assume that we have already built  $G_*^{(i)} (0 \leq i \leq t)$  and  $G_j^{(t+1)} (0 \leq j \leq n-1)$ , such that:

- i)  $G_*^{(0)} = \bar{h}_*$
- ii)  $G_{j+1}^{(i)} \circ D_j - D_{2i+j} \circ G_j^{(i)} = b_{2i+j+2} \circ G_j^{(i+1)} - G_{j-1}^{(i+1)} \circ d_j$ , for  $0 \leq i < t$  or  $i = t$   $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  (where we consider  $G_{j-1}^{(i+1)} = d_j = 0$  if  $j = 0$ )
- iii)  $dg(G_{2j}^{(i)}(X^a)) \leq a + rj$  and  $dg(G_{2j+i}^{(i)}(X^a)) \leq a + 1 + rj$

Let  $n = 2m$  and  $0 \leq a \leq r-1$ . If

$$T = G_{n+1}^{(t)} \circ D_n(X^a) - B_{2t-n} \circ G_n^{(t)}(X^a) + G_{n-1}^{(t+1)} \circ d_n(X^a),$$

then it is clear that  $dg(T) \leq a + rm$ . From this fact and Remark 1.3 (1) it follows that  $\bar{g}_{2t+n+1}(T) = 0$  for  $t > 0$ . For  $t = 0$ , as by Remark 1.3 (3)  $D_n = \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ B_n \circ \bar{h}_n$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{n+1}(T) &= \bar{g}_{n+1}(G_{n+1}^{(0)} \circ D_n - B_n \circ G_n^{(0)} + G_{n-1}^{(1)} \circ d_n)(X^a) \\ &= (\bar{g}_{n+1} \circ \bar{h}_{n+1} \circ \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ B_n \circ \bar{h}_n - \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ B_n \circ G_n^{(0)} + \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ G_{n-1}^{(1)} \circ d_n)(X^a) \\ &= \bar{g}_{n+1} \circ G_{n-1}^{(1)} \circ d_n(X^a) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $dg(G_{n-1}^{(1)} \circ d(X_n^a)) \leq mr$ , using again Remark 1.3 (1) we conclude that  $\bar{g}_{n+1}(T) = 0$ . Now let  $G_n^{(t+1)}(X^a) = -\bar{\sigma}_{2t+n+1}(T)$ . From Proposition 1.3 of [G-G],  $b_{2t+n+1}(T) = 0$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2t+n+2} \circ G_n^{(t+1)}(X^a) &= -b_{2t+n+2} \circ \bar{\sigma}_{2t+n+2}(T) \\ &= (id - \bar{h}_{2t+n+1} \circ \bar{g}_{2t+n+1} + \bar{\sigma}_{2t+n} \circ b_{2t+n+1})(T) = T. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, iii) follows immediately because  $\bar{\sigma}_*$  preserves degree.

For  $n$  odd we can repeat the same proof as in the even case

**1.5. Corollary:** The cyclic, periodic and negative homology  $A$  are the respective homologies of  $\hat{M}(A)$ .

**Proof.** See [K, Proposition 1.3] and [J, Lemma 2.1]

**2. Cyclic homology of  $k[X]/\langle X^r + a \rangle$**

In this section we shall compute the cyclic homology of  $A = k[X]/\langle X^r + a \rangle (a \in k)$  by means of a decomposition of the simplified complex  $\hat{M}(A)$ .

This gives as a special case the cyclic homology of  $k[X]/\langle X^r \rangle$  and  $k[X]/\langle X^r - 1 \rangle$ , computed in [Bach] and [C-G-V] respectively.

Let us now show the promised decomposition.  $\tilde{M}(A)$  splits into a direct sum  $\tilde{M}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \tilde{M}(A)^{(i)}$ , where  $\tilde{M}(A)^{(i)} = (M_*^{(i)}(A), d_*^{(i)}, D_*^{(i)})$  is the mixed complex of  $k$  modules obtained from  $M_n^{(i)}(A) = k$ ,  $d_{2m+1}^{(i)} = 0$ ,  $d_{2m}^{(i)}(1) = ra$ ,  $D_{2m+1}^{(i)} = 0$ ,  $D_{2m}^{(i)}(1) = 0$  and  $D_{2m}^{(i)}(1) = i + mr$  if  $i > 0$ . Hence, the SBI sequence splits into a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \text{SBI}^{(i)}$  of sequences

$$\text{SBI}^{(i)} : \dots \rightarrow H_n^{(i)}(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_n^{(i)}(A) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}^{(i)}(A) \xrightarrow{B} H_{n-1}^{(i)}(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_{n-1}^{(i)}(A) \xrightarrow{S} \dots,$$

where  $H_*^{(i)}(A) = H_*(\tilde{M}(A)^{(i)})$  and  $HC_*^{(i)}(A) = HC_*(\tilde{M}(A)^{(i)})$ .

**2.1.Lemma:** If  $k$  is a U.F.D and  $ra \neq 0$ , then  $HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A)$  ( $0 < m$ ,  $0 < i < r$ ) is freely generated by the  $2m$ -cycle  $(x_{m,0}, \dots, x_{m,n})$ , where

$$x_{m,h} = (-1)^h \left( \prod_{j=m-h}^{m-1} \frac{ra}{(ra : i + jr)} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{m-h-1} \frac{i + jr}{(ra : i + jr)} \right).$$

In particular  $HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A) \cong k$  ( $(na : i + jr)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $na$  and  $i + jr$ ).

**Proof.** We shall prove it by induction on  $m$ . It is clear that  $x_{0,0} = 1$  generates  $HC_0^{(i)}(A) = k$ . Suppose that  $(x_{m,0}, \dots, x_{m,m})$  is a  $2m$ -cycle which freely generates  $HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A)$ . It is immediate that  $(x_{m+1,0}, \dots, x_{m+1,m+1})$  is a  $2(m+1)$ -cycle without torsion. Let  $(y_{m+1,0}, \dots, y_{m+1,m+1})$  be a  $2(m+1)$ -cycle. Since  $(y_{m+1,1}, \dots, y_{m+1,m+1}) = S((y_{m+1,0}, \dots, y_{m+1,m+1}))$  belongs to  $HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A)$ , there exists a unique  $\alpha \in k$ , such that  $y_{m+1,h} = \alpha \cdot x_{m,h-1}$  ( $1 \leq h \leq m+1$ ). As  $(y_{m+1,0}, \dots, y_{m+1,m+1})$  is a  $2(m+1)$ -cycle, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ra \cdot y_{m+1,0} &= (i + mr) \cdot y_{m+1,1} = \alpha(i + mr) \cdot x_{m,0} = \alpha(i + mr) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{i + jr}{(ra : i + jr)} \\ &= \alpha(ra : i + mr) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^m \frac{i + jr}{(ra : i + jr)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\frac{ra}{(ra : i + mr)} \cdot y_{m+1,0} = \alpha \cdot (\prod_{j=0}^m \frac{i + jr}{(ra : i + jr)})$ . If the product in brackets is zero,  $y_{m+1,0} = x_{m+1,0} = 0$  and if it is different from zero, there exists  $\beta \in k$  such that:

$$y_{m+1,0} = \beta \cdot \prod_{j=0}^m \frac{i + jr}{(ra : i + jr)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \beta \cdot \frac{ra}{(ra : i + mr)}.$$

In both cases  $(y_{m+1,0}, \dots, y_{m+1,m+1})$  is a multiple of  $(x_{m+1,0}, \dots, x_{m+1,m+1})$

**2.2.Lemma:** If  $k$  is a principal ideal domain,  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$ , then

$$HC_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \left( \frac{k}{\langle i + jr, ra \rangle} \right) \quad (m \geq 0, i > 0)$$

**Proof.** Let  $i \neq 0$ . Since  $H_{2m}^{(i)}(A) = 0$ ,  $H_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A) = k / \langle ra \rangle$  and  $B: HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A) \rightarrow H_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A)$  sends  $(x_{m+1,0}, \dots, x_{m+1,m+1})$  to  $(i + mr)x_{m,0}$  (Lemma 2.1), from the  $\text{SBI}^{(i)}$  sequence we obtain short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{k}{\langle (i + mr)x_{m,0}, ra \rangle} \rightarrow HC_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A) \rightarrow HC_{2m-1}^{(i)}(A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \geq 1) \quad (*)$$

Now, as  $(ra : x_{m,0}) = 1$  and  $k$  is a principal domain,

$$\frac{k}{\langle (i + rm)x_{m,0}, ra \rangle} = \frac{k}{\langle i + mr, ra \rangle}.$$

Hence, (\*) splits by means of  $\pi: HC_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A) \rightarrow \frac{k}{\langle i + mr, ra \rangle}$ ,  $\pi(\overline{x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}}) = \bar{x}_1$

**2.3.Theorem:** Let  $A = k[X] / \langle X^r + a \rangle$ . We have

1) if  $ra = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} HC_{2m}(A) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} HC_{2m}^{(i)}(A) = k^{(r+m)} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{Ann}(i + jr) \right) \right) \\ HC_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} HC_{2m+1}^{(i)}(A) = k^{(m+1)} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{k}{\langle i + jr \rangle} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

2) If there exists a morphism of rings  $\varphi: k' \rightarrow k$ , with  $k'$  a principal domain,  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $a \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} HC_{2m}(A) &= k^{(r)} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{Ann}(i + jr : ra) \right) \right) \oplus (\text{Ann}(ra))^{(m)} \\ HC_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \frac{k}{\langle i + jr, ra \rangle} \right) \oplus \left( \frac{k}{\langle ra \rangle} \right)^{(m+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M^{(s)}$  denotes the direct sum of  $s$  copies of  $M$ .

**Proof.** 1) It is immediate and 2) follows because using the Künneth formula we can consider the case where  $k$  is a principal domain and  $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, the result is clear from the previous lemmas

REFERENCES

[Bach] Buenos Aires Cyclic Homology Group, "Cyclic homology of algebras with one generator", *K-Theory* 5 (1991), 51-69.  
 [B-O] D. Burghelea and C. Ogle, "The Künneth formula in cyclic homology", *Math Z.* 193 (1986), 527-536.  
 [C-G-V] G. Cortiñas, J.A. Guccione and O.E. Villamayor, "Cyclic homology of  $k[Z/pZ]$ ", *K-Theory* 2 (1989), 603-616.  
 [G-G] J.A. Guccione and J.J. Guccione, "Cyclic homology of monogenic extensions", *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 66 (1990), 251-269.  
 [J] J.D.S. Jones, "Cyclic homology and equivariant homology", *Inv. Math.* 87 (1987), 403-423.  
 [K] C. Kassel, "Cyclic homology, comodules and mixed complexes", *J.Algebra* 107 (1987), 195-216.  
 [L] T. Lambre, "Sur le caractere de Chern des anneaux d'entiers de corps de nombres", Preprint (1993)

[L-L] M. Larsen and A. Lindenstrauss, "Cyclic homology of Dedekind domains", *K-Theory* 6 (1992), 301-334.

[L-Q] J.L. Loday and D. Quillen, "Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices", *Comment. Math. Helv.* 59 (1984), 565- 591.

[M-N] T. Masuda and T. Natsume, "Cyclic cohomology of certain affine schemes", *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 21 (1985), 1261-1279.

Received: August 1993

Revised: December 1993